Research Paper

Efficacy of Compound Danshen Dripping Pills in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis

Kuan Xu¹, Yan Zhu¹, Gang Hu¹

¹Yixing Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Cardiovascular Medicine, Yixing, Jiangsu 214200, China

Correspondence to: Gang Hu; email: 1337133709@qq.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9069-3984Keywords: Compound Danshen Dripping Pills, acute myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, meta-analysisReceived: March 19, 2024Accepted: May 21, 2024Published: July 5, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Xu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Aims: The objective of this meta-analysis was to determine the efficacy of Compound Danshen Dripping Pills (CDDP) in patients experiencing acute myocardial infarction (AMI) who are undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive systematic search on multiple databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science, for pertinent studies published up until March 2024. The selection criteria included studies that clearly mentioned the use of CDDP in patients with AMI undergoing PCI. Primary outcomes that were measured included Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF), Left Ventricular End Systolic Diameter (LVESD), Left Ventricular End Diastolic Diameter (LVEDD), cardiac troponin I and T (cTnI/cTnT), Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP), and Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-a). We employed rigorous statistical methods for data extraction and synthesis. Heterogeneity across studies was assessed using *I*² statistics. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ensure the robustness of the findings, and funnel plots were used to visually inspect for potential publication bias.

Results: Our meta-analysis incorporated a total of 9 articles with a sample size of 1,099. Our analysis of pooled data from a series of case-control studies showed a significant increase in LVEF in patients who received CDDP along with standard care, compared to those who received only standard care (Standard Mean Difference (SMD) 0.77, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 0.49–1.06). Furthermore, CDDP treatment was associated with a significant decrease in LVESD (SMD –0.67, 95% CI –1.05 to –0.29), LVEDD (SMD –0.96, 95% CI –1.45 to –0.48), cTnI/cTnT (SMD –2.70, 95% CI –4.31 to –1.09), BNP (SMD –2.66, 95% CI –4.06 to –1.25), and TNF-a (SMD –1.75, 95% CI –2.66 to –0.84). These findings suggest that CDDP, when used in combination with standard care, may improve cardiac function and decrease myocardial injury and inflammation in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention.

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis suggests that the combination of CDDP and standard care significantly improves cardiac function and reduces myocardial injury and inflammation in patients with AMI undergoing PCI. These findings indicate a potential protective role of CDDP. However, further large-scale randomized controlled trials are required to confirm these results and assess the long-term effects and safety of CDDP in this patient group.

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI), commonly known as heart attack, is a severe condition where blood flow

to the heart muscle is blocked, often due to a blood clot [1]. The primary treatment for AMI is to restore blood flow to the heart as quickly as possible [2]. This is often achieved through percutaneous coronary intervention

(PCI), a non-surgical procedure that uses a catheter to place a small structure called a stent to open up blood vessels in the heart that have been narrowed by plaque buildup, a condition known as atherosclerosis [3].

The efficacy of AMI treatment, including PCI, is often evaluated based on several primary outcomes: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) refers to the measurement of the percentage of blood leaving the heart each time it contracts. An improved LVEF indicates an improvement in the heart's pumping ability [4]. Left Ventricular End-Systolic Diameter (LVESD) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Diameter (LVEDD) are measurements used to assess the size of the left ventricle of the heart. These measurements provide information about the heart's structure and function [5]. Cardiac troponin I and T (cTnI/cTnT) are proteins found in heart muscle and are measured in the blood to help diagnose a heart attack and determine the severity of heart injury [6].

Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP) is a hormone secreted by the heart and blood vessels in response to changes in blood pressure. Elevated levels of BNP are often a sign of heart failure [7]. Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-a) is a cell signaling protein (cytokine) involved in systemic inflammation. High levels of TNF-a have been associated with inflammatory diseases, including heart disease [8].

While percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has greatly improved the prognosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI), there are still several challenges related to its application. The procedure itself is invasive and carries risks such as restenosis, thrombosis and bleeding. Post-PCI, patients may experience recurrence of angina, heart failure, or reinfarction [8, 9]. Additionally, despite successful restoration of coronary blood flow, some patients may suffer from myocardial reperfusion injury, which can lead to poor clinical outcomes [10].

Given these limitations, there is a growing interest in the investigation of adjunctive therapies to enhance the benefits of PCI and mitigate its potential drawbacks. One focus has been on therapies that could improve cardiac function, reduce myocardial injury and inflammation, and consequently, the risk of heart failure and other cardiovascular events post-PCI [11]. Pharmaceutical agents, such as Compound Danshen Dripping Pills (CDDP), that have demonstrated cardioprotective effects in preclinical and clinical studies, come into consideration in this respect, warranting further research to ascertain their efficacy and safety profile [12].

CDDP is a traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) preparation widely used in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases. It is comprised of three primary ingredients: Salvia miltiorrhiza (Danshen), Panax notoginseng (Sanqi), and Borneol [13]. Salvia miltiorrhiza has antithrombotic properties, Panax notoginseng exhibits anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative properties, and Borneol enhances the bioavailability of the other two ingredients. It is believed that these components work synergistically to replenish blood, reduce blood stasis, and relieve pain, thus offering potential therapeutic benefits in conditions like AMI [14, 15].

A body of research has suggested potential efficacy of CDDP in treating AMI. Preclinical studies have shown that CDDP exerts cardioprotective effects through mechanisms such as anti-inflammation, anti-oxidation, vasodilation, and reduction of blood viscosity. Clinical studies have also reported positive outcomes in AMI patients, including improvement in cardiac function and reduction in symptoms and infarct size, when CDDP was used as an adjunctive therapy to standard treatments including PCI [16]. However, more rigorous and large-scale trials are required to confirm these encouraging findings and to further investigate long-term effects and safety of CDDP in this patient population.

The aim of this study is to determine the efficacy of CDDP in patients with AMI who are undergoing PCI through a comprehensive meta-analysis of available clinical trials. The meta-analysis will evaluate primary outcomes such as LVEF, LVESD and LVEDD, cTnI/ cTnT, BNP, and TNF-a among others.

METHODS

Our meta-analysis was conducted and reported according to PRISMA for Network Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-NMA) checklist [17].

Study eligibility criteria

The selection criteria encompassed studies that explicitly discussed the use of CDDP in patients with AMI undergoing PCI. Primary outcomes measured included LVEF, LVESD, LVEDD, cTnI/cTnT, BNP, and TNF-a.

Search strategy

A comprehensive systematic search was conducted across multiple databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science, for relevant studies published up until March 2024.

Data collection

Extracted data from the selected studies included sample size, patient demographics, intervention and comparator parameters, and outcome measures. Two authors independently conducted data extraction. Discrepancies were resolved through consensus involving a third author.

Bias assessment

Each included study was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies [18]. In our metaanalysis, each included study was rigorously assessed for bias using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for observational studies. The NOS is a widely recognized tool that evaluates the quality of non-randomized studies based on three broad perspectives: the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of either the exposure or outcome of interest for case-control or cohort studies respectively. For each study, we assigned scores in three categories: Selection: includes the representativeness of the exposed cohort, selection of the non-exposed cohort, ascertainment of exposure, and demonstration that the outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study. Comparability: assesses the studies based on important factors and additional factors. Outcome: involves the assessment of the outcome, the follow-up period sufficient for outcomes to occur, and the adequacy of follow up of cohorts. Studies were then classified based on their NOS scores as follows: High quality: scores between 7 to 9 points. Moderate quality**: scores between 4 to 6 points. Low quality: scores 3 or less. Funnel plots were employed as a visual tool to identify potential publication bias among the analyzed studies.

Outcome measures

Outcome measures evaluated included LVEF, LVESD, LVEDD, cTnI/cTnT, BNP, and TNF-a levels. Standard Mean Difference (SMD) was used as a measure of effect size for continuous outcomes and was presented alongside 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs).

Data analysis

Rigorous statistical methods were employed for data extraction and synthesis. Heterogeneity across studies was evaluated using I^2 statistics. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ensure the robustness of the findings. All analyses were conducted using Stata software (StataCorp). A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during the present study are included in this published article.

RESULTS

Study selection

A total of 861 records were identified through database searching, focusing on the efficacy of CDDP in patients with AMI undergoing PCI (Figure 1). After duplicates



Figure 1. Process of study selection.

Table 1. Overview of studies included.

No.	Author	Year	Country	Type of study	Sample size	Age	Nos.
1	Ruigang Niu	2020	China	Case-control study	70	Control group: 68.51 ± 3.15 ; Observation group: 70.22 ± 5.12	7
2	Yanqiong Ji	2019	China	Case-control study	136	Control group: 34~79; Observation group: 35~77	8
3	Xiaojin Xu	2019	China	Case-control study	80	Control group: 55.92 ± 16.64 ; Observation group: 56.85 ± 6.7	7
4	Men Li	2019	China	Case-control study	90	Control group: 63.20 ± 8.50 ; Observation group: 62.95 ± 9.48	8
5	Danfang Li	2018	China	Case-control study	80	Control group: 64.38 ± 5.07 ; Observation group: 65.18 ± 5.69	8
6	FANG Fang	2017	China	Case-control study	120	Control group: 63.95 ± 0.89 ; Observation group: 64.52 ± 1.03	8
7	OU Geng	2017	China	Case-control study	56	Control group: 68.24 ± 7.10 ; Observation group: 68.07 ± 7.04	7
8	MENG Rui	2021	China	Case-control study	68	Control group: 68.48 ± 4.37 ; Observation group: 68.85 ± 4.43	8
9	Xiangren A	2020	China	Case-control study	399	Control group: 58.4 ± 9.5 ; Observation group: 59.8 ± 10.9	9

were removed, 155 articles remained, from which 19 were chosen for a full-text read. Ten full-text articles were excluded due to various reasons - one was a review article and nine lacked relevant data. Upon thorough examination of the full text of the remaining nine studies, it was clear that they specifically targeted patients with AMI undergoing PCI. In the end, ten studies were included in the final meta-analysis of the efficacy of CDDP in AMI patients undergoing PCI (Table 1) [19–27].

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the studies included in this analysis are detailed in Table 1. A total of nine casecontrol studies conducted in China between 2017 and 2021 were included. The sample size of these studies ranged from 56 to 399 participants. All studies were reviewed and rated for quality using the NOS, with scores ranging from 7 to 9.

Results of the outcome inventory

In our results, pooled data from a series of case-control studies were analyzed to determine the effect of CDDP on patients with AMI undergoing PCI. The primary outcomes of interest were LVEF, LVESD, LVEDD, cTnI/cTnT, BNP, and TNF-a.

The analysis revealed a significant increase in LVEF among patients who received CDDP combined with standard care, compared to those who received only standard care. The SMD was 0.77, with a 95% CI of

0.49-1.06, indicating a significantly better cardiac output in the former group (Figure 2). Additionally, our results showed a significant decrease in LVESD (SMD -0.67, 95% CI -1.05 to -0.29) and LVEDD (SMD -0.96, 95% CI -1.45 to -0.48) among the patients treated with CDDP (Figures 3 and 4). This suggests an improvement in the heart's contraction and relaxation phases. Further, the levels of cTnI/cTnT, markers of myocardial injury, were significantly lower in the CDDP group, with an SMD of -2.70 and a 95% CI of -4.31 to -1.09 (Figure 5). Similarly, BNP, a marker of heart failure, was significantly decreased (SMD -2.66, 95% CI -4.06 to -1.25) in the CDDP treatment group, indicating a lower level of heart strain (Figure 6). Finally, the level of TNF-a, a marker of inflammation, was significantly reduced in the CDDP treatment group, with an SMD of -1.75 and a 95% CI of -2.66 to -0.84, suggesting lower levels of inflammation in these patients (Figure 7).

The funnel plot, which is a tool used to assess the presence of bias in systematic reviews, displayed a symmetrical distribution. This symmetry suggests that the effect size doesn't depend on the precision of studies, indicating that small studies with both high and low effect sizes are adequately represented, thus minimizing the potential for publication bias (Figure 8A–8F). The Egger's test indicated the funnel plots was symmetry (p < 0.05). The sensitivity analysis further reinforced the reliability of the findings. This analysis, which involves examining the effect of individual studies on the overall outcome, demonstrated that no single study excessively influenced the results. This



Figure 2. Forest plot assessing LVEF in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.



Figure 3. Forest plot evaluating LVESD in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.



Figure 4. Forest plot analyzing LVEDD in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.



Figure 5. Forest plot assessing cTnl/cTnT in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.



Figure 6. Forest plot evaluating BNP in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.



Figure 7. Forest plot assessing TNF-a in individuals with and without CDDP use. The consolidated results are presented as SMD along with their respective 95% CI.

robustness implies that the results are consistent and less likely to be affected by the inclusion or exclusion of any particular study (Figure 9A–9F). In conclusion, both the funnel plot and sensitivity analysis affirm the robustness and stability of the results, enhancing our confidence in the findings of these studies.

DISCUSSION

This meta-analysis aimed to determine the efficacy of CDDP in patients experiencing AMI who are undergoing PCI. Our systematic investigation of multiple databases revealed a series of case-control studies that provided



Figure 8. (A–F) Analysis of publication bias utilizing funnel plot.



Figure 9. (A–F) Sensitivity analysis of CDDP's influence on acute myocardial infarction in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention.

compelling data on the benefits of CDDP as an adjunctive treatment in this patient group.

Interpretation of main findings

The most significant finding from our meta-analysis was the substantial increase in LVEF in patients who received CDDP in conjunction with standard care. The pooled data demonstrated a SMD of 0.77, suggesting a robust improvement in cardiac output in patients receiving CDDP. The consistent positive effect on LVEF indicates an enhancement in the heart's pumping ability, attributable to CDDP administration. In addition, we observed a substantial reduction in LVESD and LVEDD, as substantiated by the SMDs of -0.67 and -0.96, respectively. These reductions in LVESD and LVEDD denote an improvement in the contraction and relaxation phases of the heart, thereby suggesting a beneficial impact on overall cardiac function. Additionally, the levels of cTnI/cTnT, specific markers of myocardial injury, were significantly lower in the CDDP treatment group. This decrease aligns with a reduction in myocardial damage, further supporting the cardioprotective role of CDDP. Similarly, the BNP, a marker of heart failure, and TNF-a, an indicator of inflammation, were significantly reduced, suggesting a decrease in cardiac strain and inflammation in patients treated with CDDP. These findings collectively indicate that the use of CDDP in conjunction with standard care in patients undergoing PCI for AMI can significantly improve cardiac function and reduce myocardial injury and inflammation.

Comparison with previous studies

Our results align with and enhance the findings of previous studies that have suggested a beneficial role of CDDP in patients with AMI undergoing PCI. For instance, a study also reported improved cardiac function with CDDP treatment [28]. Our study, however, provides a more comprehensive analysis by pooling data from several case-control studies, thus increasing the statistical power and generalizability of the findings. Moreover, our findings extend on the prior observation by showing not only improved LVEF but also decreased LVESD and LVEDD, as well as reduced levels of cTnI/cTnT, BNP, and TNF-a, suggesting a broader range of cardiac benefits from CDDP than previously reported.

Potential mechanisms

While the exact mechanisms by which CDDP exerts its beneficial effects are not fully understood, several potential pathways can be hypothesized based on the existing literature. The active ingredients in CDDP have been shown to exert anti-inflammatory effects [12], which could contribute to the reduction in TNF-a levels observed in our study. The decreased levels of cTnI/cTnT and BNP suggest less myocardial injury and strain, perhaps due to the reported anti-ischemic and antioxidant properties of CDDP [29]. The improved cardiac function, indicated by increased LVEF and decreased LVESD and LVEDD, may be the result of enhanced myocardial perfusion and reduced afterload, as suggested by studies on the vasodilatory effects of this compound [28]. However, further research is needed to elucidate the exact mechanisms underlying the observed benefits of CDDP in this patient population.

Clinical implications

The results of our meta-analysis suggest a promising role for CDDP as an adjunctive treatment in the management of AMI patients undergoing PCI. The observed improvement in cardiac function and reduction in myocardial injury and inflammation may lead to better patient outcomes in terms of reduced post-AMI complications, hospital readmissions, and mortality rates. Furthermore, these findings may pave the way for incorporating CDDP into existing treatment guidelines for such patients, potentially improving the overall standard of care.

Limitations

Despite the encouraging findings, this study has several limitations that need to be considered. First, the included studies were predominantly case-control studies which are susceptible to potential biases, including selection bias and confounding bias, which may have influenced the results. Secondly, the quality of the individual studies varied, with some studies potentially having methodological shortcomings that could affect the reliability of the findings. Thirdly, there was heterogeneity among the included studies in terms of patient characteristics, dosage of CDDP used, duration of treatment, and follow-up periods. Such heterogeneity may affect the generalizability of the findings. Lastly, we were unable to evaluate the long-term effects and safety of CDDP due to the lack of data on these aspects in the included studies.

Future research directions

The results of our meta-analysis show a clear need for larger-scale, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to validate the benefits of CDDP observed in our study. Future research should focus on confirming the cardio-protective role of CDDP in patients with AMI undergoing PCI, with particular emphasis on evaluating the long-term effects and safety profile of this treatment modality. Moreover, mechanistic studies exploring the precise pathways through which CDDP exerts its beneficial effects will be instrumental in enhancing our understanding of its role in AMI management.

CONCLUSION

Our meta-analysis revealed a significant improvement in cardiac function and a reduction in myocardial injury and inflammation in AMI patients undergoing PCI who received CDDP in addition to standard care. These findings underscore the potential of CDDP as an adjunctive treatment, offering a promising avenue for enhancing patient outcomes in this clinical setting. However, considering the limitations of our study and the inherent challenges of meta-analyses, these results should be interpreted with caution. Further welldesigned, large-scale RCTs are needed to confirm these findings and to explore the long-term effectiveness and safety of CDDP in this patient population.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: G.H.; Methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—original draft preparation: K.X. and Y.Z.; Writing—review and editing: K.X. and G.H.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

No funding was used for this paper.

REFERENCES

- Khushaim W, Vijjapu MT, Yuvaraja S, Mani V, Salama KN. Graphitic Carbon Nitride and IGZO Bio-FET for Rapid Diagnosis of Myocardial Infarction. Biosensors (Basel). 2022; 12:836. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12100836</u> PMID:36290972
- Tourki B, Dumesnil A, Belaidi E, Ghrir S, Godin-Ribuot D, Marrakchi N, Richard V, Mulder P, Messadi E. Lebetin 2, a Snake Venom-Derived B-Type Natriuretic Peptide, Provides Immediate and Prolonged Protection against Myocardial Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury via Modulation of Post-Ischemic Inflammatory Response. Toxins (Basel). 2019; 11:524.

https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11090524 PMID:<u>31510060</u>

- Wawrzyńska M, Duda M, Hołowacz I, Kaczorowska A, Ulatowska-Jarża A, Buzalewicz I, Kałas W, Wysokińska E, Biały D, Podbielska H, Kopaczyńska M. Photoactive Pore Matrix for In Situ Delivery of a Photosensitizer in Vascular Smooth Muscle Cells Selective PDT. Materials (Basel). 2019; 12:4110. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12244110</u> PMID:<u>31818025</u>
- Uijl A, Savarese G, Vaartjes I, Dahlström U, Brugts JJ, Linssen GCM, van Empel V, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Asselbergs FW, Lund LH, Hoes AW, Koudstaal S. Identification of distinct phenotypic clusters in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail. 2021; 23:973–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.2169

PMID:33779119

- 5. Recommendations for Cardiac Chamber Quantification by Echocardiography in Adults: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of, Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016; 17:412. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jew041 PMID:26983884
- Fan Y, Jiang M, Gong D, Man C, Chen Y. Cardiac troponin for predicting all-cause mortality in patients with acute ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. Biosci Rep. 2018; 38:BSR20171178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20171178</u> PMID:29437906
- 7. Sugawa S, Masuda I, Kato K, Yoshimura M. Increased Levels of Cardiac Troponin I in Subjects with Extremely Low B-type Natriuretic Peptide Levels. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:5120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23441-z</u> PMID:29572478
- Jiang Y, Chen J, Bi E, Zhao Y, Qin T, Wang Y, Wang A, Gao S, Yi Q, Wang S. TNF-α enhances Th9 cell differentiation and antitumor immunity via TNFR2dependent pathways. J Immunother Cancer. 2019; 7:28.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-018-0494-8 PMID:30717817

 Fan H, Wang Y, Wang X, Dong X, Shao X, Yang F. Effect of Emergency Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Combined with Sacubitril and Valsartan on the Cardiac Prognosis in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction. Int J Gen Med. 2023; 16:499– 505.

https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S389216 PMID:<u>36785558</u>

- Chu AA, Li W, Zhu YQ, Meng XX, Liu GY. Effect of coronary collateral circulation on the prognosis of elderly patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98:e16502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000016502</u> PMID:<u>31374011</u>
- Bulluck H, Yellon DM, Hausenloy DJ. Reducing myocardial infarct size: challenges and future opportunities. Heart. 2016; 102:341–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2015-307855</u> PMID:<u>26674987</u>
- Liu L, Li X, Cai W, Guo K, Shi X, Tan L, Zhan Y, Jing X, Wang W, Zhou S, Sun H, Zhang X, Hu Y. Coadministration of Compound Danshen dripping pills and bezafibrate has a protective effect against diabetic retinopathy. Front Pharmacol. 2022; 13:1014991. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.1014991</u> PMID:<u>36278163</u>
- 13. Wang T, Liang L, Zhao C, Sun J, Wang H, Wang W, Lin J, Hu Y. Elucidating direct kinase targets of compound Danshen dropping pills employing archived data and prediction models. Sci Rep. 2021; 11:9541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89035-4</u> PMID:<u>33953309</u>
- 14. Luo D, Qin Y, Yuan W, Deng H, Zhang Y, Jin M. Compound Danshen Dripping Pill for Treating Early Diabetic Retinopathy: A Randomized, Double-Dummy, Double-Blind Study. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015; 2015:539185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/539185</u> PMID:26457110
- 15. Wang L, Ma R, Liu C, Liu H, Zhu R, Guo S, Tang M, Li Y, Niu J, Fu M, Gao S, Zhang D. Salvia miltiorrhiza: A Potential Red Light to the Development of Cardiovascular Diseases. Curr Pharm Des. 2017; 23:1077–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/138161282266616101010</u> 5242
 - PMID:27748194
- Sun L, Zhang YN. Compound Danshen dripping pills in treating with coronary heart disease: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022; 101:e28927. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000028927</u> PMID:<u>35363219</u>
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, and PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009; 6:e1000097. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097</u> PMID:<u>19621072</u>

- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25:603–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z</u> PMID:20652370
- 19. Niu R. Effect of compound Danshen dropping pills combined with Rosuvastatin on cardiac function and inflammatory factors in elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction after PCI. Journal of Cardio-Cerebrovascular Diseases in Integrative Chinese and Western Medicine. 2020; 18:1110–13.
- Ji Y, Li Z, Zuo J. Effect of compound salvia miltiorrhiza dropping pills in the adjuvant treatment of acute myocardial infarction after percutaneous coronary intervention. Journal of Acute Care Medicine. 2019; 25:243–5.
- 21. Xu X. Effect of compound Danshen dropping pills combined with Rosuvastatin on left ventricular remodeling and myocardial fibrosis after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. China Medical Review. 2019; 16:61–64+77.
- 22. Li D. The clinical efficacy of compound Danshen dripping pills combined with atorvastatin in improving inflammatory response and myocardial perfusion after PCI in acute myocardial infarction. Prevention and Treatment of cardio-cerebrovascular diseases. 2018; 18:500–2.
- 23. Li M. Effect of high-load Rosuvastatin combined with compound Danshen dropping pills on vascular endothelial function and prognosis of patients with poor ST segment regression in ECG after PCI in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Journal of Modern Integrative Chinese and Western Medicine. 2019; 28:2893–8.
- 24. Fang. Effect of long-term use of compound Danshen dripping pills on left ventricular remodeling and inflammatory factor levels in elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction after PCI. Advances in Modern Biomedicine. 2017; 17:544–6+566.
- 25. Geng O. Changes of heart rate variability in elderly patients with myocardial infarction after PCI and clinical efficacy of Danshen dropping pills. Advances in Modern Biomedicine. 2017; 17:59–61+47.
- Meng R. Effects of compound Danshen dropping pills combined with sacubactril valsartan on inflammatory response, ventricular remodeling and myocardial perfusion in elderly patients with myocardial infarction after PCI. Advances in Modern Biomedicine. 2021; 21:2159–63.
- 27. A X, Li Z, Luo W, Chai J. Long-term compound danshen dripping pills therapy reduces the no-reflow

phenomenon in nondiabetes mellitus patients after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. Ann Palliat Med. 2020; 9:1144–51. https://doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1056

PMID:<u>32498529</u>

- 28. Peng R, Li F. The Clinical Efficacy of Tirofiban Combined with Ticagrelor and Aspirin in Treating Acute Myocardial Infarction by Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and Its Effect on Patients' Cardiac Function. J Healthc Eng. 2022; 2022:4708572. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4708572</u> PMID:<u>35186231</u>
- 29. Guo J, Yong Y, Aa J, Cao B, Sun R, Yu X, Huang J, Yang N, Yan L, Li X, Cao J, Aa N, Yang Z, et al. Compound danshen dripping pills modulate the perturbed energy metabolism in a rat model of acute myocardial ischemia. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:37919. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37919 PMID:27905409