## **Research Paper**

# Leveraging pQTL-based Mendelian randomization to identify new treatment prospects for primary biliary cholangitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis

# Lei Dai<sup>1</sup>, Yunyan Ye<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Mugaany<sup>1,3</sup>, Zetong Hu<sup>1,3</sup>, Jing Huang<sup>1</sup>, Changjiang Lu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery, Ningbo Medical Centre Lihuili Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315040, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Ningbo Medical Centre Lihuili Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315040, China <sup>3</sup>Health Science Center, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China

**Correspondence to:** Lei Dai, Yunyan Ye; **email:** <u>Ihldailei@nbu.edu.cn</u>; <u>yeyunyan-eye@outlook.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/0009-</u> 0005-2325-1405

Keywords: primary biliary cholangitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis, Mendelian randomization, drug, SNPsReceived: December 26, 2023Accepted: April 15, 2024Published: May 27, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 Dai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## ABSTRACT

Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) are autoimmune disorders characterized by progressive and chronic damage to the bile ducts, presenting clinicians with significant challenges. The objective of this study is to identify potential druggable targets to offer new avenues for treatment. A Mendelian randomization analysis was performed to identify druggable targets for PBC and PSC. This involved obtaining Cis-protein quantitative trait loci (Cis-pQTL) data from the deCODE database to serve as exposure. Outcome data for PBC (557 cases and 281,127 controls) and PSC (1,715 cases and 330,903 controls) were obtained from the FINNGEN database. Colocalization analysis was conducted to determine whether these features share the same associated SNPs. Validation of the expression level of druggable targets was done using the GSE119600 dataset and immunohistochemistry for clinical samples. Lastly, the DRUGBANK database was used to predict potential drugs. The MR analysis identified eight druggable targets each for PBC and PSC. Subsequent summary-data-based MR and colocalization analyses showed that LEFTY2 had strong evidence as a therapeutic candidate for PBC, while HSPB1 had moderate evidence. For PSC, only FCGR3B showed strong evidence as a therapeutic candidate. Additionally, upregulated expression of these genes was validated in PBC and PSC groups by GEO dataset and clinical samples. This study identifies two novel druggable targets with strong evidence for therapeutic candidates for PBC (LEFTY2 and HSPB1) and one for PSC (FCGR3B). These targets offer new therapeutic opportunities to address the challenging nature of PBC and PSC treatment.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic autoimmune liver diseases, specifically primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), demand urgent intervention from medical professionals [1–5]. PBC leads to progressive destruction of small bile ducts, impairing bile flow, [1] while PSC inflames and scars the bile ducts, resulting in narrowing and obstruction [6]. Both diseases pose significant health risks, are major causes of liver transplantation [3, 7]. Early detection and monitoring play a crucial role in managing PBC and PSC, while effective pharmacological treatments remain limited. While symptomatic relief can be partially achieved through immunosuppressants and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), [8, 9] there is a pressing necessity to identify new therapeutic targets for both diseases. Identifying effective drugs to prevent the progression and complications of PBC and PSC is crucial in improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden on healthcare systems. Comprehensive research efforts are needed to explore new avenues for treatment and advance our understanding of the underlying mechanisms driving these diseases.

To accurately gauge the efficacy of drug treatment strategies, the adoption of a large-scale randomized clinical trial (RCT) or real-world experience proves highly advantageous. Nevertheless, meticulous planning, extensive investment in design and execution, along with resource allocation, are imperative prerequisites. Notably, over the past few years, this has emerged as the most economical approach for incorporating human genetics studies into pharmacological innovation efforts. Although UDCA and obeticholic acid (OCA) have demonstrated effectiveness in partial patients with PBC and PSC, no curable medication has come out yet [10, 11].

In the pursuit of investigating causal relationships, Mendelian randomization (MR) offers an approach that relies on common genetic variants as unbiased proxies [12]. When analyzing drug targets, researchers often turn to protein quantitative trait loci (pQTL) situated within the genomic region of the target gene [13]. These pQTLs serve as regulators, exerting influence over gene expression [14-18]. The utility of MR analyses has been demonstrated in various diseases, such as COVID-19 [19], Parkinson's disease [20], and Aortic aneurysms (AAs) [21]. Intriguingly, in the case of PBC, studies employing genetic markers have identified three plasma proteins (CD40, ficolin-1, and protein FAM177A1) that exhibit potential protective influences on PBC [22]. Moreover, several other potential biomarkers, such as SPATA31A3, GARP [23], anti-Sp100, and anti-gp210 [24], have also been unveiled. However, the exploration of genomic evidence for a broader array of potential drug targets for PBC and PSC remains untapped.

In this study, our objective was to identify potential pharmacological targets for mitigating the progression of PBC and PSC. We employed MR analyses, integrating pQTL data from two distinct plasma proteome datasets with independent PBC and PSC datasets sourced from FINNGEN. Specifically, we explored the association between genetically mediated druggable genes and the risks associated with PBC and PSC, along with investigating the corresponding drugs and their mechanisms of action. The findings from our research provide crucial insights into the underlying pathophysiology of PBC and PSC. Building upon these discoveries, additional experiments can be conducted in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models, aiming to validate the feasibility of these targets and assess the therapeutic efficacy of relevant pharmacological interventions.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Ethical approval**

We conducted a secondary analysis of publicly available and accessible data. In accordance with the initial GWAS protocols, the original authors obtained necessary ethical approval and all participants provided informed consent. The research ethics committee at Lihuili Hospital, affiliated with Ningbo University (Approval No. KY2023ML052), granted approval for the implementation of research procedures involving human subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, following the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its subsequent revisions, as well as relevant ethical standards, prior to their enrollment.

## Patent gene selection

We obtained a total of 4,479 druggable genes from "The druggable genome and support for target identification and validation in drug development [25]" (Supplementary Table 1). According to this study, the druggable genes can be divided into three categories. The first category includes 1,427 genes that are therapeutic targets of approved small molecules and biologic drugs, as well as clinical candidates. The second category consists of 682 genes encoding targets with known bioactive small molecule binding partners and at least 50% similarity to approved drug targets. The third category comprises 2,370 genes encoding secreted or extracellular proteins that have lower similarity to approved drug targets, and those are key members of druggable gene families not covered by the initial two categories.

## pQTL dataset

pQTL refers to the study of the correlation between genetic variations and gene expression by treating protein expression as a phenotype. Here, we conducted the analysis using pQTL data from two sources. The first set of pQTL data was obtained from the deCODE database [26], which includes 4,907 proteins and is derived from Large-scale integration of the plasma proteome with genetics and disease. This dataset was primarily used for identifying potential drug targets related to PBC and PSC. The cis-pQTL screening criteria were as follows: (1) *p*-value < 5e-08, (2) removal of SNPs in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region, (3) SNPs within 1 Mb upstream and downstream of the gene, (4) removal of

SNPs with linkage disequilibrium r2 < 0.1. The second set of pQTL data was derived from Phenome-wide Mendelian randomization mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases [27] and used to replicate the findings from the deCODE dataset. It includes data from five GWAS summary datasets. The cis-pQTL screening criteria were as follows: (1) *p*-value < 5e-08, (2) removal of SNPs in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region, (3) SNPs within 500 kb upstream and downstream of the leading pQTL, (4) removal of SNPs with linkage disequilibrium r2 < 0.001. The selected datasets were all of European ancestry.

#### The outcome dataset

We utilized data from the FINNGEN database [28] (https://www.finngen.fi/en) on PBC and PSC as outcome data. The data were adjusted for gender, age, genetic typing, and 10 principal components as covariates. Detailed data information was shown in Supplementary Table 2. The selected datasets included individuals of European ancestry.

## Two-sample Mendelian randomization

Two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis was conducted using the TwoSampleMR package [29]. Expression levels of druggable proteins from the deCODE database served as the exposure variables, while PBC and PSC were considered as the outcome variables. The Wald ratio method was employed to assess the causal effects of exposures with a single SNP, whereas the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was used for exposures with two or more SNPs. Heterogeneity testing, multiplicity testing, leave-oneout analysis, directional tests using Steiger's test, and directional filtering were performed to establish the directionality of causation.

#### SMR analysis

Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR) [30] employs GWAS summary data from both GWAS and pQTL studies to examine the pleiotropic associations between baseline protein expression levels and complex traits of interest. The SMR and HEIDI methods can be understood as an analysis aimed at evaluating whether the SNP's impact on the phenotype is influenced by protein expression.

## **Colocalization analysis**

Colocalization analysis was performed using the coloc package [31]. Its purpose was to determine whether these features share common associated SNPs, shedding light on their biological relationships. The coloc package employed Bayesian methods to assess the support for five mutually exclusive hypotheses: (1) neither the SNP nor trait 1 or trait 2 are associated; (2) the SNP is associated with trait 1; (3) the SNP is associated with trait 2; (4) the SNP is independently associated with both trait 1 and trait 2; (5) the SNP is jointly associated with both trait 1 and trait 2. Posterior probabilities for H0, H1, H2, H3, and H4 were calculated for each test. We considered PP.H4 > 0.8 as indicative of colocalization.

## Drug target analysis

We classified the causal proteins associated with PBC and PSC into three classes of potential drug targets based on the findings from Mendelian randomization, HEIDI tests, and co-localization analysis. Subsequently, we examined the drugs and their respective mechanisms of action related to the top drug targets in the first two classes using the comprehensive DRUGBANK database [32], known for its curated information on drug properties, pharmacokinetics, and interactions.

## **Differential expression analysis**

We further employed GSE119600 dataset [33] from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository to assess the differential expression of aforementioned druggable genes in individuals with PBC and PSC, as compared to healthy controls. The analysis included blood transcriptome data from 45 patients with PSC, 90 patients with PBC, and 47 individuals in a healthy control group.

## Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

A total of 310 subjects who underwent liver transplantation were recruited from Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital between January 2016 and August 2022. Among these subjects, 3 were diagnosed with PBC and 1 with PSC based on pathology. Liver tissue specimens were collected from the PBC (n = 3) and PSC (n = 1) patients, as well as normal liver tissue specimens obtained from a liver donor (n = 1). Immunohistochemical analysis was performed to investigate the expression of the aforementioned potential druggable genes in the liver tissues. The standardized procedures described in a previous publication [34] were followed. Specifically, frozen sections were fixed in methanol for a total of 20 minutes. After antigen retrieval and suppression of endogenous peroxidase activity, the sections were incubated at room temperature with 3% BSA (Sigma, CA, USA) for 30 minutes. Following the removal of the blocking solution, preconfigured primary antibodies were added to the sections and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Subsequently, the sections were incubated at room temperature for 50 minutes with the corresponding secondary antibodies. DAB chromogenic solution (Genetech, Shanghai, China) and hematoxylin were used for section staining, where the nucleus stained with hematoxylin appeared blue, and positive expression of DAB appeared brownish yellow. An imaging system (Leica DFC450C, Leica, Shanghai, China) was utilized for image acquisition and analysis.

#### Statistical methods

All statistical analyses and data calculations were carried out using the open-source language and environment for statistical computing and graphics, R software (version 4.1.2; available at <u>https://www.r-</u>

<u>project.org/</u>). The threshold for statistical significance was set at a *p*-value < 0.05.

#### Availability of data and materials

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s. All data and original files in our work are freely available under a 'Creative Commons BY 4.0' license. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

## **RESULTS**

Figure 1 demonstrates the whole design and procedure of this study.



Figure 1. Overall flow chart. Abbreviations: PBC: Primary biliary cholangitis; PSC: Primary sclerosing cholangitis; SMR: Summary-databased Mendelian randomization.

Table 1. The result of Mendelian randomization between protein and primary	biliary (	cholangitis.
--	-----------	--------------

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Method	Nsnp	b	se	p-val
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_ 27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	IVW	55	0.485995906	0.090246638	7.24E-08
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_ A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	IVW	16	1.03573495	0.222256859	3.16E-06
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_ A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	IVW	115	0.279742942	0.045272049	6.44E-10
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	IVW	81	0.310168146	0.074496891	3.13E-05
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	IVW	64	-0.216252104	0.047195482	4.60E-06
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	IVW	138	-0.114925345	0.027587082	3.10E-05
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	IVW	79	0.258985304	0.06156953	2.59E-05
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	IVW	94	0.312619443	0.069467875	6.79E-06

Abbreviation: PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

Table 2. The result of Menuclian fandomization between protein and primary scientsing cholanging	Table 2	. The result of	f Mendelian rai	ndomization	between	protein and	primary	y sclerosing	cholangiti
--	---------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------	---------	-------------	---------	--------------	------------

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Method	Nsnp	b	se	<i>p</i> -val
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_ omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	IVW	112	-0.155230396	0.028185457	3.64E-08
15388_24_FCGR3A_ FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	IVW	176	0.108085588	0.019235973	1.92E-08
16913_8_RNASET2_ RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	IVW	54	-0.225056815	0.050975082	1.01E-05
3309_2_FCGR2A_ FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	IVW	158	-0.09643699	0.018820999	2.99E-07
3310_62_FCGR2B_ FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	IVW	164	-0.091293154	0.019245418	2.10E-06
3311_27_FCGR3B_ FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	IVW	45	0.271596135	0.064005135	2.20E-05
5646_20_RNASE6_ RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	IVW	150	-0.116694162	0.025940446	6.84E-06
8007_19_CTSB_ Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	IVW	87	-0.167020198	0.032992719	4.14E-07

Abbreviation: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.

#### MR analysis of druggable proteins

We selected 1046 proteins encoded by druggable genes from 4907 proteins in the deCODE database (Supplementary Table 3) and performed two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis with PBC and PSC. The *p*-values of the analysis results were adjusted using the stringent Bonferroni method (*p*-value < 0.05/1046). From the results in Table 1, it could be observed that there were 8 proteins with causal relationships with PBC. Among them, HSPB1, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, and PBC had a positive correlation, while EPHA1, ERAP1, and PBC had a negative correlation (all results were shown in Supplementary Table 4). From the results in Table 2, it could be observed that there are another 8 proteins with causal relationships with PSC. Among them, GSTO1, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, RNASE6, and CTSB

had a negative correlation with PSC, while FCGR3A, FCGR3B, and PSC had a positive correlation (all results were shown in Supplementary Table 5).

#### Sensitivity analysis on the proteins and PBC

First, we conducted heterogeneity tests on HSPB1, LEFTY2, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, EPHA1, ERAP1, and PBC (Table 3). The *q*-values of the heterogeneity tests for the 8 proteins were all greater than 0.05, regardless of whether the MR Egger method or IVW method was used. This indicates that there was no significant heterogeneity among the instrumental variables we used, providing reliable results. The funnel plot in Figure 2 demonstrated an even distribution of instrumental variables for the 8 proteins without obvious heterogeneity, consistent with the calculation results.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Method	Q	Q_df	Q_p-val
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	MR Egger	33.57942018	53	0.982844971
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	IVW	34.28677871	54	0.983326124
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	MR Egger	15.34154428	14	0.355212901
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	IVW	15.63659144	15	0.406606267
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	MR Egger	127.1386199	113	0.171611091
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	IVW	127.1858557	114	0.187957209
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	MR Egger	67.26242789	79	0.824010298
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	IVW	69.3766221	80	0.795841665
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	MR Egger	36.30233909	62	0.996253655
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	IVW	38.80564268	63	0.992956538
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	MR Egger	124.9118521	136	0.742409772
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	IVW	124.9186857	137	0.761735543
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	MR Egger	67.40211869	77	0.774509578
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	IVW	69.59190645	78	0.740574298
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	MR Egger	101.1836469	92	0.24055945
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	IVW	104.0331413	93	0.203980833

Table 3. The result of heterogeneity test between protein and primary biliary cholangitis.

Abbreviation: PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.



**Figure 2. Funnel plots of Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis on PBC.** (A) MR funnel plot for HSPB1 and PBC; (B) MR funnel plot for LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_20 and PBC; (D) MR funnel plot for FGF2 and PBC; (E) MR funnel plot for EPHA1 and PBC; (F) MR funnel plot for ERAP1 and PBC; (G) MR funnel plot for TNFAIP6 and PBC; (H) MR funnel plot for ITIH5 and PBC. Abbreviations: MR: Mendelian randomization; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

#### Table 4. The result of pleiotropy test between protein and primary biliary cholangitis.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Egger_intercept	se	<i>p</i> -val
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	-0.022169912	0.026359926	0.404100774
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	0.036260473	0.069880865	0.611942028
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	0.003522901	0.017193505	0.83802105
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	0.035640536	0.024511607	0.149900303
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	-0.028371055	0.017931587	0.118696115
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	-0.001297117	0.015691191	0.934239228
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	0.032322808	0.021842788	0.14300839
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	-0.034397758	0.021370146	0.110907565

Abbreviation: PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

Table 5. The result	of Steiger direction	test between protein a	nd primary	biliary cholangitis.
---------------------	----------------------	------------------------	------------	----------------------

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Snp_r2. exposure	Snp_r2. outcome	Correct_ causal_ direction	Steiger_ <i>p</i> -val
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	0.179613954	0.000256323	TRUE	0
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	0.037895293	0.000135877	TRUE	4.08E-237
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	0.545890549	0.000617874	TRUE	0
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	0.30229971	0.000317004	TRUE	0
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	0.318174206	0.000212982	TRUE	0
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	1.036182549	0.000535196	TRUE	NA
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	0.290984971	0.000323357	TRUE	0
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	0.328213373	0.000496922	TRUE	0

Abbreviation: PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

Next, a pleiotropy test was performed on HSPB1, LEFTY2, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, EPHA1, ERAP1, and PBC (Table 4). The *q*-values of the pleiotropy tests for the 8 proteins were all greater than 0.05, suggesting that the selected SNPs do not act on PBC through other pathways.

Furthermore, leave-one-out tests were conducted on the SNPs of the 8 proteins. Supplementary Figure 1 showed that the beta values of all the results lay on one side of 0, indicating that individual SNPs had minimal impact on the results of Mendelian randomization, and the results remained stable. Additional analysis using the Steiger direction test revealed that, except for the direction between the protein encoded by ERAP1 and PBC being uncertain, the *p*-values of the Steiger direction tests for HSPB1, LEFTY2, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, EPHA1, and PBC were all much smaller than 0.05. This indicated that the direction was correct and that the protein products of these 7 genes potentially contributed to the occurrence of PBC (Table 5). To further verify the direction between the protein encoded by ERAP1 and PBC, a reverse Mendelian randomization analysis was conducted, resulting in a

*p*-value of 0.18, indicating no reverse causal relationship existed (Supplementary Table 6).

Finally, the scatter plot of the Mendelian randomization results for HSPB1, LEFTY2, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, EPHA1, ERAP1, and PBC was plotted. As shown in Figure 3, HSPB1, LEFTY2, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ITIH5, and PBC exhibited positive correlation, while EPHA1, ERAP1, and PBC showed negative correlation, consistent with the results of the Mendelian randomization.

## Sensitivity analysis on the proteins and PSC

Firstly, heterogeneity tests were conducted on GSTO1, FCGR3A, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, FCGR3B, RNASE6, CTSB, and PSC (Table 6). The results from both the MR Egger method and the IVW method indicated that all genes, except FCGR2B, demonstrated q-values greater than 0.05. This implies that there was no significant heterogeneity among the instrumental variables of GSTO1, FCGR3A, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR3B, RNASE6, and CTSB, ensuring the reliability of the outcomes. The uniform distribution of instrumental variables without significant heterogeneity can be observed in the funnel plot displayed in Figure 4.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Method	Q	Q_df	Q_p-val
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	MR Egger	130.5352222	110	0.088355553
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	IVW	131.409545	111	0.090455909
15388_24_FCGR3A_FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	MR Egger	164.2225705	174	0.690884965
15388_24_FCGR3A_FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	IVW	165.1510566	175	0.691760694
16913_8_RNASET2_RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	MR Egger	51.66881974	52	0.486868401
16913_8_RNASET2_RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	IVW	53.89309321	53	0.439994777
3309_2_FCGR2A_FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	MR Egger	161.4126697	156	0.366700706
3309_2_FCGR2A_FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	IVW	161.6123403	157	0.383872503
3310_62_FCGR2B_FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	MR Egger	195.8890975	162	0.035781113
3310_62_FCGR2B_FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	IVW	195.8895765	163	0.040224913
3311_27_FCGR3B_FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	MR Egger	55.14172947	43	0.101425523
3311_27_FCGR3B_FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	IVW	57.33132758	44	0.085637624
5646_20_RNASE6_RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	MR Egger	148.4066695	148	0.475134707
5646_20_RNASE6_RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	IVW	150.3312716	149	0.454027288
8007_19_CTSB_Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	MR Egger	82.79503252	85	0.547491994
8007_19_CTSB_Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	IVW	89.71617707	86	0.370679142

Table 6. The result of heterogeneity test between protein and primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Abbreviation: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.





Therefore, for the Mendelian randomization analysis between FCGR2B and PSC, we rely on the randomeffects model results obtained using the Inverse Variance Weighted method, which revealed a *p*-value of 2.10e-06, suggesting a causal relationship between the two.

Next, a pleiotropy test was conducted on GSTO1, FCGR3A, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, FCGR3B, RNASE6, CTSB, and PSC (Table 7). It was noticeable that all genes, except CTSB, exhibited q-values greater than 0.05 in the pleiotropy tests, indicating that the selected SNPs do not exert their effects on PSC through other pathways. However, CTSB's SNP demonstrates horizontal pleiotropy, rendering the results unreliable, and consequently it is excluded from subsequent analyses.

Furthermore, leave-one-out tests were performed on the SNPs of the 8 proteins, as depicted in Supplementary Figure 2. The outcomes revealed that the beta values for individual SNPs in the MR analysis lay on one side of zero, suggesting that individual SNPs had negligible impact on the analysis results and ensuring their stability. Steiger direction tests were additionally conducted, indicating that the *p*-values for the Steiger direction tests between these 8 proteins and PSC are significantly less than 0.05, implying the correct directionality, namely, the protein products of these 8 genes influence the occurrence of PSC (Table 8).

Lastly, scatter plots illustrating the MR results for GSTO1, FCGR3A, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, FCGR3B, RNASE6, CTSB, and PSC were generated in Figure 5. From the figure, a positive correlation among FCGR3A, FCGR3B, and PSC could be observed, while GSTO1, RNASET2, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, RNASE6, CTSB, and PSC exhibited negative correlations, consistent with the MR results.

#### SMR and colocalization analysis

The presence of pleiotropy was further confirmed through the HEIDI test in the SMR analysis. The results showed that except for the protein encoded by LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_15 and the protein encoded by FCGR2A, all other HEIDI test *p*-values were greater than 0.05 (Table 9). Therefore, the SNPs of the protein encoded by LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_15 and the protein encoded by FCGR2A demonstrated pleiotropy.

The coloc analysis results (Table 10) indicated a strong colocalization between the protein encoded by LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_20 and PBC, and between FCGR3B



**Figure 4. Funnel plots of Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis on PSC.** (A) MR funnel plot for GSTO1 and PSC; (B) MR funnel plot for FCGR3A and PSC; (C) MR funnel plot for RNASET2 and PSC; (D) MR funnel plot for FCGR2A and PSC; (E) MR funnel plot for FCGR2B and PSC; (F) MR funnel plot for FCGR3B and PSC; (G) MR funnel plot for RNASE6 and PSC; (H) MR funnel plot for CTSB and PSC. Abbreviations: MR: Mendelian randomization; PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.

## Table 7. The result of pleiotropy test between protein and primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Egger_intercept	se	<i>p</i> -val
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	-0.010567731	0.012311568	0.392561392
15388_24_FCGR3A_FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	-0.008928035	0.009265486	0.336593845
16913_8_RNASET2_RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	0.019043534	0.012768899	0.141898527
3309_2_FCGR2A_FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	-0.004008726	0.009125482	0.661059868
3310_62_FCGR2B_FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	-0.000175424	0.008813695	0.98414481
3311_27_FCGR3B_FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	0.023774026	0.018193929	0.198259968
5646_20_RNASE6_RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	0.010788007	0.007786936	0.168015118
8007_19_CTSB_Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	-0.028469396	0.010821546	0.01011224

Abbreviation: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.

#### Table 8. The result of Steiger direction test between protein and primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Snp_r2. exposure	Snp_r2. outcome	Correct_ causal_ direction	Steiger_ <i>p</i> -val
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	0.592299575	0.000537659	TRUE	0
15388_24_FCGR3A_FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	0.934075888	0.000699525	TRUE	0
16913_8_RNASET2_RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	0.178739732	0.000236886	TRUE	0
3309_2_FCGR2A_FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	0.855566158	0.000697265	TRUE	0
3310_62_FCGR2B_FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	0.77594143	0.000765493	TRUE	0
3311_27_FCGR3B_FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	0.122381344	0.000278588	TRUE	0
5646_20_RNASE6_RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	0.505588478	0.000564529	TRUE	0
8007_19_CTSB_Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	0.500938131	0.000420383	TRUE	0

Abbreviation: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.





# Table 9. The result of summary-data-based Mendelian randomization.

Exposure	Gene	Topsnp	Outcome	p_HEIDI	nsnp_HEIDI
15503_15_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	rs360058	PBC	0.01569885	20
15503_20_LEFTY2_Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	rs10915893	PBC	0.0537142	20
5036_50_TNFAIP6_TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	rs2278089	PBC	0.890924	20
3025_50_FGF2_bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	rs308403	PBC	0.9544156	20
4964_67_ERAP1_ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	rs30185	PBC	0.9706626	20
11103_24_HSPB1_HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	rs2868371	PBC	0.8863009	20
3431_54_EPHA1_EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	rs4725617	PBC	0.1184055	20
8233_2_ITIH5_ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	rs10795561	PBC	0.1364776	20
3309_2_FCGR2A_FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	rs1801274	PSC	0.01835392	20
15388_24_FCGR3A_FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	rs10919543	PSC	0.2464716	20
3311_27_FCGR3B_FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	rs2099684	PSC	0.1935976	20
3310_62_FCGR2B_FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	rs6665610	PSC	0.1977813	20
16913_8_RNASET2_RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	rs35614625	PSC	0.2032446	20
8007_19_CTSB_Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	rs2645425	PSC	0.8469198	20
12436_84_GSTO1_GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	rs45596840	PSC	0.6104043	20
5646_20_RNASE6_RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	rs986193	PSC	0.2111333	20

Abbreviations: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

#### Table 10. The result of colocalization.

Exposure	Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Nsnps	PP.H0.abf	PP.H1.abf	PP.H2.abf	PP.H3.abf	PP.H4.abf
11103_24_HSPB1_ HSP_27.txt.gz	HSPB1	P04792	PBC	7604	1.02E-293	0.244948657	6.43E-294	0.154662126	0.600389217
15503_15_LEFTY2_ Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	7702	9.72E-96	0.359608508	1.37E-95	0.505349337	0.135042155
15503_20_LEFTY2_ Lefty_A.txt.gz	LEFTY2	O00292	PBC	7702	9.30E-303	0.044440725	1.31E-302	0.06189221	0.893667065
3025_50_FGF2_ bFGF.txt.gz	FGF2	P09038	PBC	8401	3.86E-302	0.612355464	2.12E-302	0.336159162	0.051485374
3431_54_EPHA1_ EphA1.txt.gz	EPHA1	P21709	PBC	5680	2.38E-295	0.617372726	1.03E-295	0.266127574	0.1164997
4964_67_ERAP1_ ARTS1.txt.gz	ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	9300	4.37E-306	0.589286656	2.39E-306	0.321659709	0.089053635
5036_50_TNFAIP6_ TSG_6.txt.gz	TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	8110	1.25E-303	0.630683591	6.43E-304	0.325367544	0.043948865
8233_2_ITIH5_ ITIH5.txt.gz	ITIH5	Q86UX2	PBC	11520	4.55E-294	0.473506481	3.39E-294	0.352917859	0.17357566
12436_84_GSTO1_ GST_omega_1.txt.gz	GSTO1	P78417	PSC	7830	6.39E-305	0.580905661	3.24E-305	0.294613073	0.124481266
15388_24_FCGR3A_ FcRIIIa.txt.gz	FCGR3A	P08637	PSC	8778	7.19E-306	0.270997462	6.89E-306	0.259484414	0.469518124
16913_8_RNASET2_ RNT2.txt.gz	RNASET2	O00584	PSC	10388	3.76E-216	0.415100579	1.93E-216	0.213417558	0.371481863
3309_2_FCGR2A_ FCG2A.txt.gz	FCGR2A	P12318	PSC	8928	1.48E-306	0.240712985	1.41E-306	0.230091232	0.529195782
3310_62_FCGR2B_ FCG2B.txt.gz	FCGR2B	P31994	PSC	8634	2.06E-306	0.296974467	1.96E-306	0.282948095	0.420077438
3311_27_FCGR3B_ FCG3B.txt.gz	FCGR3B	O75015	PSC	8619	4.07E-304	0.051060059	3.91E-304	0.04855231	0.900387631
5646_20_RNASE6_ RNAS6.txt.gz	RNASE6	Q93091	PSC	10149	2.00E-305	0.518012159	1.09E-305	0.282150919	0.199836922
8007_19_CTSB_ Cathepsin_B.txt.gz	CTSB	P07858	PSC	9602	5.30E-302	0.586984533	2.95E-302	0.326537269	0.086478198

Abbreviations: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

Table 11. The result of Mendeliar	n randomization betweer	protein and primar	y cholangitis (validation).
-----------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Gene	UniProt	Outcome	Method	Nsnp	b	se	<i>p</i> -val	
ITIH5	G5E9D8; Q86UX2; Q96JW9; C9J2H1; A0A096LP62	PBC	Wald ratio	1	0.281800435	0.21906797	0.198317196	
HSPB1	P04792; V9HW43	PBC	Wald ratio	1	-0.465030556	0.204754444	0.02313716	
FGF2	P09038	PBC	Wald ratio	1	0.229518318	0.120573948	0.056969056	
TNFAIP6	P98066	PBC	Wald ratio	1	0.170681511	0.113453002	0.132472021	
ERAP1	Q9NZ08	PBC	Wald ratio	1	-0.112176807	0.078958294	0.155401199	
FCGR3B	O75015; M9MML6; A0A087WZR4; A0A087WU90	PSC	Wald ratio	1	0.323937514	0.087694693	0.00022082	
FCGR2B	P31994; P31995	PSC	Wald ratio	1	-0.074429666	0.03395003	0.028355693	
GSTO1	P78417; V9HWG9	PSC	Wald ratio	1	-0.062942688	0.039904699	0.114720716	
CTSB	Q5HYG5; A0A024R374; P07858; B4DMY4	PSC	Wald ratio	1	-0.143471974	0.101695949	0.158305542	
RNASE6	Q6IB39; Q93091	PSC	Wald ratio	1	-0.08948982	0.039917461	0.024969673	

Abbreviations: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

and PSC (PP.H4 > 0.8). There was a moderate colocalization between HSPB1 and PBC, and between FCGR2A and PSC (0.5 < PP.H4 < 0.8).

#### Validation of external datasets

For further verification of the results, we validated using pQTL data from "Phenome-wide Mendelian randomization: mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases". The protein dataset in this data differs from the deCODE dataset. We used this new protein data to validate five genes (ITIH5, HSPB1, FGF2, TNFAIP6, ERAP1) encoding proteins in PBC, where HSPB1 had a *p*-value of < 0.05. Furthermore, in PSC, we validated five genes (FCGR3B, FCGR2B, GSTO1, CTSB, RNASE6) encoding proteins, where FCGR3B, FCGR2B, and RNASE6 had a p-value of < 0.05 (Table 11). Nearly half of the genes encoding proteins were able to reproduce positive results. However, due to the smaller number of SNPs and sample size in the validation dataset compared to the deCODE dataset, we relied on the data from the deCODE database.

#### Pharmaceutical targets prediction

To identify potential drug targets, we categorized potential target proteins into three groups based on the results of our analysis. Group one included proteins with a *p*-value < 0.05 after Mendelian randomization correction, a HEIDI test *p*-value > 0.05, and a posterior probability of H4 (PP.H4) for colocalization analysis of  $\geq$  0.8. The second group consisted of proteins with a *p*-value < 0.05 after Mendelian randomization correction, a HEIDI test *p*-value > 0.05, and a posterior probability of H4 (PP.H4) for colocalization analysis of  $\geq$  0.8. The second group consisted of proteins with a *p*-value < 0.05 after Mendelian randomization correction, a HEIDI test *p*-value > 0.05, and a PP.H4 for colocalization analysis between 0.5 and < 0.8. The third group included proteins with a *p*-value < 0.05 after Mendelian randomization

correction, a HEIDI test *p*-value > 0.05, and a PP.H4 for colocalization analysis < 0.5. Using these criteria, we identified LEFTY2-encoded protein (targeted by primer 15503\_20) as a potential drug target in group one, HSPB1 as a potential drug target in group two, and LEFTY2-encoded protein (targeted by primer 15503\_15), TNFAIP6, FGF2, ERAP1, EPHA1, and ITIH5 as potential drug targets in group three for PBC. For PSC, we identified FCGR3B as a potential drug target in group one, and FCGR3A, FCGR2B, RNASET2, CTSB, GSTO1, and RNASE6 as potential drug targets in group three. FCGR2A was excluded from the analysis due to a HEIDI test *p*-value < 0.05, while CTSB was not considered for further analysis due to its SNP's horizontal pleiotropy.

We analyzed the potential pharmaceuticals for group one and two druggable targets in DURGBANK database and found that LEFTY2 did not have corresponding drugs in PBC, while HSPB1 had three potential drugs: Apatorsen, Phenethyl Isothiocyanate, and Artenimol. In PSC, FCGR3B corresponded to 12 potential drugs: Alefacept, Alemtuzumab, Catumaxomab, Cetuximab, Daclizumab, Etanercept, Gemtuzumab ozogamicin, Human immunoglobulin G, Muromonab, Natalizumab, Palivizumab, and Sarilumab (Table 12).

#### Differential expression verification

Following the data normalization procedure, Top DEGs in PBC and PSC, respectively, were selected using a threshold of  $|\log 2$  fold change (FC)|  $\geq 1$  and a *p*-value < 0.05. By intersecting with the genes identified in previous MR analysis, we found two differentially expressed genes with drug targets associated with PBC and three differentially expressed genes with drug targets associated with PSC. The expression levels of ITIH5 (*p*-value < 0.001) were increased and HSPB1

Disease	Tier	Target	Drugbank id	Name	Durg group	Pharmacological action	Actions
	1	LEFTY2	NA				
			DB06094	Apatorsen	Investigational	Unknown	
PBC	2	HSPB1	DB12695	Phenethyl Isothiocyanate	Investigational	Unknown	
			DB11638	Artenimol	Approved, experimental, investigational	Unknown	Ligand
			DB00092	Alefacept	Approved, investigational, withdrawn	Unknown	
			DB00002	Cetuximab	Approved	Unknown	Binder
			DB00005	Etanercept	Approved, investigational	Unknown	Ligand
			DB00028	Human immunoglobulin G	Approved, investigational	Yes	Antagonist
			DB00056 Gemtuzumab ozogamic		Approved, investigational	Unknown	
DEC	1	FCGR3B	DB00075	Muromonab	Approved, investigational	Unknown	
PSC	1		DB00087	Alemtuzumab	Approved, investigational	Unknown	Binder
			DB00108	Natalizumab	Approved, investigational	Unknown	
			DB00110	Palivizumab	Approved, investigational	Unknown	
			DB00111	Daclizumab	Investigational, withdrawn	Unknown	
			DB11767	Sarilumab	Approved, investigational	Unknown	Unknown
			DB06607	Catumaxomab	Approved, investigational, withdrawn		

Table 12. Protein corresponding drug in DRUGBANK.

Abbreviations: PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.

(p-value < 0.01) were decreased in the PBC group compared to the control group (Figure 6A). Regarding PSC, all of the expression levels of FCGR2A (p-value < 0.001), FCGR3B (p-value < 0.001), and RNASET2 (p-value < 0.05) were higher compared to the control group (Figure 6B).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was also utilized for examining the protein expression of HSPB1 and LEFTY2 in liver tissues from patients with PBC, as well as FCGR3B in liver tissues from patients with PSC. Based on the staining intensity in the cytoplasm and nuclei, we clearly observed an increased expression of HSPB1 and LEFTY2 in PBC tissues compared to normal tissues (*p*-value < 0.05) (Figure 6C, 6D). Likewise, FCGR3B exhibited higher expression in the PSC group compared to the normal group (*p*-value < 0.05) (Figure 6E).

## **DISCUSSION**

PBC is characterized by autoimmune destruction of intrahepatic bile ducts and primarily affects middleaged women, with a prevalence rate of 10/100,000. On the other hand, PSC is associated with chronic inflammation and fibrosis of both intra- and extrahepatic bile ducts, with a prevalence range of 2.4– 7.5/100,000 and no gender predilection [4, 7]. Although substantial progress has been made in comprehending PBC and PSC, they still present various research challenges. The precise mechanisms responsible for disease onset and progression, the availability of biomarkers for early diagnosis and disease monitoring, and effective therapeutic modalities remain limited [35–37]. Managing PBC and PSC is challenging due to multiple factors. While the current therapies primarily focus on symptom relief, slowing disease progression, and complications management, a curative treatment remains absent. The identification of novel therapeutic targets becomes crucial in meeting the unmet clinical requirements. Revealing the underlying molecular mechanisms and identifying the pathways involved in the pathogenesis of these diseases could lead to the discovery of potential targets for future interventions. Promisingly, novel therapies that specifically target these pathways have the potential to enhance outcomes for patients suffering from PBC and PSC.

This study employed an extensive Mendelian randomization analysis, integrating GWAS datasets, FINNGEN datasets, pharmacogenomic, and gene expression data (pQTL) to identify potential druggable targets for PBC and PSC. The analysis encompassed 557 patients with PBC and 281,127 controls, along with 1,715 patients with PSC and 330,903 controls. Our findings identified LEFTY2 and HSPB1 encoding proteins, with high and moderate confidence, respectively, as potential drug targets for PBC, and FCGR3B encoding protein, with high confidence, as a potential target for PSC.

HSPB1, also known as  $\alpha\beta$ -binding protein or HSP27, encodes a heat shock protein. HSPB1 serves as a molecular chaperone, regulating protein folding, promoting protein homodimerization, and binding to protein kinases. Additionally, HSP27 can be activated and participate in cellular stress responses like the heat shock response and oxidative stress. These functions enable the HSPB1 gene to play a crucial protective role in maintaining cell homeostasis. Research has demonstrated the significant involvement of the HSPB1 gene in various diseases [38–40]. Notably, diseases affecting the nervous system are particularly prominent. According to reports, mutations in the HSPB1 gene have been associated with neurodegenerative diseases, including Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) [41] and late-onset amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [42]. Such mutations could impair the function of the HSP27 protein, leading to nerve cell fragility and death.

Furthermore, the HSPB1 gene plays a role in the onset and progression of numerous liver diseases. In a study, notable variations in HSPB1 expression levels were observed between individuals with liver fibrosis and healthy controls, with higher HSPB1 expression showing a positive correlation with the severity of liver fibrosis [43]. Lu et al. conducted a study exploring the association between the HSPB1 gene and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). The findings revealed a substantial increase in HSPB1 expression among patients with NAFLD, which exhibited a positive correlation with the extent of liver damage [44]. Our study revealed, for the first time, a causal relationship between HSPB1 and PBC. By integrating SMR and colocalization analyses, we confirmed that HSPB1 has a positive estimate effect (p-value = 7.24e-08 (IVW)) and a moderate co-localization relationship with PBC (p-HEIDI = 0.886, PP.H4 = 0.600). These findings were consistent with previous researches, suggesting that



**Figure 6. Validation of external dataset and IHC experiment.** (A) Expression levels of HSPB1 and ITIH5 between PBC and control groups in GSE119600 dataset; (B) Expression levels of FCGR2A, FCGR3B, and RNASET2 between PSC and control groups in GSE119600 dataset; (C) IHC staining of HSPB1 encoding proteins in liver samples of PBC patients and normal individuals; (D) IHC staining of LEFTY2 encoding proteins in liver samples of PBC patients and normal individuals; (D) IHC staining of LEFTY2 encoding proteins in liver samples of PBC patients and normal individuals; (D) IHC staining of PSC patients and normal individuals. Abbreviations: IHC: immunohistochemistry; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis; PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis. \*p-value < 0.05, \*\*p-value < 0.01, \*\*\*p-value < 0.001.

upregulated HSPB1 expression might promote the onset and progression of PBC. However, despite pQTL data from external datasets verifying the causal association between HSPB1 and PBC, a negative estimate effect was observed (Table 11). Additionally, we observed decreased HSPB1 expression in patients with PBC compared to normal individuals through DEG analysis of a GEO dataset. Contrarily, IHC analysis showed a higher expression intensity in PBC samples than control samples (Figure 6C). We speculate that the inconsistencies in these results are mainly attributed to an insufficient sample size in validation datasets, emphasizing the need for randomized controlled studies with larger sample sizes for further verification.

LEFTY2. also known as Left-right determination factor 2, emerged as a potential therapeutic target for PBC due to its strong association with the disease (p-HEIDI = 0.054, PP.H4 = 0.894) based on comprehensive analyses. LEFTY2 is known to exert significant effects on embryonic development and tissue repair [45, 46]. Recent evidence has increasingly implicated LEFTY2 in liver diseases. Investigating liver fibrosis, a study revealed substantial downregulation of LEFTY2 expression in affected patients. Subsequent functional studies elucidated that LEFTY2 mitigates liver fibrosis by suppressing hepatic stellate cell (HSC) activation and collagen synthesis [47]. Furthermore, a recently uncovered pathway involving the circCREBBP-hasmiR-1291/LEFTY2 axis was shown to alleviate liver fibrosis [48]. Moreover, LEFTY2 has been implicated in the pathogenesis and advancement of endometrial cancer [49]. Nevertheless, this is the pioneering study to suggest LEFTY2 as a potential therapeutic target for PBC. Despite the lack of differential expression of LEFTY2 in the PBC group versus the control group in the external dataset, analysis of clinical liver samples from PBC patients revealed varying expression levels of LEFTY2 compared to normal samples. The limited sample size remains the primary limitation in terms of validation rigor.

According to our comprehensive analysis, among the potential druggable targets, FCGR3B emerged as a unique and promising candidate on PSC. The FCGR3B gene encodes  $Fc\gamma$  receptor IIIb ( $Fc\gamma$ RIIIb), which is highly expressed on immune cells, particularly neutrophils, thereby regulating the immune responses. As a result, FCGR3B has been implicated in various autoimmune diseases, leading to extensive research in this field [50–52]. Notably, variations in the FCGR3B gene have been closely linked to the occurrence and progression of viral hepatitis infections [53] and autoimmune liver diseases [54], in addition to systemic lupus erythematosus and pulmonary fibrosis. A deficiency of the FCGR3B gene has been shown to increase the risk of chronic hepatitis B [55]. Furthermore, it has been reported that monocytes with high expression of FCGR3B are positively correlated with disease progression, liver damage indicators, and serum C-reactive protein levels in patients with PBC [54]. Consistent with these findings, our analysis of the GEO dataset and experimental validation revealed upregulated expression of FCGR3B in the PSC group, which further strengthens the reliability of its potential positive impact on PSC (p-HEIDI = 0.194, PP.H4 = 0.900).

Furthermore, we utilized the DRUGBANK database, a comprehensive and accurate tool encompasses various drug information, to obtain a list of approved drugs corresponding to HSPB1 and FCGR3B, respectively. Apatorsen [56], Phenethyl Isothiocyanate [57], and Artemisinin Derivatives (anti-malaria drugs) [58] have been studied as cancer treatment drugs capable of inducing cell apoptosis and modulating immune response. However, currently, there are no clear studies showing a direct correlation or effect between these drugs and PBC. Our study initially revealed the potential therapeutic function of these drugs on PBC by targeting HSPB1. Based on current research and clinical practice, there is no clear evidence directly linking the 12 FCGR3B-targeted drugs to PSC. These drugs are primarily used for treating other diseases such as cancer [59], autoimmune diseases [60], and transplant rejection [61]. Nevertheless, the mechanisms of action of some drugs might have relevance to the treatment and research of PSC. For instance, Etanercept [62] and Sarilumab [63] are drugs used for treating rheumatoid arthritis, which have potential connections to PSC. Additionally, Natalizumab is a medication used for Crohn's disease and also shows some association with PSC [64] However, treating PBC and PSC remains challenging, and further research is needed to determine the most effective treatment methods.

Our research utilized Mendelian randomization as a reliable research method and predictive tool for predicting drug target molecules. It has the advantages of randomized design, experimental control, and prospective study, potential causal relationships, and providing new perspectives for research. This contributes to drug development and personalized therapies, thus avoiding time-consuming, expensive and associated with a high failure rate in the conventional drug development process. Using MR, we successfully identified two druggable targets (LEFTY2 and HSPB1) that are correlated with PBC and one druggable target (FCGR3B) that is associated with PSC. These findings establish these genes as potential drug targets for PBC and PSC, significantly enhancing our understanding of these complex disorders. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the development of novel drugs is a highly rigorous, scientific, and intricate process. Our current work represents merely the initial stage of a lengthy endeavor. Subsequent investigations could capitalize on extensive genetic and proteomic datasets, in conjunction with comprehensive phenotypic data and clinical records, to elucidate the intricate genetic and environmental regulatory mechanisms that underlie PBC and PSC. Adopting this approach shows great potential for devising more precise and personalized treatment strategies, ultimately resulting in enhanced therapeutic outcomes for individuals affected by PBC and PSC.

However, our research is not without limitations. First, while we have made every effort to minimize genetic pleiotropy, it cannot be entirely eliminated. Moreover, some phenotypes/biomarkers might only be causative during specific stages of life, leading to the possible omission of certain causal associations. Second, despite the high degree of homogeneity in our study population, the generalizability of our findings to individuals from diverse ancestral backgrounds remains uncertain. Third, the modest sample sizes of our validation datasets and clinical samples might have compromised the reliability of our validations to some extent. Therefore, large-scale RCTs and fundamental research are necessary for further clarification. Additionally, prior to clinical trials, drug candidates ought to undergo validation in vitro cell models or animal models to assess their efficacy, safety, pharmacokinetics, and other relevant factors.

In summary, our research provides support for the therapeutic potential of targeting LEFTY2 and HSPB1 in intervening or halting the progression of PBC, as well as targeting FCGR3B in PSC. These novel druggable targets have the potential to effectively address the challenging nature of PBC and PSC treatments and offer fresh insights into exploring underlying mechanisms. Nevertheless, it is essential to conduct fundamental experiments and RCTs to assess the effectiveness and safety of preventive strategies for PBC and PSC.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception and writing-original draft preparation, L.D.; charting and methodology, J.M.; data analysis and visualization, L.D.; reference acquisition, Y.Y.Y.; comments and suggestions, J.H. and Z.T.H.; manuscript revision and funding acquisition, C.J.L. All the authors approved the final manuscript.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

## ETHICAL STATEMENT AND CONSENT

The research procedures involving human subjects were approved by the research ethics committee at Lihuili Hospital, affiliated with Ningbo University (Approval No. KY2023ML052). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, following the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its subsequent revisions, as well as relevant ethical standards, prior to their enrollment. All the data acquisition complied with the accessibility statement of the database.

## FUNDING

This study was supported by Ningbo Health Branding Subject Fund (PPXK2018-03), Municipal Key Technical Research and Development Program of Ningbo (2023Z160) and Natural Science Foundation of Ningbo (2023J221).

## REFERENCES

 Hirschfield GM, Dyson JK, Alexander GJM, Chapman MH, Collier J, Hübscher S, Patanwala I, Pereira SP, Thain C, Thorburn D, Tiniakos D, Walmsley M, Webster G, Jones DEJ. The British Society of Gastroenterology/UK-PBC primary biliary cholangitis treatment and management guidelines. Gut. 2018; 67:1568–94.

https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2017-315259 PMID:29593060

- Younossi ZM, Bernstein D, Shiffman ML, Kwo P, Kim WR, Kowdley KV, Jacobson IM. Diagnosis and Management of Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2019; 114:48–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41395-018-0390-3</u> PMID:<u>30429590</u>
- Dyson JK, Beuers U, Jones DEJ, Lohse AW, Hudson M. Primary sclerosing cholangitis. Lancet. 2018; 391:2547–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30300-3</u> PMID:<u>29452711</u>
- Karlsen TH, Folseraas T, Thorburn D, Vesterhus M. Primary sclerosing cholangitis - a comprehensive review. J Hepatol. 2017; 67:1298–323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.07.022</u> PMID:<u>28802875</u>
- Guicciardi ME, Trussoni CE, LaRusso NF, Gores GJ. The Spectrum of Reactive Cholangiocytes in Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. Hepatology. 2020; 71:741–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31067</u> PMID:<u>31833071</u>
- 6. Little R, Wine E, Kamath BM, Griffiths AM, Ricciuto

A. Gut microbiome in primary sclerosing cholangitis: A review. World J Gastroenterol. 2020; 26:2768–80. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i21.2768 PMID:32550753

 Lleo A, Wang GQ, Gershwin ME, Hirschfield GM. Primary biliary cholangitis. Lancet. 2020; 396:1915– 26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31607-X</u>

PMID:<u>33308474</u>

- Deneau MR, Mack C, Mogul D, Perito ER, Valentino PL, Amir AZ, DiGuglielmo M, Draijer LG, El-Matary W, Furuya KN, Gupta N, Hochberg JT, Horslen S, et al. Oral Vancomycin, Ursodeoxycholic Acid, or No Therapy for Pediatric Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis: A Matched Analysis. Hepatology. 2021; 73:1061–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31560</u> PMID:<u>32946600</u>
- 9. Wang ZL, Jin R, Hao M, Xie YD, Liu ZC, Wang XX, Feng B. Treatment of ursodeoxycholic acid with glucocorticoids and immunosuppressants may improve the long-term survival rate in primary biliary cholangitis patients. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022; 101:e31395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000031395</u> PMID:36401422
- Shi J, Li Z, Zeng X, Lin Y, Xie WF. Ursodeoxycholic acid in primary sclerosing cholangitis: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Hepatol Res. 2009; 39:865–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1872-034X.2009.00527.x</u> PMID:19467021
- 11. D'Amato D, De Vincentis A, Malinverno F, Viganò M, Alvaro D, Pompili M, Picciotto A, Palitti VP, Russello M, Storato S, Pigozzi MG, Calvaruso V, De Gasperi E, et al, and Italian PBC Registry and the Club Epatologi Ospedalieri (CLEO)/Associazione Italiana Gastroenterologi ed Endoscopisti Digestivi Ospedalieri (AIGO) PBC Study Group. Real-world experience with obeticholic acid in patients with primary biliary cholangitis. JHEP Rep. 2021; 3:100248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2021.100248 PMID:33681748
- Hingorani A, Humphries S. Nature's randomised trials. Lancet. 2005; 366:1906–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67767-7</u> PMID:<u>16325682</u>
- Suhre K, McCarthy MI, Schwenk JM. Genetics meets proteomics: perspectives for large population-based studies. Nat Rev Genet. 2021; 22:19–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-020-0268-2</u> PMID:<u>32860016</u>
- 14. Emilsson V, Ilkov M, Lamb JR, Finkel N, Gudmundsson

EF, Pitts R, Hoover H, Gudmundsdottir V, Horman SR, Aspelund T, Shu L, Trifonov V, Sigurdsson S, et al. Coregulatory networks of human serum proteins link genetics to disease. Science. 2018; 361:769–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1327</u> PMID:<u>30072576</u>

- Hillary RF, McCartney DL, Harris SE, Stevenson AJ, Seeboth A, Zhang Q, Liewald DC, Evans KL, Ritchie CW, Tucker-Drob EM, Wray NR, McRae AF, Visscher PM, et al. Genome and epigenome wide studies of neurological protein biomarkers in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:3160. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11177-x</u> PMID:<u>31320639</u>
- 16. Folkersen L, Gustafsson S, Wang Q, Hansen DH, Hedman ÅK, Schork A, Page K, Zhernakova DV, Wu Y, Peters J, Eriksson N, Bergen SE, Boutin TS, et al. Genomic and drug target evaluation of 90 cardiovascular proteins in 30,931 individuals. Nat Metab. 2020; 2:1135–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-00287-2</u> PMID:<u>33067605</u>
- Gilly A, Park YC, Png G, Barysenka A, Fischer I, Bjørnland T, Southam L, Suveges D, Neumeyer S, Rayner NW, Tsafantakis E, Karaleftheri M, Dedoussis G, Zeggini E. Whole-genome sequencing analysis of the cardiometabolic proteome. Nat Commun. 2020; 11:6336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20079-2</u> PMID:33303764
- Cordell HJ. Detecting gene-gene interactions that underlie human diseases. Nat Rev Genet. 2009; 10:392–404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2579</u> PMID:<u>19434077</u>
- Gaziano L, Giambartolomei C, Pereira AC, Gaulton A, Posner DC, Swanson SA, Ho YL, Iyengar SK, Kosik NM, Vujkovic M, Gagnon DR, Bento AP, Barrio-Hernandez I, et al, and VA Million Veteran Program COVID-19 Science Initiative. Actionable druggable genome-wide Mendelian randomization identifies repurposing opportunities for COVID-19. Nat Med. 2021; 27:668– 76.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01310-z PMID:<u>33837377</u>

 Storm CS, Kia DA, Almramhi MM, Bandres-Ciga S, Finan C, Hingorani AD, Wood NW, and International Parkinson's Disease Genomics Consortium (IPDGC). Finding genetically-supported drug targets for Parkinson's disease using Mendelian randomization of the druggable genome. Nat Commun. 2021; 12:7342.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26280-1

PMID:34930919

 Chen Y, Xu X, Wang L, Li K, Sun Y, Xiao L, Dai J, Huang M, Wang Y, Wang DW. Genetic insights into therapeutic targets for aortic aneurysms: A Mendelian randomization study. EBioMedicine. 2022; 83:104199.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104199 PMID:<u>35952493</u>

- 22. Yang H, Chen L, Liu Y. A large-scale plasma proteome Mendelian randomization study identifies novel causal plasma proteins related to primary biliary cholangitis. Front Immunol. 2023; 14:1052616. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1052616</u> PMID:<u>36825008</u>
- Bombaci M, Pesce E, Torri A, Carpi D, Crosti M, Lanzafame M, Cordiglieri C, Sinisi A, Moro M, Bernuzzi F, Gerussi A, Geginat J, Muratori L, et al. Novel biomarkers for primary biliary cholangitis to improve diagnosis and understand underlying regulatory mechanisms. Liver Int. 2019; 39:2124–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14128</u> PMID:<u>31033124</u>
- 24. Carey EJ, Ali AH, Lindor KD. Primary biliary cirrhosis. Lancet. 2015; 386:1565–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00154-3</u> PMID:<u>26364546</u>
- 25. Finan C, Gaulton A, Kruger FA, Lumbers RT, Shah T, Engmann J, Galver L, Kelley R, Karlsson A, Santos R, Overington JP, Hingorani AD, Casas JP. The druggable genome and support for target identification and validation in drug development. Sci Transl Med. 2017; 9:eaag1166.

https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aag1166 PMID:28356508

- 26. Ferkingstad E, Sulem P, Atlason BA, Sveinbjornsson G, Magnusson MI, Styrmisdottir EL, Gunnarsdottir K, Helgason A, Oddsson A, Halldorsson BV, Jensson BO, Zink F, Halldorsson GH, et al. Large-scale integration of the plasma proteome with genetics and disease. Nat Genet. 2021; 53:1712–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00978-w</u> PMID:<u>34857953</u>
- Zheng J, Haberland V, Baird D, Walker V, Haycock PC, Hurle MR, Gutteridge A, Erola P, Liu Y, Luo S, Robinson J, Richardson TG, Staley JR, et al. Phenomewide Mendelian randomization mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases. Nat Genet. 2020; 52:1122–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0682-6</u> PMID:<u>32895551</u>
- 28. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner KM, Reeve MP, Laivuori H, Aavikko M,

Kaunisto MA, Loukola A, Lahtela E, Mattsson H, et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a wellphenotyped isolated population. Nature. 2023; 613:508–18.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05473-8 PMID:<u>36653562</u>

- 29. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, Laurin C, Burgess S, Bowden J, Langdon R, Tan VY, Yarmolinsky J, Shihab HA, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Elife. 2018; 7:e34408. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34408 PMID:29846171
- Wu Y, Zeng J, Zhang F, Zhu Z, Qi T, Zheng Z, Lloyd-Jones LR, Marioni RE, Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Deary IJ, Wray NR, Visscher PM, et al. Integrative analysis of omics summary data reveals putative mechanisms underlying complex traits. Nat Commun. 2018; 9:918. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03371-0</u>

PMID:29500431

 Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, Plagnol V. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10:e1004383. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.10 PMID:24830394

- 32. Wishart DS, Feunang YD, Guo AC, Lo EJ, Marcu A, Grant JR, Sajed T, Johnson D, Li C, Sayeeda Z, Assempour N, lynkkaran I, Liu Y, et al. DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46:D1074–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1037</u> PMID:<u>29126136</u>
- 33. Ostrowski J, Goryca K, Lazowska I, Rogowska A, Paziewska A, Dabrowska M, Ambrozkiewicz F, Karczmarski J, Balabas A, Kluska A, Piatkowska M, Zeber-Lubecka N, Kulecka M, et al, and Polish PBC study Group, and Polish IBD study Group. Common functional alterations identified in blood transcriptome of autoimmune cholestatic liver and inflammatory bowel diseases. Sci Rep. 2019; 9:7190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43699-1</u> PMID:31076612
- 34. Schober P, Boer C, Schwarte LA. Correlation Coefficients: Appropriate Use and Interpretation. Anesth Analg. 2018; 126:1763–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.00000000002864</u> PMID:29481436
- Reshetnyak VI. Primary biliary cirrhosis: Clinical and laboratory criteria for its diagnosis. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21:7683–708.

https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i25.7683 PMID:<u>26167070</u>

- 36. Czul F, Peyton A, Levy C. Primary biliary cirrhosis: therapeutic advances. Clin Liver Dis. 2013; 17:229–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cld.2012.12.003</u> PMID:<u>23540499</u>
- Eaton JE, Talwalkar JA, Lazaridis KN, Gores GJ, Lindor KD. Pathogenesis of primary sclerosing cholangitis and advances in diagnosis and management. Gastroenterology. 2013; 145:521–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.06.052</u> PMID:<u>23827861</u>
- Shan R, Liu N, Yan Y, Liu B. Apoptosis, autophagy and atherosclerosis: Relationships and the role of Hsp27. Pharmacol Res. 2021; 166:105169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105169</u> PMID:<u>33053445</u>
- Sanchez-Niño MD, Sanz AB, Sanchez-Lopez E, Ruiz-Ortega M, Benito-Martin A, Saleem MA, Mathieson PW, Mezzano S, Egido J, Ortiz A. HSP27/HSPB1 as an adaptive podocyte antiapoptotic protein activated by high glucose and angiotensin II. Lab Invest. 2012; 92:32–45.

https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.2011.138 PMID:21931298

- 40. Crowe J, Aubareda A, McNamee K, Przybycien PM, Lu X, Williams RO, Bou-Gharios G, Saklatvala J, Dean JL. Heat shock protein B1-deficient mice display impaired wound healing. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e77383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077383</u> PMID:<u>24143227</u>
- Abati E, Magri S, Meneri M, Manenti G, Velardo D, Balistreri F, Pisciotta C, Saveri P, Bresolin N, Comi GP, Ronchi D, Pareyson D, Taroni F, Corti S. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2F associated with biallelic HSPB1 mutations. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2021; 8:1158–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51364

https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51364 PMID:<u>33943041</u>

- 42. Heilman PL, Song S, Miranda CJ, Meyer K, Srivastava AK, Knapp A, Wier CG, Kaspar BK, Kolb SJ. HSPB1 mutations causing hereditary neuropathy in humans disrupt non-cell autonomous protection of motor neurons. Exp Neurol. 2017; 297:101–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2017.08.002</u> PMID:<u>28797631</u>
- Huang Q, Ye J, Huang Q, Chen W, Wang L, Lin W, Lin J, Lin X. Heat shock protein 27 is over-expressed in tumor tissues and increased in sera of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2010; 48:263–9.

https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2010.043

PMID:<u>19961396</u>

- 44. Li CW, Chiu YK, Chen BS. Investigating Pathogenic and Hepatocarcinogenic Mechanisms from Normal Liver to HCC by Constructing Genetic and Epigenetic Networks via Big Genetic and Epigenetic Data Mining and Genome-Wide NGS Data Identification. Dis Markers. 2018; 2018:8635329. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8635329</u> PMID:30344796
- Meno C, Gritsman K, Ohishi S, Ohfuji Y, Heckscher E, Mochida K, Shimono A, Kondoh H, Talbot WS, Robertson EJ, Schier AF, Hamada H. Mouse Lefty2 and zebrafish antivin are feedback inhibitors of nodal signaling during vertebrate gastrulation. Mol Cell. 1999; 4:287–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80331-7

https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80331-7 PMID:<u>10518210</u>

- 46. Bergmann S, Penfold CA, Slatery E, Siriwardena D, Drummer C, Clark S, Strawbridge SE, Kishimoto K, Vickers A, Tewary M, Kohler TN, Hollfelder F, Reik W, et al. Spatial profiling of early primate gastrulation in utero. Nature. 2022; 609:136–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04953-1</u> PMID:<u>35709828</u>
- 47. Yang YR, Bu FT, Yang Y, Li H, Huang C, Meng XM, Zhang L, Lv XW, Li J. LEFTY2 alleviates hepatic stellate cell activation and liver fibrosis by regulating the TGFβ1/Smad3 pathway. Mol Immunol. 2020; 126:31–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2020.07.012 PMID:<u>32745796</u>
- 48. Yang YR, Hu S, Bu FT, Li H, Huang C, Meng XM, Zhang L, Lv XW, Li J. Circular RNA CREBBP Suppresses Hepatic Fibrosis Via Targeting the hsa-miR-1291/LEFTY2 Axis. Front Pharmacol. 2021; 12:741151. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.741151 PMID:34887753
- 49. Zeng N, Okumura T, Alauddin M, Khozooei S, Rajaxavier J, Zhang S, Singh Y, Shi B, Brucker SY, Wallwiener D, Takeda S, Lang F, Salker MS. LEFTY2/endometrial bleeding-associated factor upregulates Na+ Coupled Glucose Transporter SGLT1 expression and Glycogen Accumulation in Endometrial Cancer Cells. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0230044.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230044 PMID:<u>32236143</u>

50. Bournazos S, Bournazou I, Murchison JT, Wallace WA, McFarlane P, Hirani N, Simpson AJ, Dransfield I, Hart SP. Copy number variation of FCGR3B is associated with susceptibility to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respiration. 2011; 81:142–9. https://doi.org/10.1159/000321997

PMID:21109729

- 51. Willcocks LC, Lyons PA, Clatworthy MR, Robinson JI, Yang W, Newland SA, Plagnol V, McGovern NN, Condliffe AM, Chilvers ER, Adu D, Jolly EC, Watts R, et al. Copy number of FCGR3B, which is associated with systemic lupus erythematosus, correlates with protein expression and immune complex uptake. J Exp Med. 2008; 205:1573–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20072413</u> PMID:<u>18559452</u>
- 52. Alberici F, Bonatti F, Adorni A, Daminelli G, Sinico RA, Gregorini G, Marvisi C, Fenaroli P, Peyronel F, Maritati F, Palmisano A, Urban ML, Percesepe A, et al. FCGR3B polymorphism predicts relapse risk in eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2020; 59:3563–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keaa134</u> PMID:32375167
- Oliviero B, Mantovani S, Varchetta S, Mele D, Grossi G, Ludovisi S, Nuti E, Rossello A, Mondelli MU. Hepatitis C virus-induced NK cell activation causes metzincin-mediated CD16 cleavage and impaired antibody-dependent cytotoxicity. J Hepatol. 2017; 66:1130–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.01.032

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.01.032 PMID:28192188

- 54. Peng A, Ke P, Zhao R, Lu X, Zhang C, Huang X, Tian G, Huang J, Wang J, Invernizzi P, Chen Q, Zhuang J. Elevated circulating CD14(low)CD16(+) monocyte subset in primary biliary cirrhosis correlates with liver injury and promotes Th1 polarization. Clin Exp Med. 2016; 16:511–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-015-0381-2 PMID:26403460
- 55. Zhang Y, Tong S, Li S, Wang X, Ren H, Yin W. Increased ILT2 expression contributes to dysfunction of CD56(dim)CD16<sup>+</sup>NK cells in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Antiviral Res. 2022; 205:105385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105385</u> PMID:<u>35917968</u>
- 56. Rosenberg JE, Hahn NM, Regan MM, Werner L, Alva A, George S, Picus J, Alter R, Balar A, Hoffman-Censits J, Grivas P, Lauer R, Guancial EA, et al. Apatorsen plus docetaxel versus docetaxel alone in platinumresistant metastatic urothelial carcinoma (Borealis-2). Br J Cancer. 2018; 118:1434–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-018-0087-9</u> PMID:<u>29765151</u>
- 57. Lv H, Zhen C, Liu J, Shang P. β-Phenethyl Isothiocyanate Induces Cell Death in Human Osteosarcoma through Altering Iron Metabolism, Disturbing the Redox Balance, and Activating the MAPK Signaling Pathway. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2020; 2020:5021983.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5021983 PMID:<u>32322335</u>

- 58. Ooko E, Saeed ME, Kadioglu O, Sarvi S, Colak M, Elmasaoudi K, Janah R, Greten HJ, Efferth T. Artemisinin derivatives induce iron-dependent cell death (ferroptosis) in tumor cells. Phytomedicine. 2015; 22:1045–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2015.08.002</u> PMID:26407947
- Yang J, Mo J, Dai J, Ye C, Cen W, Zheng X, Jiang L, Ye L. Cetuximab promotes RSL3-induced ferroptosis by suppressing the Nrf2/HO-1 signalling pathway in KRAS mutant colorectal cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2021; 12:1079.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-04367-3 PMID:<u>34775496</u>

- Sugiyama H, McCormick TS, Cooper KD, Korman NJ. Alefacept in the treatment of psoriasis. Clin Dermatol. 2008; 26:503–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2007.10.028</u> PMID:<u>18755368</u>
- Wilde MI, Goa KL. Muromonab CD3: a reappraisal of its pharmacology and use as prophylaxis of solid organ transplant rejection. Drugs. 1996; 51:865–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-199651050-00010</u> PMID:<u>8861551</u>
- 62. Zhao S, Mysler E, Moots RJ. Etanercept for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Immunotherapy. 2018; 10:433–45. https://doi.org/10.2217/imt-2017-0155 PMID:29482402
- Emery P, Rondon J, Parrino J, Lin Y, Pena-Rossi C, van Hoogstraten H, Graham NMH, Liu N, Paccaly A, Wu R, Spindler A. Safety and tolerability of subcutaneous sarilumab and intravenous tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2019; 58:849–58. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/key361

https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/key361 PMID:<u>30590833</u>

 64. Scott FI, Osterman MT. Natalizumab for Crohn's Disease: Down but Not Out. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015; 13:1926–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2015.07.020</u> PMID:26196443

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

# **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Figure 1. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for potential druggable genes and PBC. (A) HSPB1; (B) LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_15; (C) LEFTY2 with primer 15503\_20; (D) FGF2; (E) EPHA1; (F) ERAP1; (G) TNFAIP6; (H) ITIH5. The dot and bar demonstrate the sensitivity of IV by removing SNPs one at a time. IV, instrument variable; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; PBC: primary biliary cholangitis.



Supplementary Figure 2. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for potential druggable genes and PSC. (A) GSTO1; (B) FCGR3A; (C) RNASET2; (D) FCGR2A; (E) FCGR2B; (F) FCGR3B; (G) RNASE6; (H) CTSB. The dot and bar demonstrate the sensitivity of IV by removing SNPs one at a time. IV, instrument variable; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis.

# **Supplementary Tables**

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Tables 1, 3–5.

Supplementary Table 1. All the druggable genes, their locations and druggability priority. Additionally, the number of times each gene has overlapped an LD region surrounding a significant GWAS association ( $P \le 5 \times 10^{-8}$ )) is indicated along with the type of molecule that binds to each of the druggable genes.

Supplementary Table 2. Information of two outcome datasets (PBC and PSC) from FINNGEN database.

Name	Ncase	Ncontrol	Url
Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC)	557	281127	https://storage.googleapis.com/finngen-public-data- r9/summary_stats/finngen_R9_CHIRBIL_PRIM.gz
Cholangitis (primary sclerosing, PSC)	1715	330903	https://storage.googleapis.com/finngen-public-data- r9/summary_stats/finngen_R9_K11_CHOLANGI.gz

Supplementary Table 3. Basic information of 1046 druggable genes identified through screening.

Supplementary Table 4. The detailed results of Mendelian randomization between 1046 druggable proteins and primary biliary cholangitis.

Supplementary Table 5. The detailed results of Mendelian randomization between 1046 druggable proteins and primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Supplementary Table 6. The results of reverse Mendelian randomization between ERAP1 coded protein and PBC.

id.exposure	id.outcome	Outcome	Exposure	Method	nsnp	b	se	<i>p</i> -val
KxOEMA	ERAP1	ERAP1	PBC	MR Egger	3	-0.01979	0.089422	0.861327
KxOEMA	ERAP1	ERAP1	PBC	Weighted median	3	0.013847	0.012106	0.252686
KxOEMA	ERAP1	ERAP1	PBC	Inverse variance weighted	3	0.023478	0.017492	0.179524
KxOEMA	ERAP1	ERAP1	PBC	Simple mode	3	0.010633	0.016608	0.587571
KxOEMA	ERAP1	ERAP1	PBC	Weighted mode	3	0.00895	0.014435	0.598468