# Next-generation sequencing identifies HOXA6 as a novel oncogenic gene in low grade glioma

Jiang Xiulin<sup>1,4,\*</sup>, Chunyan Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jishu Guo<sup>5,\*</sup>, Chenyang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chenglong Pan<sup>1</sup>, Zhi Nie<sup>2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming 650032, Yunnan, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming 650032, Yunnan, China <sup>3</sup>Yunnan Province Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, Kunming 650032, Yunnan, China <sup>4</sup>Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Kunming 650223, Yunnan, China <sup>5</sup>Institute for Ecological Research and Pollution Control of Plateau Lakes, School of Ecology and Environmental

Science, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, China \*Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Zhi Nie; email: niezhi@mail.kiz.ac.cn

Keywords: next-generation sequencing, low grade glioma, HOXA family, prognostic biomarker, cell migrationReceived: October 30, 2021Accepted: January 27, 2022Published: March 29, 2022

**Copyright:** © 2022 Xiulin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Low grade glioma is one of the most common lethal cancers in the human nervous system. Emerging evidence has demonstrated that homeobox A cluster (HOXA) gene family plays a critical role in the transcriptional regulation as well as cancer initiation and progression. However, the expression, biological functions and upstream regulatory mechanism of 11 HOXAs in low grade glioma are not yet clear.

Methods: In this study, we utilized various public databases and bioinformatics analyzed, including TCGA, CGGA, Rembrandt, HPA, LinkedOmics, cBioPortal, TISDIB, single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA), TIMER, LnCeVar, LASSO regression, Cox regression, Kaplan-Meier plot, and receiver operating, characteristic (ROC) analyses, GDSC and CTRP databases to analyzed the mRNA and protein expression profiles, gene mutation, clinical features, diagnosis, prognosis, signaling pathway, TMB, immune subtype, immune cell infiltration, immune modulator, ceRNA network and drug sensitivity of 11 HOXAs. Growth curve and transwell assays were utilized to study the biological characteristics of HOXA6 in LGG progression.

Results: In the present study, we found that 11 HOXAs (HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXA3) were consistently up-regulated in LGG tissues and GBM tissues. Up-regulated of the HOXAs expression were significantly correlated with higher tumor stage, IDH mutation status, 1p/19q co-deletion, histological type and primary therapy outcome. Survival analyses showed that higher expression of HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXA13 were correlated with shorter overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in LGG patients. Univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that HOXA1, HOXA6 expression and tumor grade, age, primary therapy outcome and age were independent factors affecting the prognosis of LGG patients. ROC curve analysis of HOXAs showed that HOXAs had a high accuracy (AUC > 0.80) in predicting LGG. Furthermore, gene functional enrichment analysis indicated that HOXAs mainly involved in the inflammatory response and immune regulation signaling pathway. CNV and DNA methylation significantly affect the expression of HOXAs. Finally, we uncover that HOXAs expression are highly correlated with immune cells infiltrate, immune modulator and drug sensitivity. We also uncover that the HOXAs related ceRNA network in LGG. More importantly, we found

that HOXA6 was highly expressed in LGG cells lines and significantly affected their proliferation and migration abilities.

Conclusions: In conclusion, our data demonstrated that HOXA was correlated with progression and immune infiltration, and could serve as a prognostic biomarker for LGG.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Low grade glioma (LGG) is a relatively common tumor in the central nervous system that mainly includes World Health Organization (WHO) grade 2 and 3 gliomas [1]. Emerging evidence has demonstrated that the molecular characteristics of gliomas including mutated isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 genes (IDH1/2) and co-deletion of 1p/19q [2]. With various advances in earlier diagnosis and newer therapies have increased overall survival, disparities in access to and outcomes of care for low grade glioma persist. Recently, molecular biomarkers have been indicated that to be helpful in the diagnosis and prognosis of various cancers. Therefore, uncovering the molecular mechanisms underlying initiation and progression of LGG and identifying highly reliable biomarkers is crucial to improve the diagnosis and treatment of LGG patients.

As a member of homeobox genes, the HOXA cluster genes family including the HOXA1 to HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXA13 [3], The protein encoded by HOXA cluster genes family were contain the DNA-binding homeobox motif. Accumulating evidence demonstrated that HOXA genes family plays a central role in transcription regulation and cancer initiation and progression. For example, it has been showed that HOXA1 was highly expressed in breast cancer and its high expression was correlated with poor prognosis and tumor progression of BRCA [4]. Zheng et al. found that HOXA5 by inhibits the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and repress the proliferation and neoplasia of cervical cancer cells [5]. Furthermore, HOXA7 was increased in HCC. Depletion of HOXA7 by inhibits Snail expression and inhibits HCC metastatic [6]. Boman et al. found that elevate the expression of HOXA4 and HOXA9 promotes the selfrenewal of colon cancer stem cell [7]. However, the expression profiles, genetic alterations, clinicopathological parameters, diagnosis values, prognostic values and immune functions of 11 HOXAs in low grade glioma remains to be further elucidated.

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of the expression profiles, genetic alterations, clinicopathological parameters, diagnosis values, prognostic values and immune functions of HOXA family in LGG. Furthermore, we perform CNV, DNA methylation, KEGG analysis for the HOXA family. Finally, we examined the correlation between HOXAs expression and immune cells infiltration, immune modulator and drug sensitivity. We also perform the *in vitro* assay to explore the function of HOXAs in LGG progression.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Analysis the expression, clinical information and prognosis of HOXAs

We utilized TCGA database (<u>http://www.cancer.gov/</u>) and CGGA (<u>http://www.cgga.org.cn/</u>) [8–10] to examine the expression, clinical information and prognosis of HOXAs in LGG. HPA (<u>https://www.proteinatlas.org/</u>) database utilized to examine the protein of HOXAs in LGG [11].

#### Function analysis for HOXAs in LGG

In the present research, we utilized the LinkedOmics database (http://www.linkedomics.org/login.php) obtained the co-expression genes of HOXAs in LGG. We were using clusterProfiler package to examine the function of HOXAS in LGG [12, 13]. GeneMANIA database (http://genemania.org/) used to constructed gene-gene interaction network of HOXAs [14].

## Cox regression analysis and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis

We utilized cox regression analysis to examine the correlation between HOXAs expression and overall survival and disease-specific survival of patients using the TCGA databases. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to assess the difference between high and low risk groups based on the best separation of HOXAs expression, employing R packages of survminer and survival.

#### Immune infiltration analysis

TIMER (<u>https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/</u>) [15], an interactive web portal, could perform comprehensive analysis on the infiltration levels of different immune cells. In this study, we were using the TIMER database to explore the correlation between HOXAs and diverse immune cell infiltration in LGG. We also were using GSVA R package to quantify the LGG immune infiltration of 24 tumor-infiltrating immune cells in tumor samples via ssGSEA [16]. The TISIDB (<u>http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/</u>) database utilized analysis the expression of HOXAs in different immune subtype of LGG [17].

## Analysis the correlation between the HOXAs expression and drug sensitivity

The Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) database (http://www.cancerRxgene.org) is the largest public resource for information on drug sensitivity in cancer cells and molecular markers of drug response. Data are freely available without restriction. GDSC currently contains drug sensitivity data for almost 75 000 experiments, describing response to 138 anticancer drugs across almost 700 cancer cell lines. In this study, we utilized the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) (http://www.cancerRxgene.org) and the Cancer Therapeutics Response Portal (http://www. broadinstitute.org/ctrp) databases to analysis the correlation between HOXAs expression and drug sensitivity [18, 19]. Spearman's rank correlation was employed to measure the correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse drug sensitivity.

#### Gene mutation analysis

The cBioPortal database (<u>http://www.cbioportal.org/</u>) and GSCA (<u>http://bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn/web/GSCALite/</u>) used to analysis the gene mutation, DNA methylation of HOXAs in LGG [20, 21].

#### LncRNA/miRNA/mRNA network construction

To explore the potential upstream regulatory of HOXAs in LGG, we used the LnCeVar database (<u>http://www.bio-bigdata.net/LnCeVar/index.jsp</u>)constructed a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network [22].

#### **Cell culture conditions**

GBM cells lines (including A172, SF295, T98G and U251 cells) were purchased from cell bank of Kunming Institute of Zoology, and cultured in DMEM medium (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37° C in atmosphere containing 95% air and 5% CO2.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR

The qRT-PCR assay was performed as documented [23]. The primer sequences are list follows: HOXA6-F: TCCCGGACAAGACGTACAC, HOXA6-R: CGCCAC TGAGGTCCTTATCA. B-actin-F: CTTCGCGGGGGGAC GAT,  $\beta$ -actin-R: CCATAGGAATCCTTCTGACC. The expression quantification was obtained with the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method.

#### Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation was performed as previously documented [24]. Briefly, for cell proliferation assay, indicated cells were plated into 12-well plates at a density of  $1.5 \times 10^4$ , the cell numbers were subsequently counted each day using an automatic cell analyzer Countstar (Shanghai Ruiyu Biotech Co., China, IC1000). (ns,  $p \ge 0.05$ ; \*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01; \*\*\*, p < 0.001).

#### Cell migration assay

Cell migration assays was performed as previously documented [24]. Briefly, to produce a wound, the monolayer cells in 6-well plate were scraped in a straight line with pipette tips. Plate was then washed with PBS to remove detached cells. Photographs of the scratch were taken at indicated time points using Nikon inverted microscope (Ti-S).

#### Statistical analysis

Correlation analysis was performed using Pearson correlation test. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted to exhibit the overall survival for LGG patients. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to examine the independent prognostic significance of each variable enrolled in this finding. The significance of the data between two experimental groups was determined by Student's t-test and multiple group comparisons were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. P < 0.05 (\*), P < 0.01 (\*\*\*) and P < 0.001 (\*\*\*), were considered significant.

#### RESULTS

#### HOXAs were highly expressed in LGG

We used TCGA and GTEx databases explored the expression of 11 HOXAs in GBM and LGG, the results showed that 11 HOXAs were significantly higher in lower-grade glioma (LGG) and glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) than those in normal samples (Figure 1A, 1B). Furthermore, we uncover that the protein of HOXA4, HOXA6, HOXA9 and HOXA10 were highly expressed in LGG tissue compared with control group based on the Human Protein Atlas datasets (Figure 1C). Collectively, these results suggested that HOXAs were overexpressed in LGG tissues.

## Correlation between HOXAs expression level and clinicopathological characteristics of patients with LGG

We further explored the correlation between HOXAs and clinical characteristics of LGG. The results showed

that up-regulated 11 HOXAs were significantly associated with a higher tumor stage, IDH mutation status, 1p/19q chromosome co-deletion, histological type, primary therapy outcome, and age based on TCGA dataset (Figures 2, 3 and Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). This result was verified by CGGA database (Supplementary Figures 2, 3). The Logistic regression analysis of results also confirmed that HOXAs expression was remarkably associated with poor clinicopathological characteristics (Figure 4). Given that HOXAs were up-regulated in LGG and its higher expression associated with poor clinical characteristics. Therefore, we further explored the correlation among distinct HOXAs and the survival rate



Figure 1. HOXAs is highly expressed in LGG. (A, B) The expression of HOXAs in LGG and GBM examine by TCGA/GTEx databases. (C) The expression of HOXAs in LGG tissue examine by HPA database.



Figure 2. The correlation between HOXAs expression and clinical information in LGG. (A, B) The correlation between HOXAs expression and clinical features, including the higher tumor grades and IDH mutation status in glioma based on TCGA-LGG. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.



Figure 3. The correlation between HOXAs expression and clinical information in LGG. (A, B) The correlation between HOXAs expression and clinical features, including the 1p/19q codeletion and primary therapy outcome in glioma based on TCGA-LGG. \*p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

of LGG patients. The results indicated that higher expression of 11 HOXA was correlated with shorter overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in LGG patients (Figures 5-7). These results were verified by CGGA

datasets (Supplementary Figure 4). Given that HOXAs differentially expression and associated with poor clinic-pathologic features and prognosis in LGG. The univariate cox proportional hazards regression analyses results confirmed that HOXA members (HOXA1,

#### HOXA2

Characteristics	N (%	6) HR (95% CI)	P value
WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467	1.821 (1.263-2.634)	<b>⊢</b> −− 0.001
1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528	8 2.760 (1.893-4.060)	I I ⊢●──I <0.001
IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525	0.157 (0.087-0.268)	₩ <0.001
Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256	6 0.477 (0.286-0.788)	0.004
HOXA	4		0 1 2 3 4
Characteristics	N (%	) HR (95% CI)	P value
WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467	2.210 (1.529-3.209)	<b>I</b> IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528	7.297 (4.779-11.396)	I I <b>⊢→</b> <0.001
IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525	0.170 (0.096-0.288)	<0.001
Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256	0.385 (0.228-0.642)	<b>0.001</b>
HOXA	٩7		0 2 4 6 8
Characteristics	N (%	) HR (95% CI)	P value
WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467	2.090 (1.447-3.031)	<b>6</b> .001
1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528	7.297 (4.779-11.396)	
IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525	0.210 (0.122-0.348)	¢ <0.001
Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256	0.492 (0.294-0.815)	0.006
HOXA	410		0 2 4 6 8
Characteristics	N (%)	HR (95% CI)	P value
WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467	2.500 (1.726-3.639)	<b>H</b> <0.001
1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528	11.154 (7.064-18.204	) ↓ ← ← <0.001
IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525	0.295 (0.178-0.475)	¢ <0.001
Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256	0.390 (0.230-0.651)	<b>↓</b> <0.001
HOXA	13		0 5 10
Characteristics	N (%)	HR (95% CI)	P value
WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467	1.697 (1.178-2.453)	0.005
1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528	35.385 (19.238-71.726	i 3) I → <0.001
IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525	0.826 (0.530-1.284)	0.396
Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256	0.504 (0.302-0.832)	I ● 0.008
			0 10 20 30 40

HOXA1
-------

Α	HO	XA1									
	Characteristics	N (%) HR (95% CI)	P value								
	WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467 3.013 (2.072-4.409)	<0.001								
	1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528 4.635 (3.116-6.997)	↔ <0.001								
	IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525 0.079 (0.037-0.149) 🔮	<0.001								
	Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256 0.429 (0.253-0.717)	0.001								
В	НО	XA3									
Characteristics N (%) HR (95% CI)											
	WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467 2.606 (1.798-3.800)	→ <0.001								
	1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528 2.978 (2.038-4.394)	↔ <0.001								
	IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525 0.170 (0.096-0.288) 🕌	<0.001								
	Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256 0.315 (0.184-0.530) 🖿	<0.001								
C	HO	XA5	k.								
	Characteristics	N (%) HR (95% CI)	P value								
	WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467 2.693 (1.857-3.928)	<0.001								
	1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528 5.769 (3.834-8.840)	➡ <0.001								
	IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525 0.157 (0.087-0.268) 荣	<0.001								
	Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256 0.388 (0.228-0.652)	<0.001								
П	НС	)XA9	6								
	Characteristics	N (%) HR (95% CI)	P value								
	WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467 2.214 (1.532-3.215)	<0.001								
	1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528 4.635 (3.116-6.997)	→ <0.001								
	IDH status (Mut vs. WT)	525 0.185 (0.106-0.311) 🗰	<0.001								
	Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	256 0.520 (0.311−0.861) ►	0.012								
-	НО	χΔ11 VΔ11									
E											
	Characteristics	N (%) HR (95% CI)	P value								
	WHO grade (G3 vs. G2)	467 2.169 (1.501-3.148)	<0.001								
	1p/19q codeletion (non-codel vs. codel)	528 18.838 (11.275-33.201)	→ <0.001								
	IDH status (Mut vs. WT)		<0.001								
	Primary therapy outcome (SD vs. PD)	200 U.442 (U.202-U.736)	20								

Figure 4. Logistic regression analysis of correlation between clinic-pathological features and HOXAs expression in LGG patients. (A-E) Logistic regression analysis of correlation between clinic-pathological features and HOXAs expression in LGG patients.







Figure 5. The overall survival of HOXAs in LGG. (A–D) The overall survival of HOXAs in LGG examine by TCGA database.

High

264

39

13

Low 263

High

264

45

45

13

13



Figure 6. The disease specific survival of HOXAs in LGG. (A–D) The disease specific survival of HOXAs in LGG examine by TCGA database.







3

23

High







Figure 7. The progression free survival of HOXAs in LGG. (A–D) The progression free survival of HOXAs in LGG examine by TCGA database.

High

264

24

5

0

HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10 and HOXA11) expression and six clinical features (WHO grade, 1p/19q codeletion, primary therapy outcome, IDH status, histological type and age) were correlated with adverse clinical outcomes of LGG patients. Additionally, the multivariate analyses revealed that HOXA1, HOXA6 expression and tumor grade, age, primary therapy outcome and age were independent factors affecting the prognosis of LGG patients (Table 1).

#### Diagnostic values of HOXAs in LGG

Given that HOXAs were significantly up-regulated in LGG and its higher expression was associated with adverse clinical features and adverse clinical outcomes. Therefore, we decided explored the diagnosis values of HOXAs in LGG. ROC curve analysis of HOXAs showed that HOXAs had a high accuracy (AUC > 0.80) in predicting LGG (Figure 8). These results confirmed that HOXAs has the potential to act as a diagnosis biomarker for the diagnosis of LGG with high sensitivity and specificity.

## Construction and validation of HOXAs based nomogram

In order to provide clinicians with predicted prognosis of LGG patients quantitatively, we constructed the nomogram combining HOXA1, HOXA6 expression and independent clinical risk factors (tumor grade, age, primary therapy outcome and age). Higher total points on the nomogram for overall survival (OS), diseasespecific survival (DSS) and progression-free survival (PFS), respectively, indicated a worse prognosis (Figure 9). In summary, these results indicate that the nomogram can well predict short- or long-term survival of LGG patients.

#### Gene mutation analysis

We utilized cBioPortal analysis the genetic alteration of differentially expressed HOXAs family. Results confirmed that HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXA13 were all altered, with 1.2, 0.8, 1, 0.6, 0.8, 0.8, 1, 1, 0.6, 1 and 0.6alterations in the LGG samples, respectively (Figure 10A). We also summarize the incidence of CNV and somatic mutations of 11 HOXAs in LGG. The results confirmed that missense mutation was the most common variant classification, SNPs were the most common variant type and C > T ranked as the top SNV class. The results also showed HOXA1 as the gene with the highest mutation frequency, followed by HOXA11 and HOXA6, among the 11 HOXAs (Figure 10B, 10C). CNV analysis results confirmed that copy number variations of HOXAs was significantly positive correlated with its expression in LGG (Figure 10D). Furthermore, HOXAs CNV affect the overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in LGG patients (Figure 10E). Finally, we uncover that the DNA methylation level of HOXA13 negatively associated with its expression (Figure 10F). More importantly, lower DNA methylation level of HOXA1, HOXA3, HOXA9 and HOXA10 were correlated with poor DSS, OS and PFS (Figure 10G).

#### Functional analysis of HOXAs in LGG

To explore the potential functions of HOXAs in LGG progression, we were using the GeneMANIA database constructed the gene-gene interaction network for HOXAs and the altered neighboring genes (Figure 11A). Furthermore, we perform the GO and KEGG enrichment to explore the functions of HOXAs and their neighboring genes in LGG. The enrichment results confirmed that the biological processes for these genes were mostly neutrophil activation, neutrophil mediated immunity, neutrophil activation involved in immune response, T cell activation, positive regulation of cytokine production, regulation of immune effector process, regulation of lymphocyte activation, positive regulation of cell activation, lymphocyte differentiation, mononuclear cell proliferation, lymphocyte proliferation, leukocyte cell-cell adhesion, regulation of T cell activation, regulation of leukocyte cell-cell adhesion, regulation of mononuclear cell proliferation, regulation of lymphocyte proliferation, response to interferon-gamma, T cell differentiation and T cell proliferation (Figure 11B). The molecular functions for these genes were mostly secretory granule membrane, external side of plasma membrane, lysosomal membrane, membrane microdomain, phagocytic vesicle, specific granule membrane, MHC protein complex and MHC class II protein complex (Figure 11C). KEGG enrichment results confirmed that these genes mainly involved in the Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, Osteoclast differentiation, Cell adhesion molecules, Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpes, virus infection, Hematopoietic cell lineage, Chemokine signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, Inflammatory bowel disease, Leukocyte transendothelial migration, B cell receptor signaling pathway, Toll–like receptor signaling pathway, NF-kappa B signaling pathway, Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor and TNF signaling pathway (Figure 11D). Collectively, these data suggest that HOXAs plays an essential regulatory role in the inflammation response and immune regulation.

		Univariate ana	alysis	Multivariate analysis				
Characteristics	Total(N)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value			
WHO grade	466							
G2	223							
G3	243	3.059 (2.046-4.573)	<0.001	3.041 (1.602-5.770)	<0.001			
1p/19q codeletion	527							
codel	170							
non-codel	357	2.493 (1.590-3.910)	<0.001	0.838 (0.361-1.943)	0.680			
Primary therapy outcome	457							
PD	110							
SD	146	0.439 (0.292-0.661)	<0.001	0.478 (0.251-0.908)	0.024			
PR	64	0.175 (0.076-0.402)	<0.001	0.194 (0.058-0.654)	0.008			
CR	137	0.122 (0.056-0.266)	<0.001	0.209 (0.083-0.524)	<0.001			
IDH status	524							
WT	97							
Mut	427	0.186 (0.130-0.265)	<0.001	0.724 (0.268-1.959)	0.525			
Histological type	393							
Astrocytoma	195							
Oligodendroglioma	198	0.577 (0.392-0.849)	0.005	0.925 (0.459-1.863)	0.826			
Gender	527							
Female	238							
Male	289	1.124 (0.800-1.580)	0.499					
Race	508							
Black or African American	22							
White	486	0.686 (0.319-1.471)	0.333					
Age	527							
<=40	264							
>40	263	2.889 (2.009-4.155)	<0.001	3.862 (2.045-7.293)	<0.001			
HOXA1	527	2.049 (1.778-2.362)	<0.001	2.603 (1.316-5.147)	0.006			
HOXA2	527	1.582 (1.419-1.763)	<0.001	1.116 (0.657-1.896)	0.685			
HOXA3	527	1.516 (1.367-1.682)	<0.001	0.653 (0.320-1.333)	0.242			
HOXA4	527	1.611 (1.454-1.785)	<0.001	1.132 (0.677-1.890)	0.637			
HOXA5	527	1.442 (1.334-1.559)	<0.001	1.353 (0.718-2.549)	0.350			
HOXA6	527	1.546 (1.385-1.726)	<0.001	0.409 (0.220-0.758)	0.005			
HOXA7	527	1.615 (1.448-1.801)	<0.001	1.590 (0.886-2.852)	0.120			
HOXA9	527	1.345 (1.210-1.494)	<0.001	0.881 (0.529-1.465)	0.625			
HOXA10	527	1.350 (1.242-1.467)	<0.001	0.962 (0.593-1.561)	0.875			
HOXA11	527	1.295 (1.168-1.436)	<0.001	1.262 (0.711-2.240)	0.427			
HOXA13	527	1.131 (0.994-1.286)	0.062	0.991 (0.643-1.526)	0.966			

#### Table 1. Cox regression analysis for clinical outcomes in LGG patients.

### Correlation between HOXAs and immune subtypes of LGG

For determine the expression of HOXAs in diverse immune subtypes of LGG, we utilized the TISIDB database analysis and found that HOXAs mainly highly expressed in C3 and C4 subtype (Supplementary Figure 5).

#### Immune infiltration analysis of the HOXAs in LGG

Given that HOXAs was significantly correlated with immune regulation related signaling pathway. Therefore, we decide to examine the correlation between HOXAs expression and immune cell infiltration. In this finding, we used TIMER database analysis and found that somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs were significantly correlated with diverse immune cell infiltration levels in LGG (Supplementary Figures 6, 7). Furthermore, we also utilized the TIMER database analysis the correlation between HOXAs and diverse immune cell. The results confirm that HOXAs were positively correlated with the immune infiltration of B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Neutrophils, Macrophages and Dendritic cells in LGG (Figure 12 and Supplementary Figure 8).

Finally, ssGSEA with Spearman's rank correlation was employed to measure the correlation between HOXAs

expression and infiltration levels of 24 immune cell types in glioma. The results showed that HOXA1 expression was correlated with the immune infiltration of macrophages and eosinophils, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK CD56bright cells and pDC. HOXA2 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of Macrophages, Eosinophils and T cells, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of Tcm and pDC. HOXA3 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK cells, Th2 cells and T cells, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK CD56bright cells and pDC. HOXA4 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of macrophages, eosinophils and neutrophils, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of pDC and NK CD56bright cells. HOXA5 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of Macrophages, Eosinophils, NK cells and Neutrophils, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK CD56bright cells and pDC. HOXA6 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of

Eosinophils, Th2 cells and Macrophages, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of CD8 T cells and pDC. HOXA7 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of Th2 cells, neutrophils and NK cells, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK CD56bright cells and pDC. HOXA9 positively correlated with the immune infiltration of Neutrophils and Eosinophils, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of NK CD56bright cells and TReg. Furthermore, HOXA10 expression associated with the immune infiltration of several subsets of myeloid cells, including macrophages, Eosinophils and Neutrophils, HOXA10 expression negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of TReg and NK CD56bright cells. There are positive correlations between HOXA11 expression and the immune infiltration of Macrophages. T helper cells and Neutrophils, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of TRegNK CD56bright cells. There is also a strong positive correlation between HOXA13 expression and immune infiltration



Figure 8. The diagnosis values of HOXAs in LGG. (A–C) ROC curve analysis the diagnosis values of HOXAs in LGG.

of Tgd, T helper cells and Macrophages, negatively correlated with the immune infiltration of TReg and NK CD56bright cells (Figure 13 and Supplementary Figure 9). Taken together, these results confirmed that HOXAs plays a pivotal role in the immune regulation.

## Correlation between HOXAs and immune modulator in LGG

Considering immune modulator plays a crucial role in the immune response and development and progression



Figure 9. Construction and performance validation of the HOXAs based nomogram for LGG patients. Nomogram to predict (A) OS, (B) DSS and (C) PFI for lung cancer patients. The calibration curve and Hosmer–Lemeshow test of nomograms in the TCGA-LGG cohort for (D) OS, (E) DSS, and (F) PFI.



**Figure 10. Landscape of genetic and expression variation of HOXAs in LGG.** (A–C) Analysis gene alteration of HOXA gene family in LGG by used cBioPortal database. (D) Analysis the correlation between CNV and its expression. (E) Analysis prognosis of CNV for HOXAs in LGG. (F) Analysis the correlation between DNA methylation and its expression. (G) Analysis prognosis of DNA methylation for HOXAs in LGG.

of LGG. We explored the correlation between HOXAs and the immune checkpoint-related genes by using Pearson correlation analysis in LGG, including CD274, CTLA4, HAVCR2, LAG3, PDCD1, PDCD1LG12, TIGIT, SIGLEC15. The result showed that HOXAs expression was significantly positively correlated with CD274, CTLA4, HAVCR2, LAG3, PDCD1, PDCD1LG12 and SIGLEC15 (Figure 14). These results demonstrate that HOXAs expression was significantly correlated with the expression of immune checkpointrelated genes in LGG.

## Correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse drug sensitivity

We downloaded the IC50 values of anti-cancer drugs and gene expression profiles in the relative cell lines from the

GDSC and CTRP database. To explore the influence of HOXAs expression on the sensitivity of anti-cancer drugs, we divided the tumor cells into high- and low- HOXAs groups and compared their IC50 values. For example, we found that the IC50 values of several anticancer drugs decreased in the high- HOXA3 group, including abiraterone, Trametinib, VAF-347, BRD-BRD-K34099515 K09344309, and erlotinib (Supplementary Figure 10), indicating that patients exhibiting high HOXA3 expression levels are relatively sensitive to these anti-cancer drugs. We also uncover that HOXAs were positively correlated with the diverse drug sensitivity. For instance, HOXA3 was positively correlated with the diverse drug sensitivity of tacedinaline, BRD-A94377914, LRRK2-IN-1, PX-12, Apicidin, PRIMA-1, Necrosulfonamide, tipifarnib-P2, vorinostat, avrainvillamide, serdemetan, cerulenin, CCT036477



Figure 11. Analysis the function of HOXAs in LGG. (A) Analysis the co-expression genes of HOXAs in LGG examined by GeneMANIA databases. (B, C) Analysis the GO term of HOXAs in LGG. (D) Analysis the KEGG signaling pathway of HOXAs in LGG.

and PL-DI (Supplementary Figure 10). Taken together, these results confirmed that HOXAs was positively or negatively correlated with the diverse drug sensitivity in the cancer therapeutic response portal database.

#### Construction of a network of HOXAs related ceRNA

Emerging reports have revealed that lncRNA /miRNA regulatory axis play a vital role in the gene expression

[25]. To uncover that the upstream regulatory mechanism of HOXAs in LGG, we utilized the LnCeVar database identification of HOXAs related ceRNA events in LGG of patients from TCGA. The results confirmed that ZHF337-AS1 or SNHG12/miR-210-3p / regulatory axis may regulate the expression of HOXA1. LINC00174/miR-26a-5p regulatory axis may regulate the expression of HOXA5. HCG18 or TRG-AS1/miR-196a-5p regulatory axis may regulate the



Figure 12. Analysis the correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse immune cell infiltration. (A–F) The correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse immune cell infiltration in LGG examine by TIMER database. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

expression of HOXA7. LNC00665/miR-320a regulatory axis may regulate he expression of HOXA10. KDM4A-AS1/ miR-27a-5p regulatory axis may regulate he expression of HOXA13 (Supplementary Figure 11). These results confirmed that HOXAs related ceRNA network regulatory axis may play a vital role in the progression of LGG.

## Knock down of HOXA6 inhibits the cell proliferation and migration of LGG cells

Given that HOXA1 expression was independent factors affecting the prognosis of LGG patients and previous no study reported the function of HOXA6 in LGG. Therefore, we decide explored function of HOXA6 in LGG. We first examined the expression of HOXA6 in LGG cells lines by qRT-PCR assay. The results confirmed that HOXA6 was up-regulated in LGG cells lines, especially in SF295 and A172 cells (Figure 15A). Next, we utilized siRNA knock down of HOXA6 in SF295 and A172 cells, the knock down efficiency was verify by qRT-PCR assay (Figure 15B, 15C). The growth curve assay showed that depletion of HOXA6 significantly inhibits LGG cells proliferation ability (Figure 15D, 15E). Furthermore, the transwell assay confirmed that HOXA6 knock down significantly inhibits LGG cells migration ability (Figure 15F). Collectively, these results demonstrate that HOXA6 was highly expressed in LGG cells lines and significantly affected their proliferation and migration abilities.

#### DISCUSSION

Grades I and II are grouped together as low-grade gliomas and grades III and IV as high-grade gliomas, low-grade gliomas have a 10- to 15-year survival. Although earlier diagnosis and newer therapies have increased overall survival, disparities in access and outcomes of care for low-grade gliomas persist [26]. Therefore, more sensitive and specific diagnostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for this cancer type need to be identified.



**Figure 13. Analysis the correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse immune cell infiltration. (A, B)** Analysis correlation between HOXAs expression and diverse immune cell infiltration examine by GSVA R package. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.



Figure 14. The correlation between HOXAs expression and immune modulator. (A–E) The correlation between HOXAs expression and immune modulator.

Previous studies reported that HOXA genes differentially expressed in various cancers. For instance, it was found that HOXA7 and HOXA9 mRNAs were elevated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma tissues [27]. On the contrary, HOXA9 was decreased in NSCLC [28]. HOXA13 expression was up-regulated in in breast cancer [28], whereas it was down-regulated in colorectal cancer [29]. However, the expression pattern of the HOXA gene family in LGG was not previously comprehensively investigated. In our finding, we found that 11 HOXAs were significantly higher in LGG and GBM than normal samples. Furthermore, we uncover that the protein of HOXA4, HOXA6, HOXA9 and HOXA10 were highly expressed in LGG tissue compared with control group based on the Human Protein Atlas datasets.

It has been showed that higher level of HOXA9 and HOXA10 were found to be predictors of poor outcome in patients with NSCLC and GBM [30]. Additionally, multiple highly expressed HOXA members were found to be significantly associated with adverse clinical outcomes in acute myeloid leukemia patients [31]. In this research, we uncover that up-regulated 11 HOXAs were significantly associated with a higher tumor stage,

IDH mutation status, 1p/19q chromosome co-deletion, histological type and primary therapy outcome based on TCGA dataset. These results were verified by CGGA. The cox regression analyses result also confirmed that HOXAs expression were remarkably associated with poor clinicopathological characteristics. Given that HOXAs were up-regulated in LGG and its higher expression associated with poor clinical characteristics. Therefore, we further explored the correlation among distinct HOXAs and the survival rate of LGG patients. The results indicated that higher expression of 11 HOXA was correlated with shorter overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS) and progressionfree survival (PFS) in LGG patients. These results were verified by CGGA datasets. Given that HOXAs differentially expression and associated with poor clinic-pathologic features and prognosis in LGG. The univariate cox proportional hazards regression analyses results confirmed that HOXA members (HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10 and HOXA11) expression and six clinical features (WHO grade, 1p/19q codeletion, primary therapy outcome, IDH status, histological type and age) were correlated with adverse clinical outcomes of LGG patients. Additionally,



Figure 15. Knock down of HOXA6 inhibits LGG cell proliferation and migration. (A) The expression of HOXA6 in LGG cells lines examined by qRT-PCR assay. (B, C) The knock down efficiency of HOXA6 in LGG cells lines examined by qRT-PCR assay. (D, E) Depletion of HOXA6 inhibits LGG cells proliferation examined by growth curve assay. (F) Depletion of HOXA6 inhibits LGG cells migration examined by transwell assay.

the multivariate analyses revealed that HOXA1, HOXA6 expression and tumor grade, age, primary therapy outcome and age were independent factors affecting the prognosis of LGG patients. Zhou et al. found that HOXA10 may be as a potential prognosis biomarker for the prediction of poor outcome in LSCC patients. In this study, we found that HOXAs showed that HOXAs had a high accuracy (AUC > 0.80) in predicting LGG patients.

We also analysis the landscape of genetic variation of HOXAs in LGG, the results confirmed that HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXA13 were all altered, with 1.2, 0.8, 1, 0.6, 0.8, 0.8, 1, 1, 0.6, 1 and 0.6 alterations in the LGG samples, respectively. We also uncover that missense mutation was the most common variant classification. SNPs were the most common variant type and C > T ranked as the top SNV class. The results also showed HOXA1 as the gene with the highest mutation frequency, followed by HOXA11 and HOXA6, among the 11 HOXAs. CNV analysis results confirmed that copy number variations of HOXAs was significantly positive correlated with its expression in LGG. Furthermore, HOXAs CNV affect the overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in LGG patients. Finally, we uncover that the DNA methylation level of HOXA13 negatively associated with its expression. More importantly, lower DNA methylation level of HOXA1, HOXA3, HOXA9 and HOXA10 were correlated with poor DSS, OS and PFS.

Past studies revealed that HOXAs played a key role in the regulating cell differentiation, proliferation, migration and cell death. For example, it has been found that HOXA10 was highly expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma. Depletion of HOXA10 induced cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase and apoptosis by decreased the expression of CCND1 and survivin [32]. On the other hand, studies showed that HOXA11 was significantly lower in cisplatin-resistant lung adenocarcinoma cell line. Elevated HOXA11 expression was significantly improved the cisplatin sensitivity by inhibiting Akt/β-catenin signaling [33]. In the present study, we uncover that HOXAs genes mainly involve in the biology process, including the neutrophil activation, neutrophil mediated immunity, neutrophil activation involved in immune response, T cell activation, positive regulation of cytokine production, regulation of immune effector process, regulation of lymphocyte activation, positive regulation of cell activation, lymphocyte differentiation, mononuclear cell proliferation, lymphocyte proliferation, leukocyte

cell-cell adhesion, regulation of T cell activation, regulation of leukocyte cell-cell adhesion, regulation of mononuclear cell proliferation, regulation of lymphocyte proliferation, response to interferongamma, T cell differentiation and T cell proliferation. Furthermore, we demonstrated that HOXAs genes mainly participated in the signaling pathway, including the Cell adhesion molecules, Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpes, virus infection. Hematopoietic cell lineage, Chemokine signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, Inflammatory bowel disease, Leukocyte transendothelial migration, B cell receptor signaling pathway, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, NF-kappa B signaling pathway, Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor and TNF signaling pathway. Collectively, these data suggest that HOXAs plays an essential regulatory role in the inflammation response and immune regulation. Therefore, targeting HOXAs may be as attractive and alternative strategies for immunotherapy.

Previous studies showed that the immune infiltration of CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells were significantly affected the prognosis of LGG [34]. In this finding, we found that somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs were significantly correlated with diverse immune cell infiltration levels in LGG. Furthermore, we confirm that HOXAs were positively correlated with the immune infiltration of B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Neutrophils, Macrophages and Dendritic cells in LGG. More importantly, we uncover that HOXAs expression was significantly positively correlated with CD274. CTLA4. HAVCR2. LAG3. PDCD1. PDCD1LG12 and SIGLEC15. Thus, this research provides new insights into understanding the potential roles of HOXAs in immune cell infiltration of LGG and its potential use as cancer therapeutic and prognostic biomarker.

Finally, we also constructed HOXAs related mRNA– miRNA–lncRNA network in LGG. The results confirmed that ZHF337-AS1 or SNHG12/miR-210-3p / regulatory axis may regulate the expression of HOXA1. LINC00174/miR-26a-5p regulatory axis may regulate the expression of HOXA5. HCG18 or G18 or TRG-AS1/miR-196a-5p regulatory axis may regulate he expression of HOXA7. LNC00665/miR-320a regulatory axis may regulate he expression of HOXA10. KDM4A-AS1/ miR-27a-5p regulatory axis may regulate he expression of HOXA13. Previous study found that lncRNA SNHG12 promotes the cell proliferation, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of pancreatic cancer cells by absorbing miRNA-320b [35]. Li et al. found that lncRNA TRG-AS1 facilitate NSCLC cell proliferation and invasion by the miR-224-5p/SMAD4 axis [36].

Jiang et al. found that HOXA6 was over-expressed in in CRC tumor tissue than that in adjacent normal tissue. Depletion of HOXA6 inhibits the cell proliferation, migration and invasion, but inhibited apoptosis [37]. Xin et al. reported that HOXA6 by suppressing the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and inhibits the cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma [38]. However, there is not any study reported the function of HOXA6 in LGG. Therefore, we decide explored function of HOXA6 in LGG. We uncover that HOXA6 was up-regulated in LGG cells lines, especially in SF295 and A172 cells. Depletion of HOXA6 significantly inhibits LGG cells proliferation and migration abilities.

#### CONCLUSIONS

HOXAs participated in the progression of LGG and were differentially expressed in LGG tissues. HOXA6 may be potential biomarker for the diagnosis of LGG.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Xiulin Jiang, Chunyan Wang and Jishu Guo designed this work and performed related function assay. Chenyang Wang and Chenglong Pan analyzed data. Zhi Nie supervised and wrote this manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank support from The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### FUNDING

This study was supported in part by grants from Yunnan Province Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases(202002AA100204), National Natural Science Foundation of China. (82160461 to Wang, C), Department of Science and Technology of Yunnan Province-Kunming Medical University (2019FE001(-063) to Wang, C), Yunnan Health Training Project of High-Level Talents (D2018058 to Wang, C).

#### REFERENCES

- Ostrom QT, Bauchet L, Davis FG, Deltour I, Fisher JL, Langer CE, Pekmezci M, Schwartzbaum JA, Turner MC, Walsh KM, Wrensch MR, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. The epidemiology of glioma in adults: a "state of the science" review. Neuro Oncol. 2014; 16:896–913. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nou087</u> PMID:24842956
- Aldape K, Brindle KM, Chesler L, Chopra R, Gajjar A, Gilbert MR, Gottardo N, Gutmann DH, Hargrave D, Holland EC, Jones DT, Joyce JA, Kearns P, et al. Challenges to curing primary brain tumours. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2019; 16:509–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0177-5</u> PMID:30733593
- Holland PW. Evolution of homeobox genes. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol. 2013; 2:31–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.78 PMID:23799629
- Liu J, Liu J, Lu X. HOXA1 upregulation is associated with poor prognosis and tumor progression in breast cancer. Exp Ther Med. 2019; 17:1896–902. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2018.7145</u> PMID:<u>30783466</u>
- Ma HM, Cui N, Zheng PS. HOXA5 inhibits the proliferation and neoplasia of cervical cancer cells via downregulating the activity of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and transactivating TP53. Cell Death Dis. 2020; 11:420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-2629-3</u> PMID:32499530
- Tang B, Qi G, Sun X, Tang F, Yuan S, Wang Z, Liang X, Li B, Yu S, Liu J, Huang Q, Wei Y, Zhai R, et al. HOXA7 plays a critical role in metastasis of liver cancer associated with activation of Snail. Mol Cancer. 2016; 15:57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-016-0540-4</u> PMID:27600149
- Bhatlekar S, Viswanathan V, Fields JZ, Boman BM. Overexpression of HOXA4 and HOXA9 genes promotes self-renewal and contributes to colon cancer stem cell overpopulation. J Cell Physiol. 2018; 233:727–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.25981</u> PMID:<u>28464221</u>
- Wang Y, Qian T, You G, Peng X, Chen C, You Y, Yao K, Wu C, Ma J, Sha Z, Wang S, Jiang T. Localizing seizuresusceptible brain regions associated with low-grade gliomas using voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping. Neuro Oncol. 2015; 17:282–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nou130</u> PMID:25031032
- Bowman RL, Wang Q, Carro A, Verhaak RG, Squatrito M. GlioVis data portal for visualization and analysis of brain tumor expression datasets. Neuro Oncol. 2017; 19:139–41.

https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/now247 PMID:28031383

- Gusev Y, Bhuvaneshwar K, Song L, Zenklusen JC, Fine H, Madhavan S. The REMBRANDT study, a large collection of genomic data from brain cancer patients. Sci Data. 2018; 5:180158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.158</u> PMID:30106394
- Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson Å, Kampf C, Sjöstedt E, Asplund A, Olsson I, Edlund K, Lundberg E, et al. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science. 2015; 347:1260419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419</u> PMID:<u>25613900</u>
- Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS. 2012; 16:284–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118</u> PMID:<u>22455463</u>
- 13. Vasaikar SV, Straub P, Wang J, Zhang B. LinkedOmics: analyzing multi-omics data within and across 32 cancer types. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46:D956–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1090</u> PMID:<u>29136207</u>
- 14. Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, Franz M, Grouios C, Kazi F, Lopes CT, Maitland A, Mostafavi S, Montojo J, et al. The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:W214–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq537</u> PMID:<u>20576703</u>
- Li T, Fan J, Wang B, Traugh N, Chen Q, Liu JS, Li B, Liu XS. TIMER: A Web Server for Comprehensive Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells. Cancer Res. 2017; 77:e108–10.

https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307 PMID:29092952

- Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Tosolini M, Kirilovsky A, Waldner M, Obenauf AC, Angell H, Fredriksen T, Lafontaine L, Berger A, Bruneval P, Fridman WH, Becker C, et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics of intratumoral immune cells reveal the immune landscape in human cancer. Immunity. 2013; 39:782–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.003</u> PMID:24138885
- Ru B, Wong CN, Tong Y, Zhong JY, Zhong SS, Wu WC, Chu KC, Wong CY, Lau CY, Chen I, Chan NW, Zhang J. TISIDB: an integrated repository portal for tumorimmune system interactions. Bioinformatics. 2019; 35:4200–2.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz210 PMID:30903160  Yang W, Soares J, Greninger P, Edelman EJ, Lightfoot H, Forbes S, Bindal N, Beare D, Smith JA, Thompson IR, Ramaswamy S, Futreal PA, Haber DA, et al. Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41:D955–61.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1111 PMID:23180760

 Basu A, Bodycombe NE, Cheah JH, Price EV, Liu K, Schaefer GI, Ebright RY, Stewart ML, Ito D, Wang S, Bracha AL, Liefeld T, Wawer M, et al. An interactive resource to identify cancer genetic and lineage dependencies targeted by small molecules. Cell. 2013; 154:1151–61.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.003 PMID:23993102

- 20. Liu CJ, Hu FF, Xia MX, Han L, Zhang Q, Guo AY. GSCALite: a web server for gene set cancer analysis. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34:3771–2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty411</u> PMID:<u>29790900</u>
- Hoadley KA, Yau C, Hinoue T, Wolf DM, Lazar AJ, Drill E, Shen R, Taylor AM, Cherniack AD, Thorsson V, Akbani R, Bowlby R, Wong CK, et al, and Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Cell-of-Origin Patterns Dominate the Molecular Classification of 10,000 Tumors from 33 Types of Cancer. Cell. 2018; 173:291–304.e6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.022</u> PMID:29625048
- 22. Wang P, Li X, Gao Y, Guo Q, Ning S, Zhang Y, Shang S, Wang J, Wang Y, Zhi H, Fang Y, Shen W, Zhang G, et al. LnCeVar: a comprehensive database of genomic variations that disturb ceRNA network regulation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020; 48:D111–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz887</u> PMID:<u>31617563</u>
- Jiang LP, Fan SQ, Xiong QX, Zhou YC, Yang ZZ, Li GF, Huang YC, Wu MG, Shen QS, Liu K, Yang CP, Chen YB. GRK5 functions as an oncogenic factor in non-small-cell lung cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2018; 9:295. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-0299-1</u> PMID:29463786
- 24. Xiong Q, Jiang L, Liu K, Jiang X, Liu B, Shi Y, Cheng D, Duan Y, Yang C, Chen Y. miR-133b targets NCAPH to promote β-catenin degradation and reduce cancer stem cell maintenance in non-small cell lung cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021; 6:252. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00555-x PMID:<u>34230450</u>
- Qi X, Zhang DH, Wu N, Xiao JH, Wang X, Ma W. ceRNA in cancer: possible functions and clinical implications. J Med Genet. 2015; 52:710–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103334</u> PMID:<u>26358722</u>

- 26. Huang X, Zhang F, He D, Ji X, Gao J, Liu W, Wang Y, Liu Q, Xin T. Immune-Related Gene SERPINE1 Is a Novel Biomarker for Diffuse Lower-Grade Gliomas via Large-Scale Analysis. Front Oncol. 2021; 11:646060. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.646060</u> PMID:<u>34094933</u>
- Chen KN, Gu ZD, Ke Y, Li JY, Shi XT, Xu GW. Expression of 11 HOX genes is deregulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2005; 11:1044–9.
  PMID:15709170
- Rauch T, Wang Z, Zhang X, Zhong X, Wu X, Lau SK, Kernstine KH, Riggs AD, Pfeifer GP. Homeobox gene methylation in lung cancer studied by genome-wide analysis with a microarray-based methylated CpG island recovery assay. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:5527–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701059104</u> PMID:<u>17369352</u>
- Kanai M, Hamada J, Takada M, Asano T, Murakawa K, Takahashi Y, Murai T, Tada M, Miyamoto M, Kondo S, Moriuchi T. Aberrant expressions of HOX genes in colorectal and hepatocellular carcinomas. Oncol Rep. 2010; 23:843–51. PMID:20127028
- Costa BM, Smith JS, Chen Y, Chen J, Phillips HS, Aldape KD, Zardo G, Nigro J, James CD, Fridlyand J, Reis RM, Costello JF. Reversing HOXA9 oncogene activation by PI3K inhibition: epigenetic mechanism and prognostic significance in human glioblastoma. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:453–62.

https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2189 PMID:20068170

- 31. Chen SL, Qin ZY, Hu F, Wang Y, Dai YJ, Liang Y. The Role of the HOXA Gene Family in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Genes (Basel). 2019; 10:E621. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10080621 PMID:<u>31426381</u>
- 32. Zhang Y, Chen J, Wu SS, Lv MJ, Yu YS, Tang ZH, Chen XH, Zang GQ. HOXA10 knockdown inhibits proliferation, induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in

hepatocellular carcinoma cells through HDAC1. Cancer Manag Res. 2019; 11:7065–76. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S199239 PMID:31440094

- 33. Zhang Y, Yuan Y, Li Y, Zhang P, Chen P, Sun S. An inverse interaction between HOXA11 and HOXA11-AS is associated with cisplatin resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. Epigenetics. 2019; 14:949–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2019.1625673 PMID:<u>31144606</u>
- 34. Kohanbash G, Carrera DA, Shrivastav S, Ahn BJ, Jahan N, Mazor T, Chheda ZS, Downey KM, Watchmaker PB, Beppler C, Warta R, Amankulor NA, Herold-Mende C, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase mutations suppress STAT1 and CD8+ T cell accumulation in gliomas. J Clin Invest. 2017; 127:1425–37. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI90644 PMID:28319047

35. Cao W, Zhou G. LncRNA SNHG12 contributes proliferation, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of pancreatic cancer cells by absorbing miRNA-320b. Biosci Rep. 2020; 40:BSR20200805. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20200805</u> PMID:32432698

 Zhang M, Zhu W, Haeryfar M, Jiang S, Jiang X, Chen W, Li J. Long Non-Coding RNA TRG-AS1 Promoted Proliferation and Invasion of Lung Cancer Cells Through the miR-224-5p/SMAD4 Axis. Onco Targets Ther. 2021; 14:4415–26.

https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S297336 PMID:<u>34408438</u>

- 37. Wu S, Wu F, Jiang Z. Effect of HOXA6 on the proliferation, apoptosis, migration and invasion of colorectal cancer cells. Int J Oncol. 2018; 52:2093–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2018.4352</u> PMID:<u>29620285</u>
- 38. Wu F, Wu S, Tong H, He W, Gou X. HOXA6 inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis by suppressing the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 2019; 54:2095–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2019.4789</u> PMID:<u>31081053</u>

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

#### **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Figure 1. The correlation between HOXAs and histological type in LGG. (A–D) Analysis correlation between HOXAs and histological type in LGG examine by TCGA database.



Supplementary Figure 2. The correlation between HOXAs and tumor grade in LGG. (A–D) The correlation between HOXAs and tumor grade in LGG by CGGA database.



Supplementary Figure 3. The correlation between HOXAs and age in LGG. (A–C) The correlation between HOXAs and age in LGG by CGGA database.



Supplementary Figure 4. Analysis the prognosis of HOXAs in LGG examine by CGGA database. (A–D) Analysis the prognosis of HOXAs in LGG examine by CGGA database.



Supplementary Figure 5. The expression of HOXAs in immune subtype of LGG examine by TISIDB database. (A–D) The expression of HOXAs in immune subtype of LGG examine by TISIDB database.



Supplementary Figure 6. Analysis the correlation between somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs and immune cell infiltration in LGG. (A–F) Analysis the correlation between somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs and immune cell infiltration levels in LGG based on TIMER database.



Supplementary Figure 7. Analysis the correlation between somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs and immune cell infiltration in LGG. (A–E) Analysis the correlation between somatic copy number alterations of HOXAs and immune cell infiltration levels in LGG based on TIMER database.



Supplementary Figure 8. Analysis the correlation between the HOXAs expression and immune cells infiltration. (A–E) The correlation between HOXAs expression and immune cells infiltration in LGG examine by the TIMER database. \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001.



Supplementary Figure 9. Analysis the correlation between the HOXAs expression and immune cells infiltration. (A, B) The correlation between HOXAs expression and immune cells infiltration in LGG examine by the ssGSEA database. \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001.





Supplementary Figure 10. The correlation between HOXAs expression and drug sensitivity. (A, B) The correlation between HOXAs expression and drug sensitivity by GDSC and CTRP database.

LncRNAs	miRNAs	mRNAs	FDR	В	\$	LncRNAs	\$	miRNAs	\$	mRNAs	\$ FDR
SNHG12	hsa-miR-210-3p	HOXA1	2.27E-4			LINC00174		hsa-miR-26a-5p		HOXA5	4.91E-19
ZNF337-AS1	hsa-miR-210-3p	HOXA1	2.39E-2			LINC00667		hsa-miR-19a-3p		HOXA5	2.07E-3
						LINC00174		hsa-miR-26a-5p		HOXA5	1.84E-4
LncRNAs	🛊 miRNAs 📢	mRNAs 📢	FDR		\$	LncRNAs	\$	miRNAs	\$	mRNAs	\$ FDR
CTC-459F4.3	hsa-miR-142-3p	HOXA7	3.89E-5		-	LINC00665		hsa-miR-320a		HOXA10	 3.92E-29
HCG18	hsa-miR-196a-5p	HOXA7	2.93E-176			LIPE-AS1		hsa-miR-320a		HOXA10	5.17E-13
TRG-AS1	hsa-miR-196a-5p	HOXA7	3.07E-10			CTB-5506.12		hsa-miR-320a		HOXA10	1.51E-7
						SNHG12		hsa-miR-320a		HOXA10	8.43E-4
LncRNAs	miRNAs	mRNAs	FDR			SNHG22		hsa-miR-204-5p		HOXA10	1.78E-3
LINC00665	hsa-miR-20a-5p	HOXA9	5.15E-28			IncRNAs		miRNAs		mRNAs	FDR
RP5-1024G6.5	hsa-miR-20a-5p	HOXA9	2.26E-7			LICIUM	1.0			interva	TER
ZNF790-AS1	hsa-miR-20a-5p	HOXA9	1.62E-5			RP11-37B2.1		hsa-miR-124-3	D	HOXA13	4.56E-9
SNHG10	hsa-miR-145-5p	HOXA9	4.43E-5			KDM4A-AS1		hsa-miR-27a-5p	C	HOXA13	1.17E-6
FLJ37453	hsa-miR-20a-5p	HOXA9	4.74E-5								
H19	hsa-miR-145-5p	HOXA9	5.78E-5								
KDM4A-AS1	hsa-miR-145-5p	HOXA9	2.87E-4								
SNHG12	hsa-miR-210-3p	HOXA9	6.97E-4								
CTC-459F4.3	hsa-miR-142-3p	HOXA9	3.81E-2								

Supplementary Figure 11. The ceRNA networks of HOXAs in LGG. (A, B) The ceRNA networks of HOXAs in LGG constructed by LnCeVar database.

#### **Supplementary Table**

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Table 1.

Supplementary Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of LGG patients with differential HOXAs expression.