Research Paper

Reduced uremic metabolites are prominent feature of sarcopenia, distinct from antioxidative markers for frailty

Masahiro Kameda¹, Takayuki Teruya², Mitsuhiro Yanagida², Hiroshi Kondoh¹

¹Geriatric Unit, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan ²G0 Cell Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University (OIST), Okinawa, Japan

Correspondence to: Mitsuhiro Yanagida, Hiroshi Kondoh; email: myanagid@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0293-5654; https://orcid.org, <a href="https://

Copyright: © 2021 Kameda et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Due to global aging, frailty and sarcopenia are increasing. Sarcopenia is defined as loss of volume and strength of skeletal muscle in elderlies, while frailty involves multiple domains of aging-related dysfunction, impaired cognition, hypomobility, and decreased social activity. However, little is known about the metabolic basis of sarcopenia, either shared with or discrete from frailty. Here we analyzed comprehensive metabolomic data of human blood in relation to sarcopenia, previously collected from 19 elderly participants in our frailty study. Among 131 metabolites, we identified 22 sarcopenia markers, distinct from 15 frailty markers, mainly including antioxidants, although sarcopenia overlaps clinically with physical frailty. Notably, 21 metabolites that decline in sarcopenia or low SMI are uremic compounds that increase in kidney dysfunction. These comprise TCA cycle, urea cycle, nitrogen, and methylated metabolites. Sarcopenia markers imply a close link between muscle and kidney function, while frailty markers define a state vulnerable to oxidative stress.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the wave of global aging, aging-related diseases among the elderly are increasing: hypertension, atherosclerosis, diabetes, osteoporosis, dementia, cancer, etc. Frailty and sarcopenia are also well known as aging-related diseases [1, 2]. Both diseases are increasing with estimated global populations of about 120 million and 90 million individuals, respectively [3, 4]. The clinical evaluation for sarcopenia is different from that for frailty. Sarcopenia is defined as a loss of skeletal muscle and muscle strength in the elderly [5], while frailty is a state of vulnerability to several stressors, due to declined function or impairment of organs and tissues during aging [1]. Frailty encompasses multiple domains of aging, including cognitive impairment, hypomobility, and decreased social activity [1, 6].

Frail patients overlap with 20-70% of sarcopenic populations [7-10]. In addition, both sarcopenia and frailty significantly affect the general status of the elderly, including mortality, hospitalization rate, falling, and necessity of long-term care [5, 6, 11]. This is partly because sarcopenic patients share clinical features with physical frailty, a subtype of frailty [1, 5]. Three major tools are applied for the diagnosis of frailty: 1) The model known as the Fried physical frailty Cardiovascular Health Study Index (CHS) [1], 2) the deficit accumulation model, covering multimorbidity, known as the Rockwood Frailty Index [12], and 3) the Edmonton Frailty Scale (EFS) or Tilburg Frailty Indicator, a mixed physical and psychosocial model [13, 14]. Thus, the Rockwood Frailty Index and EFS are distinct from physical frailty in evaluating cognitive or social function. Several reports suggest the involvement of cytokines in sarcopenia [15-17]. However, little is known about the metabolic basis which may be shared or discrete in sarcopenia and frailty.

Metabolomics is a newly developed branch of chemistry that detects and quantifies small molecules, called metabolites, using methods such as liquid chromatography- mass spectrometry (LC-MS) [18]. Metabolites are generated in cells and tissues through their metabolic activities. They include amino acids, carbohydrates and organic acids, nitrogen compounds, purines and pyrimidines, lipids, antioxidants, etc. Metabolomics reveal complex, highly integrated biological processes. Human blood reflects physiological and pathophysiological states influenced by heredity, epigenetics, and disease, as well as by physiological or homeostatic responses, lifestyle, and nutrition [18-20]. Blood metabolomics have been utilized to reveal pathology and to identify diagnostic biomarkers [21–23].

Recently, we established reproducible and quantitative analyses for metabolomics using whole blood [24]. Although many studies have examined human blood serum or plasma, our whole blood analysis covers metabolites from both cellular and non-cellular compartments [24]. This approach has been validated in several comprehensive, non-targeted studies for comparisons between yeast and human blood [25], aging metabolites [26], and fasting compounds [27]. In addition, our whole blood metabolomics have identified 15 frailty markers, including 10 antioxidants, based on EFS diagnostic tools [28]. Interestingly, although the average ages of both frail and non-frail populations were more than 80 years in our study, 5 of 15 frailtyrelated metabolites overlapped with aging markers [26] [28], indicating an intriguing metabolic link between frailty and human aging.

Here previous metabolomic data from the study of frailty diagnosed using the EFS are analyzed, based on sarcopenic diagnoses in the same group [28]. In sharp contrast to the 15 frailty markers, including antioxidants, we identified 22 sarcopenia markers, comprising TCA cycle compounds, urea cycle compounds, muscle or nitrogen metabolites, and methylated metabolites. Interestingly, most metabolites that decreased in sarcopenia or low SMI are uremic compounds that increase as a result of kidney impairment. Thus, metabolite profiles in sarcopenia are largely distinct from those of frailty.

RESULTS

We previously reported findings of non-targeted comprehensive metabolomic analysis of whole blood based on frailty diagnosis using the EFS in 19 elderly

participants (7 males and 12 females; average age; 84.2 \pm 6.9 years) [28] (Figure 1A). As this study also included sarcopenic profiles in the same population, here we analyzed metabolite profiles from the same data, based on individual diagnoses of sarcopenia (Figure 1A). Clinical features for frailty and results of blood tests were shown in Supplementary Table 1 in Kameda et al. PNAS 2020. Sarcopenia was diagnosed based on metrics of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS), which includes hand grip strength, a 10-meter speed-walking test, and skeletal muscle index (SMI) among patients over 65 years old [5]. SMI was recorded by bioelectric impedance analysis [29]. Among individuals with decreased SMI, patients with decreased hand grip strength or walking speed were diagnosed as sarcopenic.

Clinical attributes of all participants for sarcopenia are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Among 19 participants, 6 individuals (average age; 85.0 ± 8.6) were diagnosed as sarcopenic, while 13 (average age; 83.8 ± 6.3) were not (Supplementary Table 1). Nonsarcopenia group included 5 cases of pre-sarcopenia, 5 cases of dynapenia, and 5 cases of frailty. Sarcopenia group included 4 cases of frailty. SMI and BMI were significantly decreased in sarcopenia, while hand grip strength was not (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 1, and Supplementary Table 1). Handgrip strength was significantly correlated with SMI (Figure 1C). Calf circumference was significantly decreased in sarcopenia and low SMI group. Other clinical parameters were comparable between the two groups. Among results of blood tests, both serum creatinine and creatine kinase were significantly decreased in sarcopenia (Figure 1D, Figure 1E, and Supplementary Table 1), consistent with previous findings. However, serum creatinine and creatine kinase in frailty were comparable to those in non-frailty [28]. Thus, regarding clinical markers such as creatinine and creatine kinase (CK), sarcopenia is distinct from other muscle degenerative diseases accompanied by increases in these markers [30] and also distinct from frailty. Lower CK levels in sarcopenia would be caused by the decreased turnover of muscle tissues or by decreased physical activity in patients. Regarding SMI, 11 individuals displayed low SMI (average score; 5.2 ± 0.9), while 8 were normal (average 7.4 \pm 0.8). BMI, serum creatinine, and BUN were significantly decreased in the low-SMI group (Figure 1E, and Supplementary Table 1). However, we noticed that cognitive function in sarcopenic patients is comparable to that in subjects without sarcopenia, assessed using the Japanese version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment, (MoCA-J) (Figure 1C, and Supplementary Figure 1). Thus, in sharp contrast to the close correlation between frailty and impaired cognition, sarcopenia appears unlinked to cognitive impairment [7].

All metabolomic profiles in these participants were previously analyzed by LC-MS [28]. The 131 metabolites detected were confirmed using standard compounds or by MS/MS, as previously reported [28]. We performed a comprehensive assessment of 131 metabolites based on the diagnosis of sarcopenia (Supplementary Data 1). We identified 22 compounds as sarcopenic markers (Supplementary Table 2), which did not overlap with 15 frailty markers in the same dataset [28]. Among these 22 compounds, 21 metabolites (acetyl-carnitine, dimethyl-proline, phenylalanine, dimethyl-arginine, N1-methyl-histidine, isovaleryl-carnitine, myo-inositol, creatinine. pantothenate, hypoxanthine, dimethyl-guanosine, N1methyl-adenosine, 2-oxoglutarate, pentose-phosphate, N-acetyl-glutamate, succinate. auinolinic acid.

4-guanidinobutanoate, N1-methyl-guanosine, trimethyltyrosine, and cis-aconitate) decrease significantly in sarcopenia, while aspartate increases. In addition, comparisons between low- and normal-SMI groups identified 10 SMI markers: urate, butyro-betaine, dimethyl-arginine, N1-methyl-histidine, isovalerylcarnitine, creatinine, hippurate, dimethyl-guanosine, 2-oxoglutarate, and cis-aconitate (Supplementary Table 3). All 10 SMI markers are significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. Thirteen of 22 sarcopenia markers and all 10 SMI markers are significantly correlated with SMI (Supplementary Table 4). As three SMI markers (urate, butyro-betaine, and hippurate) did not overlap with sarcopenia markers, a total of 25 metabolites are identified as sarcopeniarelated markers.



Figure 1. The metabolomic study of sarcopenia. (A) Overview of the study protocol. All participants were clinically assessed, and their blood was evaluated by untargeted whole-blood metabolomics. This study was conducted using previously reported clinical data from 19 elderly participants who were also assessed for sarcopenia. (B) Comparison of SMI between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic subjects. SMI was significantly decreased in the sarcopenia group. (C) Pearson's correlation of the linear model between hand grip and SMI (left panel). The correlation coefficient between hand grip and SMI was statistically significant (R = 0.60, p = 0.007). The correlation between MoCA-J and SMI was not statistically significant (R = 0.25, p = 0.31). (D) Serum creatine kinase levels decreased significantly in sarcopenia. (E) Serum creatinine decreased significantly in sarcopenia and the low-SMI group. (F) Metabolomic analysis by LC-MS detected a significant decrease of creatinine in sarcopenia and the low-SMI group. *p < 0.05. Error bars represent means ± SD. **p < 0.01. Error bars represent means ± SD.

First, consistent with findings in blood tests (Figure 1E), metabolomic analysis detected a decline of creatinine both in sarcopenia and in the low-SMI group (Figure 1F). In clinical practice, creatinine is well known as a marker of both kidney disease and muscle mass [31]. We observed that 10 sarcopenia-related markers pertain to mitochondria: 8 sarcopenia markers (acetyl-carnitine, 2-oxoglutarate, isovaleryl-carnitine, cis-aconitate. succinate. aspartate, N-acetyl-glutamate, and pantothenate) and 5 SMI markers (isovaleryl-carnitine, butyro-betaine, 2-oxoglutarate, cis-aconitate, and hippurate) (Figure 2). Except aspartate, the other 9

mitochondrial metabolites are significantly decreased. Short-chain carnitines (acetyl- and isovaleryl-carnitine) supply acetyl-CoA to mitochondria (Figure 2A). Butyro-betaine is a precursor of carnitine. TCA metabolites (cis-aconitate, 2-oxoglutarate, and succinate) are involved in energy production in the mitochondrial matrix (Figure 2B). Pantothenate is the precursor of CoA. Mitochondria are also involved in the urea cycle, one of the substrates of which is N-acetylglutamate (Figure 2C) [32]. Hippurate is generated in mitochondria during ammonia synthesis (Figure 2D) [33]. In the previous metabolomic study of frailty, the



Figure 2. Ten mitochondrial metabolites are diagnostic for sarcopenia. (A) Three short-chain carnitines and their derivatives (acetyl-carnitine, isovaleryl-carnitine, and butyro-betaine) decreased significantly in sarcopenia. Isovaleryl-carnitine was significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. (B) Four TCA-related metabolites (2-oxoglutarate, cis-aconitate, succinate, and pantothenate) decreased significantly in sarcopenia. 2-oxoglutarate and cis-aconitate were significantly reduced in the low-SMI group. (C) N-acetyl-glutamate, which is related to the urea cycle, was significantly diminished in sarcopenia. (D) Hippurate, which is related to ammonia synthesis, was significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. (E) Aspartate, which is involved in the mitochondrial malate-aspartate shuttle, was significantly increased in sarcopenia. *p < 0.05 Error bars represent means ± SD. **p < 0.01. Error bars represent means ± SD.

assessment using the timed-up-and-go (TUG) test also and isovaleryl-carnitine identified hippurate as hypomobility markers [28]. Some mitochondrial metabolites displayed high correlation coefficients: 2-oxoglutarate and cis-aconitate (R = 0.66, p = 0.002), 2-oxoglutarate and succinate (R = 0.75, p = 0.0002), cis-aconitate and succinate (R = 0.59, p = 0.007). Aspartate was significantly increased in sarcopenia (Figure 2E) and negatively correlated with mitochondrial metabolites (2-oxoglutarate; R = -0.56, p = 0.012, and succinate; R = -0.60, p = 0.0002) (Supplementary Figure 2). Aspartate is utilized in the mitochondrial malate-aspartate shuttle.

Second, it is noteworthy that 8 methylated metabolites decrease in sarcopenia (Figure 3A and 3B): 5 methylated compounds related to amino acids (N1-methyl-histidine, trimethyl-tyrosine, dimethyl-arginine, dimethyl-proline, and butyro-betaine) (Figure 3A) and 3 methylated nucleotides (N1-methyl-adenosine, N1-methyl-guanosine, and dimethyl-guanosine) (Figure 3B). Additionally, pentose phosphate metabolites, related to synthesis of nucleotides, decrease in sarcopenia (Supplementary Figure 3A).

Third, we observed that 10 decreased metabolites overlapped with previously reported markers for uremia



Figure 3. Sarcopenic markers related to methylated metabolites and kidney disease. (A) Five methylated amino acids and their derivatives (dimethyl-arginine, N1-methyl-histidine, dimethyl-proline, trimethyl-tyrosine, and butyro-betaine) were significantly decreased in sarcopenia or the low-SMI group. 4 metabolites (N1-methyl-histidine, trimethyl-tyrosine, dimethyl-arginine, and dimethyl-proline) were significantly decreased in the sarcopenia group. Three metabolites (N1-methyl-histidine, dimethyl-arginine, butyro-betaine) were significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. (B) Three methylated nucleotides (dimethyl-guanosine, N1-methyl-adenosine, and N1-methyl-guanosine) were significantly decreased in sarcopenia. Dimethyl-guanosine was significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. (C) Two uremic markers related to purine metabolism (hypoxanthine and urate) were involved in sarcopenia. Hypoxanthine was significantly decreased in sarcopenia, whereas urate was significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. (D) Eight metabolites related to kidney disease (creatinine, dimethyl-arginine, dimethyl-guanosine, N1-methyl-guanosine, quinolinic acid, 4-guanidinobutanoate, myo-inositol, and phenylalanine) were significantly decreased in sarcopenia. Three metabolites (creatinine, dimethyl-arginine, and dimethyl-guanosine) were also significantly decreased in the low-SMI group. *p < 0.05 Error bars represent means ± SD. **p < 0.01. Error bars represent means ± SD.

or kidney disease: creatinine, dimethyl-arginine, dimethyl-guanosine, quinolinic acid, N1-methylguanosine, hypoxanthine, urate, 4-guanidinobutanoate, myo-inositol, and phenylalanine (Figure 3C and 3D) [31, 34–38]. Creatinine is well known as a marker both for kidney disease and muscle mass in clinical practice [31]. Hypoxanthine is the precursor of urate, which was also reported as a frailty marker [28] (Figure 3C). ATP levels in muscle reportedly decline during aging [39], while in our whole blood metabolomics we observed that blood ATP levels were less affected in sarcopenia. The decrease of urate and hypoxanthine in blood in sarcopenia may reflect reduced ATP metabolism in aging muscles. 4-Guanidinobutanoate is related to NH₃ metabolism (Figure 3D). Moreover, other decreased metabolites related to mitochondria (cis-aconitate, 2-oxoglutarate, succinate, pantothenate, N-acetylglutamate, acetyl-carnitine, isovaleryl-carnitine, butyrobetaine, and hippurate) and methylation (N1-methyladenosine and N1-methyl-histidine) are also increased in patients with kidney disease. Thus, in total, 21 metabolites that decreased in sarcopenia or low SMI are uremic compounds. These uremic compounds are statistically correlated. Creatinine is significantly correlated with other uremia markers; hypoxanthine (R = 0.76, p = 0.0001), urate (R = 0.71, p = 0.0007), dimethyl-arginine (R = 0.73, p = 0.0003), dimethylguanosine (R = 0.56, p = 0.0136), N1-methyl-guanosine (R = 0.67, p = 0.002), quinolinic acid (R = 0.71, p =0.0006), and myo-inositol (R = 0.75, p = 0.0002) (Supplementary Figure 2). Moreover, these kidney markers are significantly correlated with other sarcopenic markers (isovaleryl-carnitine, butyrobetaine, cis-aconitate, succinate, N-acetyl-glutamate, and N1-methyl-histidine) (Supplementary Figure 2). We observed the gender differences in 7 metabolites, which are significantly decreased in female: 23.89 ± 7.85 . $15.47 \pm 5.00, p = 0.03$, in isovaleryl-carnitine, 24.79 \pm 3.59, 16.61 \pm 6.15, p = 0.002 in creatinine, 54.51 \pm 10.42, 42.07 \pm 11.02, p = 0.03 in dimethyl-arginine, 4.07 ± 0.43 , 3.15 ± 1.14 , p = 0.02 in dimethylguanosine, 27.06 \pm 13.54, 13.86 \pm 8.87, p = 0.05 in hippurate, 0.35 ± 0.10 , 0.24 ± 0.09 , p = 0.04 in N1methyl-guanosine, and 119.58 ± 19.81 , 93.90 ± 16.89 , p = 0.02 in urate (mean \pm SD of peak area in male and female, and *p*-value of *t*-test, respectively).

Finally, we addressed the question of whether these sarcopenia-related metabolites are useful for detection of sarcopenia. As we noticed that 22 sarcopenia markers are distinct from 15 previously reported frailty markers (Figure 4) [28], we assessed the correlation analysis between 25 sarcopenia-related metabolites and Edmonton frail scale (EFS), and that between 22 frailtyrelated markers and SMI. Three sarcopenia-related markers (isovaleryl-carnitine, hippurate, and urate) were

significantly correlated with EFS (Supplementary Table 4), while eight frailty-related markers (acetyl-carnosine, urate, 1,5-anhydroglucitol, proline, methionine, leucine, isovaleryl-carnitine, hippurate) were significantly correlated with SMI (Supplementary Table 5). These results suggest that sarcopenia-related or frailty metabolites partly correlate with the diagnostic parameters for frailty (EFS) or sarcopenia (SMI), respectively. However, heatmap analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) using sarcopenia-related metabolites indicated much closer interrelation between sarcopenia and sarcopenia-related metabolites. Heatmap comparisons of 22 sarcopenia markers revealed their distinct distributions in sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic persons (Figure 4A upper panel). Similar results were observed regarding 10 SMI markers among low-SMI and control groups (Figure 4A lower panel). Next, we applied PCA, based on 22 sarcopenia markers. PCA distinguished sarcopenia patients from non-sarcopenia controls (Figure 4B). However, PCA with sarcopenia markers did not distinguish the frail population from non-frail controls (Supplementary Figure 3B).

DISCUSSION

Here non-targeted comprehensive metabolomic analysis of whole blood identified 25 sarcopenia-related metabolites: 22 sarcopenia markers and 10 SMI markers that overlap. These 25 markers include metabolites related to mitochondria, kidney function, nitrogen metabolism, and methylated compounds. It is noteworthy that 22 sarcopenia markers are distinct from 15 previously reported frailty markers (summarized in Figure 5), although clinical and metabolomic information were extracted from the same datasets. Thus, our metabolomic analysis revealed previously unknown aspects of the metabolite profile of sarcopenia. These metabolites could be developed into the future clinical use, as some metabolites, such as leucine and isoleucine, were effective to improve muscle quality [40].

It is well known that frailty and sarcopenia share several clinical features, although their definition and diagnostic criteria are distinct. Frailty displays complex domains, physical and social impairment, and diminished cognition, due to vulnerability to stressors in aged organs and tissues. Sarcopenia is defined as muscle aging, displaying decreased muscle mass and strength. Thus, both disease states are deeply affected by organismal aging, but the underlying metabolic bases are still unclear. Our metabolomic study evaluated both frailty and sarcopenia in the same participants. In this setting, we observed that no metabolites overlapped between frailty and sarcopenia (Figure 5) [28], although the markers for sarcopenia and frailty partly correlate

with the diagnostic index for each others. Indeed, while 10 metabolites for antioxidation were identified as frailty markers diagnosed using the EFS [28], no antioxidant was included among 22 sarcopenic metabolites. Moreover, PCA of sarcopenia metabolites clearly distinguished sarcopenia from non-sarcopenia, although not frailty from non-frailty. Thus, their metabolite profiles partly overlap with each other, the diagnostic evaluation by those metabolites are much different. While our findings overlap partly with several other works [41-44], the difference in metabolite markers was noted. Such gaps are probably due to the difference in nutritional status (e.g., high BMI) [41], average age, and study design including whole blood metabolome. Although several metabolomics studies successfully reported findings with small group [45], it is important to verify these markers with larger subjects, e.g., by targeted metabolomics, in the near future.

Strikingly, we observed that compounds that decrease in sarcopenia largely overlap with those that increase in

kidney diseases. In addition to the list of 10 sarcopenia markers relevant to kidney function (Figure 3), several reports suggest that other sarcopenic metabolites, relevant to mitochondria (9) and methylation (6), are also increased in uremia or kidney disease [31, 34, 37, 46-48]. Thus, the majority of decreased markers in sarcopenia or low SMI (21 of 24 metabolites) overlap with uremic compounds, which increase in renal dysfunction or uremia (Figure 5). It was also well known that creatinine, a kidney biomarker, declines during muscle loss [31]. Our findings suggest the possibility that waste actively generated via muscle metabolism could be a major burden for kidneys, failure of which results in increased levels of these sarcopenic markers. Alternatively, blood sarcopenia markers might decline by their enhanced excretion from kidney in sarcopenia.

Although other muscle diseases, e.g., muscle dystrophy, are frequently accompanied by increased markers for muscle degeneration, we observed that the majority of





sarcopenic metabolites decrease, in addition to Mitochondria-related creatinine. metabolites. including short-chain carnitines, TCA metabolites, and urea cycle metabolites, decrease significantly in sarcopenia. Mitochondrial dysfunction in muscles during sarcopenia is well established in experimental models [49–51]. Consistently, recent comparative RNA analysis in muscle biopsy also identified mitochondrial dysfunction in sarcopenia [52]. Since RBCs in blood do not contain mitochondria, the decline in mitochondrial compounds reflects mitochondrial activity in muscle. Moreover, the decrease of several methylated compounds is an unexpected feature of sarcopenia. S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) is the major methyl-group donor in methylation of DNA, histones, proteins, lipids, and RNA [53]. However, as SAM does not decrease significantly in sarcopenia, some other muscle- or tissue-specific methylation pathway component may be impaired in sarcopenia. TRMT10C and TRMT5 mediate tRNA methylation by generating

N1-methyladenosine N1-methylguanosine, and respectively. Interestingly, enzymatic mutations of TRMT10C and TRMT5 causes mitochondrial respiratory chain defects [54-56]. N1methyladenosine, N1-methylguanosine, and dimethylguanosine, known as indices of RNA methylation [57], decrease in sarcopenia. These methylated blood metabolites may have a pathological link to mitochondrial or muscle dysfunction.

Notably, these 22 sarcopenia markers are largely distinct from 15 frailty markers in the same patients, suggesting that metabolic profiles distinguish sarcopenia from frailty. Thus, sarcopenia can be characterized as muscle aging with a decrease of metabolites for mitochondria, muscle, kidney, and methylation, in sharp contrast to the decrease of metabolites for antioxidation in frailty [28]. These findings help not only our understanding of pathogenesis of sarcopenia and frailty, but also future development of clinical applications.



Figure 5. Summary of 25 metabolites related to sarcopenia and 15 frailty markers. 15 frailty markers (blue box) and 22 sarcopenia markers (dark green box) are presented. There is no overlap among them. 10 metabolites are muscle mass-related markers (light green box). Seven of the 15 frailty markers were antioxidants; however, sarcopenia markers include no antioxidants. Seven metabolites (isovaleryl-carnitine, 2-oxoglutarate, cis-aconitate, creatinine, dimethyl-arginine, dimethyl-guanosine, and N1-methyl-histidine) are both sarcopenia and muscle mass-related markers. 21 metabolites in orange are kidney-related markers.

Sarcopenia markers

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical assessment

All clinical data were recorded at Kyoto University Hospital. Medical interviews, physical examinations, and blood tests were executed for nineteen elderly participants. Patients who were bedridden, or who had kidney failure (elevation of serum creatinine, over 2.0 mg/dL), or liver disease (increased serum GOT and GPT, over 50 U/L), were excluded from this study. Diagnosis of sarcopenia was performed using AWGS 2014 [5], which consists of muscle mass evaluation, a 10-m speed-walking evaluation, and a hand grip strength test. SMI was measured by Inbody 720 (South Korea). SMI below 7.0 kg/m² in males and 5.7 kg/m² in females was classified as decreased SMI. Patients who had an apparent risk of falling in the 10-m walking test or whose walking speed was below 0.8 m/sec, were considered hypomobile. Participants were asked to walk at a comfortable speed on a 12-m straight walkway, including 1 m for acceleration and deceleration. Stopwatch was used for the start and end points to record the time taken to walk 10 m, and habitual gait speed was measured by calculating this in meters per second [58]. Hand grip strength below 26 kg in male and 18 kg in female was considered decreased muscle strength. Handgrip was measured using a Smedley-type hand-held dynamometer (Matsumiya Ika Seiki Seisakusho Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) [59]. Calf circumference was assessed, one of the clinical parameters in AWGS 2019 [59]. The total number of iADL impairment in EFS was scored.

Blood sample preparation for metabolomic analysis

Blood samples were prepared for metabolomic analysis as previously reported [25, 26]. Blood for clinical tests and metabolomic analysis was collected at the laboratory of Kyoto University Hospital in the morning. All participants were asked not to have breakfast to ensure overnight fasting for at least 12 hours until the time of blood sampling. Participants were encouraged to spend their time normally and to drink beverages without calories. Since some metabolites are labile, blood samples were rapidly quenched at -40° C in methanol to guarantee quick sample processing [26]. Ten nmol of 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) and piperazine-N, N'-bis (2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES) were added to each sample, to serve as internal standards.

LC-MS conditions

Untargeted, comprehensive analysis by LC-MS was executed as previously reported [25, 26]. LC-MS data

were acquired using an Ultimate 3000 DGP-3600RS liquid chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) combined with an LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). LC separation utilized a ZIC-pHILIC column (Merck SeQuant, Umeå, Sweden, 150 mm × 2.1 mm, 5 um particle size). The mobile phase consisted of ammonium carbonate buffer (10 mM, pH 9.3) and acetonitrile. Gradient elution from 80 to 20% acetonitrile over 30 min at a flow rate of 100 µL/min was performed. An electrospray ionization (ESI) source was utilized for MS detection. An injection of 1 µL was carried out twice for each sample, once with the ESI in positive ionization mode and once in negative mode. Ion spray was set to 4.0 and 2.8 kV (positive or negative ESI, respectively), while the temperature of the capillary was kept to 300 or 350°C. Nitrogen gas was utilized as a carrier of ionized metabolites. We ran the mass spectrometer in full scanning mode with a 100-1000 m/z range and with MS/MS fragmentation scanning in an automatic data-dependent manner.

LC-MS data processing and analysis

MZmine 2 (version 2.29) software (mzmine.github.io) was utilized to measure peak areas for metabolites [60]. Isotopic peaks were removed. Lists of peaks for individual samples were arranged, according to their retention times and corresponding m/z. 131 metabolites were identified for each sample by comparing retention times and m/z values of peaks with those of standards (Supplementary Data 1) [25, 26]. If no standard compound was accessible, metabolites were identified by analyzing MS/MS spectra (MS/MS). Then, all data acquired were converted into a spreadsheet, followed by evaluation with R statistical software (http://www.rproject.org). Student's T test was performed to evaluate statistical significance of differences between groups (significance was set at p < 0.05) and its 95% confidence interval. The ordinary least squares method was used to assess linear regression. Pearson's correlation was performed to evaluate correlations between metabolites and clinical data (assuming p <0.05). Principal component analysis was performed to visualize the metabolomic model.

Data availability

Raw LC-MS data in mzML format are accessible from the MetaboLights repository (<u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/</u> <u>metabolights</u>). The study identifier is MTBLS3341.

Ethics statement

All participants signed written informed consent forms prior to examination, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Experiments were performed in agreement with relevant rules and official guidelines in Japan. The study protocol was approved both by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Kyoto University and by the Review Committee on Human Subjects Research at OIST.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MY and HK designed the research. MK and TT analyzed data. MK, TT, HK, and MY performed the research. MK, MY, and HK wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Eri Shibata and Junko Takada for excellent technical assistance, and Dr. Steven D. Aird for editorial help.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from OIST (to MY), and from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (to HK and MK). Our study was also generously supported by Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University.

REFERENCES

 Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, Seeman T, Tracy R, Kop WJ, Burke G, McBurnie MA, and Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001; 56:M146–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.m146</u>

PMID:<u>11253156</u>

- Pacifico J, Geerlings MAJ, Reijnierse EM, Phassouliotis C, Lim WK, Maier AB. Prevalence of sarcopenia as a comorbid disease: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Exp Gerontol. 2020; 131:110801. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110801</u> PMID:<u>31887347</u>
- WHO. World report on ageing and health. 2015; 1– 246.
- 4. ONU. World population, ageing. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. 2015; 1–149.

- Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS, Chou MY, Chen LY, Hsu PS, Krairit O, Lee JS, Lee WJ, Lee Y, et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014; 15:95–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2013.11.025</u> PMID:24461239
- 6. Chen X, Mao G, Leng SX. Frailty syndrome: an overview. Clin Interv Aging. 2014; 9:433–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S45300</u> PMID:<u>24672230</u>
- Reijnierse EM, Trappenburg MC, Blauw GJ, Verlaan S, de van der Schueren MA, Meskers CG, Maier AB. Common Ground? The Concordance of Sarcopenia and Frailty Definitions. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016; 17:371.e7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2016.01.013

PMID:<u>26922807</u>

 Mijnarends DM, Schols JM, Meijers JM, Tan FE, Verlaan S, Luiking YC, Morley JE, Halfens RJ. Instruments to assess sarcopenia and physical frailty in older people living in a community (care) setting: similarities and discrepancies. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2015; 16:301–08. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.11.011</u>

PMID:25530211

 Mori H, Tokuda Y. Differences and overlap between sarcopenia and physical frailty in older communitydwelling Japanese. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2019; 28:157– 65.

https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.201903 28(1).0021 PMID:<u>30896427</u>

- Tamura Y, Ishikawa J, Fujiwara Y, Tanaka M, Kanazawa N, Chiba Y, Iizuka A, Kaito S, Tanaka J, Sugie M, Nishimura T, Kanemaru A, Shimoji K, et al. Prevalence of frailty, cognitive impairment, and sarcopenia in outpatients with cardiometabolic disease in a frailty clinic. BMC Geriatr. 2018; 18:264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-0955-4</u> PMID:<u>30400831</u>
- Janssen I, Shepard DS, Katzmarzyk PT, Roubenoff R. The healthcare costs of sarcopenia in the United States. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004; 52:80–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52014.x</u> PMID:<u>14687319</u>
- Rockwood K, Stadnyk K, MacKnight C, McDowell I, Hébert R, Hogan DB. A brief clinical instrument to classify frailty in elderly people. Lancet. 1999; 353:205–06.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(98)04402-X PMID:<u>9923878</u>

13. Rolfson DB, Majumdar SR, Tsuyuki RT, Tahir A,

Rockwood K. Validity and reliability of the Edmonton Frail Scale. Age Ageing. 2006; 35:526–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afl041</u> PMID:<u>16757522</u>

- 14. Dent E, Lien C, Lim WS, Wong WC, Wong CH, Ng TP, Woo J, Dong B, de la Vega S, Hua Poi PJ, Kamaruzzaman SBB, Won C, Chen LK, et al. The Asia-Pacific Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Frailty. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2017; 18:564–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.04.018</u> PMID:<u>28648901</u>
- Kwak JY, Hwang H, Kim SK, Choi JY, Lee SM, Bang H, Kwon ES, Lee KP, Chung SG, Kwon KS. Prediction of sarcopenia using a combination of multiple serum biomarkers. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:8574. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26617-9</u> PMID:<u>29872072</u>
- Rong YD, Bian AL, Hu HY, Ma Y, Zhou XZ. Study on relationship between elderly sarcopenia and inflammatory cytokine IL-6, anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. BMC Geriatr. 2018; 18:308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-1007-9</u> PMID:<u>30541467</u>
- Calvani R, Picca A, Marini F, Biancolillo A, Cesari M, Pesce V, Lezza AMS, Bossola M, Leeuwenburgh C, Bernabei R, Landi F, Marzetti E. The "BIOmarkers associated with Sarcopenia and PHysical frailty in EldeRly pErsons" (BIOSPHERE) study: Rationale, design and methods. Eur J Intern Med. 2018; 56:19–25.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2018.05.001 PMID:29753582

- 18. Psychogios N, Hau DD, Peng J, Guo AC, Mandal R, Bouatra S, Sinelnikov I, Krishnamurthy R, Eisner R, Gautam B, Young N, Xia J, Knox C, et al. The human serum metabolome. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e16957. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016957</u> PMID:<u>21359215</u>
- Blackburn EH, Epel ES, Lin J. Human telomere biology: A contributory and interactive factor in aging, disease risks, and protection. Science. 2015; 350:1193–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab3389</u> PMID:<u>26785477</u>
- 20. Kastenmüller G, Raffler J, Gieger C, Suhre K. Genetics of human metabolism: an update. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24:R93–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv263</u> PMID:<u>26160913</u>
- Sreekumar A, Poisson LM, Rajendiran TM, Khan AP, Cao Q, Yu J, Laxman B, Mehra R, Lonigro RJ, Li Y, Nyati MK, Ahsan A, Kalyana-Sundaram S, et al.

Metabolomic profiles delineate potential role for sarcosine in prostate cancer progression. Nature. 2009; 457:910–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07762

PMID:<u>19212411</u>

- 22. Suhre K, Shin SY, Petersen AK, Mohney RP, Meredith D, Wägele B, Altmaier E, Deloukas P, Erdmann J, Grundberg E, Hammond CJ, de Angelis MH, Kastenmüller G, et al, and CARDIoGRAM. Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and pharmaceutical research. Nature. 2011; 477:54–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10354</u> PMID:21886157
- van der Greef J, van Wietmarschen H, van Ommen B, Verheij E. Looking back into the future: 30 years of metabolomics at TNO. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2013; 32:399–415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21370</u> PMID:23630115
- 24. Kondoh H, Kameda M, Yanagida M. Whole Blood Metabolomics in Aging Research. Int J Mol Sci. 2020; 22:175. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010175</u> PMID:<u>33375345</u>
- Chaleckis R, Ebe M, Pluskal T, Murakami I, Kondoh H, Yanagida M. Unexpected similarities between the Schizosaccharomyces and human blood metabolomes, and novel human metabolites. Mol Biosyst. 2014; 10:2538–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c4mb00346b</u> PMID:<u>25010571</u>
- 26. Chaleckis R, Murakami I, Takada J, Kondoh H, Yanagida M. Individual variability in human blood metabolites identifies age-related differences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113:4252–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603023113</u> PMID:<u>27036001</u>
- 27. Teruya T, Chaleckis R, Takada J, Yanagida M, Kondoh H. Diverse metabolic reactions activated during 58-hr fasting are revealed by non-targeted metabolomic analysis of human blood. Sci Rep. 2019; 9:854. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36674-9</u> PMID:<u>30696848</u>
- 28. Kameda M, Teruya T, Yanagida M, Kondoh H. Frailty markers comprise blood metabolites involved in antioxidation, cognition, and mobility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020; 117:9483–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920795117</u> PMID:32295884
- 29. Kim M, Kim H. Response to letter to the editor: accuracy of segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis for assessing whole-body and

appendicular fat mass and lean soft tissue mass in frail women aged 75 years and older. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2013; 67:1008. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2013.136 PMID:23881007

- 30. Dalakas MC, Hohlfeld R. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Lancet. 2003; 362:971–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14368-1</u> PMID:<u>14511932</u>
- 31. Hocher B, Adamski J. Metabolomics for clinical use and research in chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2017; 13:269–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2017.30</u> PMID:<u>28262773</u>
- 32. Meijer AJ, Lof C, Ramos IC, Verhoeven AJ. Control of ureogenesis. Eur J Biochem. 1985; 148:189–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1985.tb08824.x</u> PMID:<u>3979393</u>
- Lees HJ, Swann JR, Wilson ID, Nicholson JK, Holmes E. Hippurate: the natural history of a mammalian-microbial cometabolite. J Proteome Res. 2013; 12:1527–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/pr300900b</u> PMID:23342949
- 34. Niwa T, Takeda N, Yoshizumi H. RNA metabolism in uremic patients: accumulation of modified ribonucleosides in uremic serum. Technical note. Kidney Int. 1998; 53:1801–06. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.1998.00944.x</u> PMID:<u>9607216</u>
- 35. Jankowski J, Luftmann H, Tepel M, Leibfritz D, Zidek W, Schlüter H. Characterization of dimethylguanosine, phenylethylamine, and phenylacetic acid as inhibitors of Ca2+ ATPase in end-stage renal failure. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1998; 9:1249–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.V971249</u> PMID:9644635
- 36. De Deyn PP, D'Hooge R, Van Bogaert PP, Marescau B. Endogenous guanidino compounds as uremic neurotoxins. Kidney Int Suppl. 2001; 78:S77–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-</u> <u>1755.2001.59780077.x</u> PMID:<u>11168988</u>
- Marescau B, Deshmukh DR, Kockx M, Possemiers I, Qureshi IA, Wiechert P, De Deyn PP. Guanidino compounds in serum, urine, liver, kidney, and brain of man and some ureotelic animals. Metabolism. 1992; 41:526–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0026-0495(92)90213-t</u>

PMID:<u>1588833</u>

 Zhao YY. Metabolomics in chronic kidney disease. Clin Chim Acta. 2013; 422:59–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2013.03.033</u> PMID:23570820

- Dalbo VJ, Roberts MD, Lockwood CM, Tucker PS, Kreider RB, Kerksick CM. The effects of age on skeletal muscle and the phosphocreatine energy system: can creatine supplementation help older adults. Dyn Med. 2009; 8:6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-5918-8-6</u> PMID:20034396
- 40. Kim HK, Suzuki T, Saito K, Yoshida H, Kobayashi H, Kato H, Katayama M. Effects of exercise and amino acid supplementation on body composition and physical function in community-dwelling elderly Japanese sarcopenic women: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012; 60:16–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03776.x</u> PMID:<u>22142410</u>
- Calvani R, Picca A, Marini F, Biancolillo A, Gervasoni J, Persichilli S, Primiano A, Coelho-Junior HJ, Bossola M, Urbani A, Landi F, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. A Distinct Pattern of Circulating Amino Acids Characterizes Older Persons with Physical Frailty and Sarcopenia: Results from the BIOSPHERE Study. Nutrients. 2018; 10:1691.

https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10111691 PMID:<u>30404172</u>

- Lustgarten MS, Price LL, Chale A, Phillips EM, Fielding RA. Branched chain amino acids are associated with muscle mass in functionally limited older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014; 69:717–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt152</u> PMID:<u>24085401</u>
- Ottestad I, Ulven SM, Øyri LKL, Sandvei KS, Gjevestad GO, Bye A, Sheikh NA, Biong AS, Andersen LF, Holven KB. Reduced plasma concentration of branched-chain amino acids in sarcopenic older subjects: a crosssectional study. Br J Nutr. 2018; 120:445–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518001307</u> PMID:29909813
- 44. Toyoshima K, Nakamura M, Adachi Y, Imaizumi A, Hakamada T, Abe Y, Kaneko E, Takahashi S, Shimokado K. Increased plasma proline concentrations are associated with sarcopenia in the elderly. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0185206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185206</u> PMID:28934309
- 45. Sakaguchi CA, Nieman DC, Signini EF, Abreu RM, Catai AM. Metabolomics-Based Studies Assessing Exercise-Induced Alterations of the Human Metabolome: A Systematic Review. Metabolites. 2019; 9:164. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo9080164</u> PMID:<u>31405020</u>
- 46. Chuang CK, Lin SP, Chen HH, Chen YC, Wang TJ, Shieh WH, Wu CJ. Plasma free amino acids and their

metabolites in Taiwanese patients on hemodialysis and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Clin Chim Acta. 2006; 364:209–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cccn.2005.07.001</u> PMID:<u>16087168</u>

- 47. Toyohara T, Akiyama Y, Suzuki T, Takeuchi Y, Mishima E, Tanemoto M, Momose A, Toki N, Sato H, Nakayama M, Hozawa A, Tsuji I, Ito S, et al. Metabolomic profiling of uremic solutes in CKD patients. Hypertens Res. 2010; 33:944–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/hr.2010.113 PMID:20613759
- 48. Kalantari S, Nafar M. An update of urine and blood metabolomics in chronic kidney disease. Biomark Med. 2019; 13:577–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm-2019-0008</u> PMID:<u>31140832</u>
- 49. Marzetti E, Calvani R, Cesari M, Buford TW, Lorenzi M, Behnke BJ, Leeuwenburgh C. Mitochondrial dysfunction and sarcopenia of aging: from signaling pathways to clinical trials. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013; 45:2288–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2013.06.024 PMID:23845738
- 50. Rygiel KA, Picard M, Turnbull DM. The ageing neuromuscular system and sarcopenia: a mitochondrial perspective. J Physiol. 2016; 594:4499–512. <u>https://doi.org/10.1113/JP271212</u> PMID:<u>26921061</u>
- 51. Coen PM, Musci RV, Hinkley JM, Miller BF. Mitochondria as a Target for Mitigating Sarcopenia. Front Physiol. 2019; 9:1883. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01883</u> PMID:<u>30687111</u>
- 52. Migliavacca E, Tay SKH, Patel HP, Sonntag T, Civiletto G, McFarlane C, Forrester T, Barton SJ, Leow MK, Antoun E, Charpagne A, Seng Chong Y, Descombes P, et al. Mitochondrial oxidative capacity and NAD⁺ biosynthesis are reduced in human sarcopenia across ethnicities. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:5808. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13694-1 PMID:<u>31862890</u>
- 53. Cavallaro RA, Fuso A, d'Erme M, Miraglia N, Martire S, Scarpa S, Mosca L. Role of S-adenosylmethionine in the Modulation of Oxidative Stress-Related Neurodegeneration. Int J Clin Nutr Diet. 2016; 2:109. <u>https://doi.org/10.15344/2456-8171/2016/109</u>

54. Metodiev MD, Thompson K, Alston CL, Morris AA, He L, Assouline Z, Rio M, Bahi-Buisson N, Pyle A, Griffin H, Siira S, Filipovska A, Munnich A, et al. Recessive Mutations in TRMT10C Cause Defects in Mitochondrial RNA Processing and Multiple Respiratory Chain Deficiencies. Am J Hum Genet. 2016; 99:246. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.06.013</u>

PMID:27392079

- 55. Powell CA, Kopajtich R, D'Souza AR, Rorbach J, Kremer LS, Husain RA, Dallabona C, Donnini C, Alston CL, Griffin H, Pyle A, Chinnery PF, Strom TM, et al. TRMT5 Mutations Cause a Defect in Posttranscriptional Modification of Mitochondrial tRNA Associated with Multiple Respiratory-Chain Deficiencies. Am J Hum Genet. 2015; 97:319–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.06.011</u> PMID:26189817
- 56. Jonkhout N, Tran J, Smith MA, Schonrock N, Mattick JS, Novoa EM. The RNA modification landscape in human disease. RNA. 2017; 23:1754–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.063503.117</u> PMID:28855326
- 57. Mongan NP, Emes RD, Archer N. Detection and analysis of RNA methylation. F1000Res. 2019; 8:F1000 Faculty Rev-559. <u>https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17956.1</u> PMID:31069058
- 58. Chou MY, Nishita Y, Nakagawa T, Tange C, Tomida M, Shimokata H, Otsuka R, Chen LK, Arai H. Role of gait speed and grip strength in predicting 10-year cognitive decline among community-dwelling older people. BMC Geriatr. 2019; 19:186. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1199-7</u> PMID:<u>31277579</u>
- 59. Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Chou MY, lijima K, Jang HC, Kang L, Kim M, Kim S, Kojima T, Kuzuya M, Lee JSW, et al. Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 Consensus Update on Sarcopenia Diagnosis and Treatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2020; 21:300–07.e2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2019.12.012</u> PMID:<u>32033882</u>
- Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Oresic M. MZmine 2: modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010; 11:395.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395 PMID:20650010

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Supplemental clinical data between sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia. Comparison of handgrip strength (left panel) and MoCA-J (right panel) between sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia were shown.

	0.43	Acetyl-carnitine																							
0.43 0.61 2-Oxoglutarate																									
	0.39	0.48	0.66	Cis-aconitate Positive correlation ($p < 0.05$) Positive correlation ($p < 0.01$)																					
	0.40	0.45	0.75	0.59	59 Succinate																				
	-0.13	-0.38	-0.56	-0.45	45 -0.60 Aspartate																				
	0.48	0.41	0.38	0.67	67 0.50 -0.12 N-Acetyl-glutamate																				
	0.62	0.25	0.38	0.12	0.31	-0.37	0.13	Hippu	rate																
	0.27	0.31	0.00	0.45	0.62	-0.30	0.26	0.08		vanthi	no														
	0.27	0.31	0.36	0.45	0.02	0.00	0.20	0.08	0.48	Urate	ne a														
	0.41	0.18	0.39	0.54	0.56	-0.17	0.41	0.23	0.76	0.71	Creat	inine													
	0.49	-0.14	0.23	0.58	0.41	-0.19	0.42	0.23	0.53	0.71	0.73	Dime	ethyl-a	rginine	e										
	0.57	0.32	0.35	0.69	0.32	-0.21	0.81	0.27	0.30	0.66	0.56	0.60	Dime	thvl-a	uanosi	ine									
	0.44	0.50	0.38	0.51	0.56	-0.28	0.45	0.24	0.71	0.58	0.67	0.51	0.58	N1-M	othul-c	wano	ino								
	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.30	-0.20	0.45	0.24	0.55	0.50	0.07	0.31	0.50	0.59	louine	linica									
	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.27	0.05	0.52	0.12	0.55	0.52	0.71	0.73	0.04	0.56	0.55		othyl-l	hietidi	10						
	0.55	0.10	0.23	0.02	0.40	-0.10	0.05	0.24	0.43	0.67	0.09	0.64	0.74	0.64	0.55	0.60	Butv	ro-het:	aino						
	0.23	0.21	0.13	0.41	0.23	-0.16	0.00	0.40	0.33	0.07	0.43	-0.13	0.03	0.36	0.34	0.03	0.21	N1-M	ethvl-a	denos	ine				
	0.20	0.58	0.44	0.40	0.32	-0.25	0.45	0.04	0.20	0.02	0.12	0.10	0.42	0.36	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.58	Dimet	hvl-nr	oline				
	0.55	-0.14	-0.03	0.30	0.02	0.31	0.57	0.38	0.13	0.55	0.30	0.12	0.20	0.50	0.07	0.50	0.20	0.08	0.18	4-au	anidin	obutar	noate		
	0.37	-0.01	-0.13	-0.08	-0.03	-0.21	0.08	0.28	-0.05	0.20	0.11	0.23	0.03	0.10	0.31	0.34	0.31	0.10							
	0.27	0.35	0.53	0.54	0.71	-0.17	0.39	0.05	0.71	0.33	0.75	0.45	0.32	0.48	0.55	0.48	0.57	0.56	0.50	0.23	0.05 Myo-Inositol				
	0.27	0.18	0.42	0.30	0.17	-0.37	0.22	0.43	0.16	0.17	0.25	0.32	0.49	0.10	0.32	0.10	0.34	0.09	0.12	0.18	0.11	0.06	Panto	othena	ate
	0.48	0.22	0.04	0.40	0.10	-0.16	0.72	0.30	0.04	0.52	0.15	0.39	0.79	0.42	0.35	0.42	0.71	0.20	0.13	0.54	0.42	-0.05	0.36	Pher	nylalanine
	0.05	0.30	0.46	0.44	0.72	-0.29	0.32	0.01	0.55	0.27	0.55	0.31	0.08	0.42	0.39	0.42	0.16	0.45	0.40	-0.03	-0.01	0.82	-0.09	-0.11	Pentose-
1								1																	pnosphate

Supplementary Figure 2. Blood metabolites significantly affected in sarcopenia and muscle mass. Pearson's correlation analysis for 25 metabolites, relevant to sarcopenia or SMI. Positive and negative correlations are shown in brown and blue, respectively. Significant correlations between TCA cycle metabolites (cis-aconitate, 2-oxoglutarate, and succinate) or kidney markers (creatinine, dimethyl-arginine, dimethyl-guanosine, urate, hypoxanthine, N1-methyl-guanosine, and quinolinic acid) were observed.

Isovaleryl-carnitine



Supplementary Figure 3. Pentose-phosphates as sarcopenic markers and PCA for frailty using sarcopenia markers. (A) Pentose-phosphates were significantly decreased in sarcopenia. Error bars represent means \pm SD. Asterisks indicate significant difference (*p < 0.05). (B) PCA with 22 sarcopenia markers did not distinguish frailty patients from non-frailty controls.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical parameters of human subjects.

	Sarcopenia (N = 6)	Non- Sarcopenia (N = 13)	95% CI of the difference	<i>P</i> -value	Reduced SMI (N = 11)	Control $(N = 8)$	95% CI of the difference	<i>p</i> -value
Age	85.0 ± 8.6	83.8 ± 6.3	-7.92 to 10.38	0.76	85.0 ± 8.6	83.8 ± 6.3	-7.92 to 10.38	0.76
Gender (Male; Female)	1;5	6;7			2;9	5;3		
SMI (kg/m ²)	5.0 ± 1.0	6.6 ± 1.2	-2.77 to -0.48	*0.01	5.2 ± 0.9	7.4 ± 0.8	-2.99 to -1.31	**0.0005
Handgrip(kg)	18.0 ± 4.0	23.0 ± 7.4	-10.53 to 0.66	0.08	21.1 ± 5.4	23.4 ± 8.4	-10.89 to 4.0	0.51
TUG(sec.)	23.5 ± 13.3	12.2 ± 6.5	-2.68 to 25.26	0.1	18.1 ± 11.4	12.6 ± 8.3	-4.10 to 14.95	0.27
Walking Speed (m/sec.)	1.07 ± 0.33	1.21 ± 0.40	-0.61 to 0.33	0.53	1.09 ± 0.26	1.27 ± 0.48	-0.57 to 0.21	0.37
BMI(kg/m ²)	20.0 ± 2.3	23.3 ± 3.6	-6.15 to -0.33	*0.03	20.7 ± 2.2	24.4 ± 4.0	-7.20 to -0.26	*0.04
Fat mass (kg)	12.7 ± 2.9	15.4 ± 5.7	-7.98 to 2.59	0.19	13.4 ± 2.7	16.0 ± 7.2	-7.60 to 2.33	0.35
Calf circumference(cm)	29.5 ± 4.1	33.5 ± 3.2	-7.65 to -0.42	*0.03	30.1 ± 3.1	35.3 ± 2.5	-8.12 to -2.42	**0.001
MoCA-J	18.2 ± 3.8	22.2 ± 4.8	-8.40 to 0.42	0.07	20.5 ± 4.3	21.5 ± 5.6	-6.17 to 4.08	0.66
Systolic BP(mmHg)	140.5 ± 10.4	136.5 ± 18.2	-9.95 to 18.03	0.55	143.9 ± 13.7	129.3 ± 14.2	0.40 to 28.92	*0.04
Diastolic BP(mmHg)	77.7 ± 6.8	77.3 ± 14.4	-9.89 to 10.61	0.94	77.2 ± 13.7	77.8 ± 10.1	-12.49 to 11.36	0.92
iADL	3.7 ± 3.1	2.0 ± 2.3	-1.05 to 4.38	0.28	2.7 ± 2.7	2.3 ± 2.7	-2.19 to 3.14	0.71
CRE (mg/dl)	0.61 ± 0.22	0.92 ± 0.28	-0.57 to 0.06	*0.02	0.68 ± 0.23	1.03 ± 0.25	-0.59 to -0.11	**0.008
BUN (mg/dl)	15.8 ± 4.8	19.7 ± 6.4	-9.67 to 1.75	0.16	15.6 ± 3.8	22.4 ± 6.6	-12.59 to -1.03	*0.025
CK (U/L)	81.7 ± 24.6	124.4 ± 49.7	-77.50 to -7.33	*0.03	103.1 ± 54.2	121.3 ± 33.4	-59.69 to 23.62	0.39
AST (U/L)	20.8 ± 2.5	22.8 ± 4.8	-5.46 to 1.59	0.26	22.9 ± 4.7	21.1 ± 3.6	-2.20 to 5.77	0.36
ALT (U/L)	12.5 ± 4.5	14.6 ± 5.4	-7.27 to 3.04	0.39	13.8 ± 5.3	14.1 ± 5.3	-5.53 to 4.92	0.90
$\gamma GTP (U/L)$	19.7 ± 5.9	32.3 ± 19.5	-25.21 to -0.07	*0.05	21.3 ± 5.4	38.0 ± 23.3	-36.32 to 2.87	0.08
HDL (mg/dl)	77.0 ± 18.0	59.9 ± 17.4	-2.67 to 36.82	0.08	66.5 ± 18.9	63.8 ± 20.2	-16.82 to 22.23	0.77
LDL (mg/dl)	113.5 ± 17.5	119.8 ± 28.9	-29.19 to 16.50	0.56	116.5 ± 21.6	119.6 ± 31.6	-31.36 to 25.21	0.82
Hba1c (%)	5.6 ± 0.4	5.9 ± 0.5	-0.72 to 0.12	0.15	5.7 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 0.6	-0.81 to 0.22	0.20
Alb (g/dl)	3.9 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.2	-0.25 to 0.42	0.57	3.9 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.3	-0.18 to 0.34	0.53
Glu (mg/dl)	96.7 ± 11.5	100.4 ± 17.6	-18.22 to 10.78	0.59	101.6 ± 18.5	95.9 ± 11.2	-8.70 to 20.22	0.41
TG (mg/dl)	83.7 ± 53.9	134.4 ± 81.9	-118.38 to 16.94	0.13	118.9 ± 73.6	117.6 ± 85.8	-79.46 to 82.03	0.97
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	4.4 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 1.6	-2.37 to 0.21	0.09	4.7 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 1.8	-2.61 to 0.62	0.20
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	4.0 ± 0.2	4.2 ± 0.3	-0.43 to 0.15	0.31	4.2 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.4	-0.37 to 0.31	0.83
Hb (g/dl)	12.7 ± 0.7	12.8 ± 0.8	-0.90 to 0.67	0.75	12.8 ± 0.7	12.7 ± 0.9	-0.68 to 0.99	0.70
HCT (%)	39.3 ± 2.4	37.9 ± 2.9	-1.29 to 4.17	0.27	38.6 ± 2.6	38.0 ± 3.1	-2.27 to 3.48	0.66
Plt (10 ⁹ /L)	238.2 ± 24.9	206 ± 53.9	-5.99 to 70.32	0.09	217.5 ± 38.1	214.4 ± 62.9	-52.19 to 58.34	0.90

In addition to clinical features derived from sarcopenia diagnosis, 19 participants were statistically analyzed by SMI. Comparison between the sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia groups detected significant decreases in SMI ($5.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ vs } 6.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, p < 0.05), Body Mass Index (BMI) ($20.0 \pm 2.3 \text{ U/L vs } 23.3 \pm 3.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$, p < 0.05), serum creatinine ($0.61 \pm 0.22 \text{ vs } 0.92 \pm 0.28 \text{ mg/dl}$, p < 0.05), serum creatine kinase (CK) ($81.7 \pm 24.6 \text{ vs } 124.4 \pm 49.7 \text{ U/L}$, p < 0.05) and serum yGTP ($19.7 \pm 5.9 \text{ vs } 32.3 \pm 19.5 \text{ U/L}$, p < 0.05) in sarcopenia. A comparison between decreased and normal SMI groups detected significant decreases in SMI ($5.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ vs } 7.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$, p < 0.01, BMI ($20.7 \pm 2.2 \text{ vs } 24.4 \pm 4.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$, p < 0.05), serum creatinine ($0.68 \pm 0.23 \text{ vs } 1.03 \pm 0.25 \text{ mg/dl}$, p < 0.01) and serum BUN ($15.6 \pm 3.8 \text{ vs } 22.4 \pm 6.6 \text{ mg/dl}$, p < 0.05) and a significant increase in systolic blood pressure ($143.9 \pm 13.7 \text{ vs } 129.3 \pm 14.2 \text{ mmHG}$, p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.01). Abbreviation: SMI: skeletal muscle mass index; MoCA-J: Japanese version of Montreal Cognitive Assessment; TUG: Timed up and go test; BMI: Body mass index; BP: Blood pressure; CRE: creatinine; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; CK: creatine kinase; HCT: hematocrit; CI: Confidence Interval.

	Peak are	a (10 ⁶ AU)	T test			
Parameters	Sarcopenia	Non-sarcopenia				
	(<i>N</i> = 6)	(<i>N</i> = 13)	- 95% CI of the dif.	<i>p</i> -value		
Acetyl-carnitine	337.73 ± 76.94	433.23 ± 99.79	-186.23 to - 4.77	*0.040		
Dimethyl-proline	186.23 ± 91.05	313.38 ± 102.26	-230.09 to -24.20	*0.020		
Phenylalanine	225.19 ± 55.70	287.35 ± 40.46	-121.27 to -3.07	*0.042		
Dimethyl-arginine	$38.92{\pm}4.67$	50.22 ± 13.03	-19.94 to -2.66	*0.013		
N1-Methyl-histidine	21.75 ± 8.25	37.39 ± 12.08	-25.82 to -5.44	**0.005		
Isovaleryl-carnitine	14.24 ± 3.85	20.57 ± 7.7	-11.95 to -0.71	*0.033		
Aspartate (†)	22.91 ± 5.65	13.64 ± 7.84	2.44 to 16.09	*0.011		
myo-Inositol	13.95 ± 1.58	20.30 ± 7.43	-10.98 to -1.72	*0.011		
Creatinine	15.60 ± 4.82	21.48 ± 6.64	-11.70 to -0.08	*0.047		
Pantothenate	10.14 ± 3.09	17.20 ± 8.03	-12.47 to -1.65	*0.013		
Hypoxanthine	4.11 ± 1.36	$7.58{\pm}4.95$	-6.62 to -0.31	*0.033		
Dimethyl-guanosine	2.50 ± 0.74	3.95 ± 0.81	-2.29 to -0.62	**0.003		
N1-Methyl-adenosine	2.36 ± 1.07	3.64 ± 1.08	-2.47 to -0.10	*0.036		
2-Oxoglutarate	2.01 ± 0.49	3.01 ±1.1	-1.76 to -0.23	*0.018		
Pentose-phosphate	2.13 ±0.24	2.71 ± 0.75	-1.07 to -0.09	*0.022		
Succinate	1.33 ± 0.18	1.74 ± 0.45	-0.71 to -0.10	*0.012		
N-Acetyl-glutamate	0.42 ± 0.14	0.58 ± 0.12	-0.31 to -0.01	*0.037		
Quinolinic acid	0.26 ± 0.14	0.50 ± 0.24	-0.43 to -0.06	*0.013		
4-Guanidinobutanoate	0.16 ± 0.06	0.55 ± 0.60	-0.76 to -0.03	*0.035		
N1-Methyl-guanosine	0.21 ±0.09	0.32 ± 0.10	-0.21 to -0.01	*0.038		
Trimethyl-tyrosine	0.05 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.17	-0.23 to -0.02	*0.027		
cis-Aconitate	0.05 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.05	-0.10 to -0.04	**0.000		

Metabolite peak area. High > 10^8 AU. Medium 10^7-10^8 AU. Low < 10^7 AU. 21 sarcopenia markers (acetyl-carnitine, dimethyl-proline, phenylalanine, dimethyl-arginine, N1-methyl-histidine, isovaleryl-carnitine, myo-inositol, creatinine, pantothenate, hypoxanthine, dimethyl-guanosine, N1-methyl-adenosine, 2-oxoglutarate, pentose-phosphate, succinate, N-acetyl-glutamate, quinolinic acid, 4-guanidinobutanoate, N1-methyl-guanosine, trimethyl-tyrosine, and cis-aconitate) decreased significantly. However, aspartate increased significantly in sarcopenia. Abbreviations: SMI: skeletal muscle index; CI: Confidence Interval. (\uparrow) indicates upregulated metabolite in sarcopenia. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.01).

Supplementary Table 3. List of ten metabolites involved in muscle mass.

	Peak area	(10 ⁶ AU)	T tes	st
	Decreased SMI	Normal SMI	95% CI	
	(<i>N</i> = 11)	(<i>N</i> = 8)	of the dif.	<i>p</i> -value
Urate	95.24 ± 21.23	114.54 ± 1.64	-38.18 to -0.41	*0.046
Butyro-betaine	88.02 ± 19.93	108.91 ± 19.46	-40.32 to -1.47	*0.037
Dimethyl-arginine	40.23 ± 11.17	55.48 ± 7.04	-24.09 to -6.40	**0.002
N1-Methyl-histidine	24.73 ± 9.48	43.07 ± 9.55	-27.77 to -8.93	**0.001
Isovaleryl-carnitine	14.47 ± 3.12	24.21 ± 7.76	-16.34 to -3.14	**0.009
Creatinine	16.89 ± 6.31	23.38 ± 5.27	-12.12 to -0.86	*0.026
Hippurate	12.92 ± 8.00	26.70 ± 13.19	-25.37 to -2.19	*0.020
Dimethyl-guanosine	2.98 ± 0.92	4.20 ± 0.74	-2.03 to -0.41	**0.005
2-Oxoglutarate	2.15 ± 0.53	3.45 ± 1.14	-2.27 to -0.32	*0.014
cis-Aconitate	0.07 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.05	-0.11 to -0.27	**0.004

Metabolite peak area. High > 10⁸ AU, Medium 10⁷–10⁸ AU, Low < 10⁷ AU. 10 metabolites (urate, butyro-betaine, dimethyl-arginine, N1-methyl-histidine, isovaleryl-carnitine, creatinine, hippurate, dimethyl-guanosine, 2-oxoglutarate, cis-aconitate) decreased significantly in sarcopenia. Abbreviations: SMI: skeletal muscle index; CI: Confidence Interval. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.01).

Supplementary Table 4. Correlation analysis between 25 sarcopenia-related markers and SMI or EFS.

D		SMI			EFS	
rarameters	R	95% CI	p value	R	95% CI	p value
Isovaleryl-carnitine	0.70	0.37 to 0.88	**0.001	-0.55	-0.80 to -0.12	*0.015
Urate	0.58	0.18 to 0.82	**0.008	-0.54	0.10 to 0.79	*0.02
Hippurate	0.60	0.21 to 0.83	**0.006	-0.46	-0.76 to -0.01	*0.05
Phenylalanine	0.40	-0.07 to 0.72	0.09	-0.45	-0.75 to 0.00	0.05
Butyro-betaine	0.5	0.06 to 0.78	*0.029	-0.44	-0.75 to 0.01	0.05
Acetyl-carnitine	0.55	0.12 to 0.80	*0.02	-0.43	-0.74 to 0.03	0.06
N1-Methyl-guanosine	0.65	0.27 to 0.85	**0.003	-0.39	-0.71 to 0.08	0.10
Pantothenate	0.62	0.23 to 0.84	**0.005	-0.36	-0.70 to 0.11	0.13
N1-Methyl-histidine	0.58	0.17 to 0.82	**0.009	-0.3	-0.66 to 0.18	0.22
Hypoxanthine	0.52	0.09 to 0.79	*0.02	-0.29	-0.65 to 0.18	0.22
Dimethyl-guanosine	0.62	0.23 to 0.84	**0.005	-0.28	-0.65 to 0.20	0.25
Dimethyl-arginine	0.55	0.12 to 0.80	*0.02	-0.27	-0.64 to 0.20	0.26
Creatinine	0.69	0.35 to 0.87	**0.001	-0.25	-0.63 to 0.23	0.31
Dimethyl-proline	0.24	-0.24 to 0.63	0.32	-0.25	-0.63 to 0.23	0.31
N-Acetyl-glutamate	0.41	-0.05 to 0.73	0.08	-0.21	-0.60 to 0.26	0.38
Quinolinic acid	0.36	-0.11 to 0.70	0.13	-0.19	-0.59 to 0.28	0.44
2-Oxoglutarate	0.65	0.27 to 0.85	**0.003	-0.17	-0.58 to 0.31	0.49
Trimethyl-tyrosine	0.28	-0.20 to 0.65	0.24	-0.17	-0.58 to 0.30	0.47
Aspartate (1)	-0.45	-0.75 to -0.001	0.05	-0.17	-0.30 to 0.58	0.48
cis-Aconitate	0.57	0.16 to 0.82	*0.01	-0.16	-0.57 to 0.32	0.52
Succinate	0.59	0.19 to 0.82	**0.008	-0.12	-0.54 to 0.36	0.63
Pentose-phosphate	0.31	-0.17 to 0.67	0.20	-0.11	-0.53 to 0.36	0.65
4-Guanidinobutanoate	0.20	-0.27 to 0.60	0.41	-0.1	-0.53 to 0.37	0.68
N1-Methyl-adenosine	0.37	-0.10 to 0.71	0.12	-0.07	-0.52 to 0.40	0.76
myo-Inositol	0.52	0.09 to 0.79	*0.02	-0.04	-0.48 to 0.42	0.88

Metabolite peak area. High > 10^8 AU. Medium 10^7-10^8 AU. Low < 10^7 AU. Metabolites are ordered according to its correlation coefficient to EFS. Abbreviations: SMI: skeletal muscle index; Pearson: Pearson's correlation coefficient between a metabolite and SMI; CI: Confidence Interval; EFS: Edmonton frail scale. Asterisks indicate correlation (*p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate correlation (*p < 0.01).

Supplementary '	Table 5. Correlation	analysis between	22 frailty-related	markers and SMI or EFS.
-----------------	----------------------	------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

		SMI			EFS	
	R	95% CI	p value	R	95% CI	p value
Isovaleryl-carnitine	0.70	0.37 to 0.88	**0.001	-0.54	-0.80 to -0.12	*0.015
Acetyl-carnosine	0.69	0.35 to 0.87	**0.001	-0.51	0.07 to 0.78	*0.03
Hippurate	0.60	0.21 to 0.83	**0.006	-0.46	0.01 to 0.76	*0.05
Urate	0.58	0.18 to 0.82	**0.009	-0.54	0.11 to 0.80	*0.02
1,5-anhydroglucitol	0.52	0.08 to 0.79	*0.02	-0.56	0.14 to 0.80	*0.01
Proline	0.49	0.05 to 0.77	*0.03	-0.54	0.11 to 0.80	*0.02
Methionine	0.46	0.01 to 0.76	*0.046	-0.56	0.14 to 0.80	*0.01
Leucine	0.46	0.01 to 0.75	*0.046	-0.46	0.01 to 0.75	*0.04
N3-methyl-histidine	0.45	-0.01 to 0.74	0.05	-0.19	-0.59 to 0.29	0.43
Trimethyl-histidine	0.44	-0.01 to 0.75	0.057	-0.39	-0.08 to 0.71	0.10
Isoleucine	0.42	-0.04 to 0.74	0.07	-0.45	0.14 to 0.80	*0.04
Tryptophan	0.37	-0.09 to 0.71	0.12	-0.57	0.15 to 0.82	*0.01
Arginine	0.36	-0.11 to 0.70	0.13	-0.32	-0.15 to 0.67	0.17
Ergothioneine	0.33	-0.14 to 0.69	0.16	-0.45	0.01 to 0.75	0.05
Adenine	0.23	-0.25 to 0.62	0.34	-0.45	-0.001 to 0.75	0.05
S-methyl-ergothioneine	0.22	-0.26 to 0.61	0.37	-0.51	0.07 to 0.78	*0.02
Ophthalmic acid	0.14	-0.34 to 0.56	0.56	-0.41	-0.06 to 0.73	0.08
2-ketobutyrate	0.14	-0.33 to 0.56	0.56	-0.29	-0.19 to 0.66	0.24
UDP -glucose (\uparrow)	0.04	-0.41 to 0.49	0.86	-0.12	-0.55 to 0.34	0.60
Creatine (↑)	-0.08	-0.51 to 0.38	0.73	0.44	-0.74 to 0.02	0.06
N-acetyl-aspartate (\uparrow)	-0.09	-0.52 to 0.38	0.71	0.16	-0.32 to 0.57	0.51
UDP-glucuronate ()	-0.15	-0.56 to 0.32	0.54	0.47	-0.76 to -0.02	*0.04

Metabolite peak area. High > 10^8 AU. Medium 10^7-10^8 AU. Low < 10^7 AU. Metabolites are ordered according to its correlation coefficient to SMI. Abbreviations: SMI: skeletal muscle index; Pearson: Pearson's correlation coefficient between a metabolite and SMI; CI: Confidence Interval. Asterisks indicate correlation (*p < 0.05). Asterisks indicate correlation (*p < 0.01).

Supplementary Data 1. 131 identified metabolites were detected in whole blood samples by LC-MS. Abundance; Compound abundance (peak area) is indicated (High > 10^8 AU, 10^7 < Medium < 10^8 AU, Low < 10^7 AU). CV (coefficient of variation); Standard deviation/mean. See Supplementary Dataset 1