Research Paper

Long non-coding RNA SNHG1 activates HOXA1 expression via sponging miR-193a-5p in breast cancer progression

Jun Li^{1,*}, Tianyu Zeng^{1,*}, Wei Li¹, Hao Wu¹, Chunxiao Sun¹, Fan Yang¹, Mengzhu Yang², Ziyi Fu^{1,3}, Yongmei Yin¹

¹Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China ²Department of Geriatric Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China

³Nanjing Maternal and Child Health Medical Institute, Nanjing Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital, Gynecology and Obstetrics Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China *Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Yongmei Yin, Ziyi Fu; email: ymyin@njmu.edu.cn, fzyzzu@hotmail.comKeywords: SNHG1, miR-193a-5p, HOXA1, breast cancerReceived: September 27, 2019Accepted: March 24, 2020Published: June 3, 2020

Copyright: Li et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in women worldwide. Long non-coding RNA small nucleolar RNA host gene 1 (*SNHG1*) has been reported to be involved in human diseases, including cancer. Here, we found that *SNHG1* expression was significantly upregulated in human breast cancer tissues and cell lines. We explored the function of *SNHG1* in breast cancer cells using *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments and found that *SNHG1* promotes breast cancer metastasis and proliferation. The potential molecular mechanism of *SNHG1* in breast cancer cells as a sponge of *miR-193a-5p* to activate the expression of the oncogene *HOXA1*. In summary, our study reveals a novel *SNHG1/miR-193a-5p/HOXA1* competing endogenous RNA regulatory pathway in breast cancer progression and may provide new strategies for breast cancer therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BRCA) is one of the most common and malignant cancer types and, worldwide, one in eight to ten women will develop breast cancer [1]. Although breast cancer in the early stages can be cured by surgery accompanied by chemotherapy and radiotherapy, metastasis and recurrence are likely and, thus, breast cancer has a high mortality. Breast cancer can be divided into four subtypes according to the expression levels of certain molecules, including the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2 protein, as well as Ki67, which represents tumor cells proliferation [2]. Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) belonging to basal-like subtype is negative for ER, PR, and HER2 expression and accounts for ~15–20% of BRCA cases [3, 4]. TNBC an aggressive and high-grade BRCA that is highly metastatic and is one of the hardest to treat [5]. Therefore, finding efficient diagnostic markers and effective therapeutic targets is essential for TNBC clinical treatment.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-coding RNAs of >200 nucleotides in length [6]. A large number of literatures have revealed the biological functions and significance of lncRNAs in various cellular processes. The small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) host gene 1 (*SNHG1*) is a newly defined lncRNA which is located at chromosome 11q12.3. *SNHG1* is aberrantly expressed in many cancers, including lung cancer [7, 8], colorectal cancer [9, 10], gastric cancer [11], and liver cancer [12], and maybe oncogenic leading to tumorigenesis in these cancer types. However, whether *SNHG1* participates in the initiation and progression of BRCA remains unclear. Crosstalk between lncRNAs and microRNAs (miRNAs), another class of non-coding RNAs that contain ~22 nucleotides, is explained by the competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) hypothesis. It is postulated that the lncRNA can bind to the miRNA-response elements (MREs) and act as a 'sponge' to compete with other RNA transcripts, such as mRNAs that share the same MREs [13]. HOXA1, a member of the HOX gene family, is an epithelial oncogene in breast cancer development and can induce the aggressive transformation of epithelial cells in vivo [14].

Here, we show that *SNHG1* is an oncogenic lncRNA that interacts with *miR-193a-5p* to reduce its repression of the *HOXA1* gene and thus accelerate breast cancer development. Our findings indicate that the *SNHG1* lncRNA may become a potential biomarker and clinical target in breast cancer treatment.

RESULTS

SNHG1 expression is up-regulated in breast cancer tissues and cell lines

To explore the function of *SNHG1* in breast cancer progression, we first examined the expression of *SNHG1* in 86 paired breast cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissue using Q-RT-PCR assay. The result suggested *SNHG1* expression was upregulated in 54 out of 86 breast cancer clinical samples (Figure 1A).

We also measured *SNHG1* expression using Q-RT-PCR in MCF10 mammary epithelial cell lines and four breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, MCF7, and T47D). As expected, *SNHG1* expression

was higher in the breast cancer cell lines than in the MCF10A cells (Figure 1B).

SNHG1 promotes breast cancer cell migration, invasion and proliferation in vitro

Since *SNHG1* was most highly expressed in MDA-MB-231 cells, we chose MDA-MB-231 cells to study the function of *SNHG1* in breast cancer progression. We transfected MDA-MB-231 cells with siRNAs targeting *SNHG1* and the interference effect was determined by Q-RT-PCR (Figure 2A). Next, we examined the effect of *SNHG1* downregulation on breast cancer cell migration and invasive capabilities were significantly decreased after *SNHG1* silencing (Figure 2B, 2C). In addition, a wound-healing assay showed that *SNHG1* knockdown led to MDA-MB-231 cells migrating more slowly than control MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2D).

Next, we explored the function of *SNHG1* in breast cancer cell proliferation. A CCK-8 cell growth assay showed that silencing of *SNHG1* significantly inhibited the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2E). A colony formation assay showed similar results (Figure 2F). We then examined cell apoptosis using cell cytometry and *SNHG1* knockdown enhanced apoptosis of MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2G). Cleaved caspase-3, a marker of cell apoptosis, was increased in a Western blot assay following *SNHG1* silencing (Figure 2H).

Together, these data suggest that *SNHG1* plays a role in breast cancer cell migration, invasion, survival, and proliferation.







Figure 2. SNHG1 promotes breast cancer cell migration, invasion and proliferation in vitro. (A) Q-RT-PCR analysis of SNHG1 mRNA levels in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs. **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (B, C) Migration (B) and invasion (C) of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs were examined by transwell assay. Representative images of the cells migrated or invaded to the lower chamber side (top panel). Cell migration and invasion capacities were shown as a percentage of NC control (bottom panel). **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (D) Representative images of wound healing assay of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs at indicated time (left panel). Wound repair rate was quantified in the histogram (right panel). **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (E, F) Proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs at indicated time (left panel). Wound repair rate was quantified in the histogram (right panel). **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (E, F) Proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs was examined by CCK-8 assay (E) and colony formation assay (F). (G) Apoptosis of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs was measured using flow cytometry. **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (H) Western blot analysis of cleaved Caspase-3 in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs. Actin was used as loading control.

SNHG1 promotes breast cancer cell metastasis and proliferation in vivo

Next, we examined the effect of *SNHG1* on breast cancer cell metastasis in an *in vivo* mouse model. We injected *SNHG1* knockdown or negative control (NC) MDA-MB-231 cells into severe combined immuno-deficiency (SCID) mice via the tail vein. *In vivo* imaging was used to monitor tumor metastasis. The *SNHG1* knockdown group showed significantly reduced tumor growth in the lungs compared with the NC control group (Figure 3A). Similarly, the number of tumor nodules in mice of the *SNHG1* knockdown group was decreased compared with the NC group (Figure 3B, 3C).

We also explored the effect of *SNHG1* on breast cancer cell proliferation *in vivo* using a xenograft mouse model. *SNHG1* knockdown or NC MDA-MB-231 cells were subcutaneously injected into different flanks of nude mice and we found that *SNHG1* silencing led to reduced breast cancer cell growth (Figure 3D, 3E).

SNHG1 acts as a sponge of miR-193a-5p to activate HOXA1 expression

To explore the mechanism of SNHG1 in breast cancer progression, we used online bioinformatics databases (Starbase and Pictar) to predict the target genes of SNHG1. The first 10 miRNA candidates are listed in Table 1. The predicted binding site of miR-193a-5p on SNHG1 is shown in Figure 4A. Silencing of SNHG1 in MDA-MB-231 cells significantly increased miR-193a-5p expression (Figure 4B). Meanwhile, transfection of miR-193a-5p mimics inhibited SNHG1 expression levels in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 4C). In addition, we measured miR-193a-5p levels in breast cancer clinical samples and found that its expression was relatively lower in tumor tissue compared with paired normal tissue (Figure 4D) and SNHG1 expression in breast cancer tissues exhibited a significant inverse correlation with *miR-193a-5p* expression (Figure 4E).

Next, we searched for miR-193a-5p targets using the TargetScan and miRDB online databases. We focused



Figure 3. SNHG1 promotes breast cancer cell metastasis and proliferation in vivo. (A) Representative images of cancer metastasis in SCID mice monitored at indicated time points using in-vivo imaging system. (B) Representative images of excised lungs from SCID mice of different groups (top). Representative images of HE staining of lung sections (bottom). Scale bar=400 μ m. (C) Statistics data of lung nodules of SCID mice (n=5 each group). **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (D) Representative images of excised tumors from nude mice. Scale bar=1cm. (E) The mass of xenograft tumors excised from nude mice (n=5 each group). **p<0.01 compared with NC control.

miRNA name	
hsa-miR-377-3p	
hsa-miR-21-5p	
hsa-miR-193a-5p	
hsa-miR-380-3p	
hsa-miR-195-5p	
hsa-miR-424-5p	
hsa-miR-361-3p	
hsa-miR-9-3p	
hsa-miR-137	
hsa-miR-16-5p	

on the *HOXA1* gene in this study as is a potential oncogene in breast cancer. The predicted binding site of *miR-193a-5p* on the 3'UTR region of the *HOXA1* gene is shown in Figure 4F. The result of luciferase reporter gene assay showed that *MiR-193a-5p* inhibited the luciferase activity of the wild-type 3'UTR of *HOXA1* but not the mutant one (Figure 4G). Transfection of *miR-193a-5p* mimics into MDA-MB-231 cells significantly suppressed HOXA1 protein levels (Figure 4H). Moreover, silencing of *SNHG1* in MDA-MB-231 cells yielded similar results for the luciferase activity and HOXA1 protein levels (Figure 4G, 4H).

Together, these data suggest that SNHG1 acts as a sponge to inhibit miR-193a-5p and thus activates HOXA1 expression in breast cancer cells.

Ectopic expression of HOXA1 reversed the effect of SNHG1 silencing on MDA-MB-231 cells

To confirm that HOXA1 is involved in the SNHG1 pathway in breast cancer progression, we tested whether enforced HOXA1 expression would eliminate the effect of SNHG1 silencing in MDA-MB-231 cells. We overexpressed HOXA1 in SNHG1 knockdown (si-SNHG1+HOXA1) MDA-MB-231 cells. The expression of SNHG1 and HOXA1 in NC, si-SNHG1, and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 MDA-MB-231 cells was confirmed using Q-RT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B). Transwell assays showed that HOXA1 overexpression restored cell migration and invasion capacities (Figure 5A, 5B). In addition, the cell proliferation ability of MDA-MB-231 cells was also reversed by HOXA1 overexpression (Figure 5C, 5D). Cell cytometry assays indicated that the cell apoptosis rate was reduced after HOXA1 overexpression in SNHG1 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5E). Moreover, we also overexpressed HOXA1 in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with miR-193a-5p mimics. The transwell assay results suggested that transfection of miR-193a-5p mimics inhibits the migration and invasion of MDA-

MB-231 cells while *HOXA1* overexpression reverses this effect (Supplementary Figure 2A, 2B). These data above indicate that *HOXA1* is the main target gene of *SNHG1* and *SNHG1/miR-193a-5p/HOXA1* axis plays a critical role in breast cancer progression.

DISCUSSION

Long non-coding RNAs play a critical role in human diseases, including cancer, and are involved in the regulation of cancer cell growth, migration, invasion, and drug resistance [15–17]. The SNHG1 gene is located on chromosome 11 and is the host gene of eight snoRNAs. Several studies have revealed that SNHG1 expression is abnormally elevated in cancer cells and may function as an oncogene to promote cancer progression. For instance, SNHG1 promotes non-small cell lung cancer progression through inhibiting miR-101-3p expression and activating the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway [18]. SNHG1 is also a crucial regulator of colorectal cancer cell proliferation by interacting with miR-145 [9] and SNHG1 promotes pancreatic cancer cell growth via regulation of the PI3K/AKT pathway [19]. In addition, a recent study reported that SNHG1 promoted breast cancer cell growth and invasion by regulating miR-382 [20]. These findings demonstrate that SNHG1 may act as a potential oncogene in cancer initiation and progression.

In the present study, we found that *SNHG1* expression was significantly upregulated in breast cancer tissues and cells. Silencing of *SNHG1* in breast cancer cells inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and invasion and, thus, *SNHG1* may play an important role in breast cancer development. In addition, we reveal an important target gene of SNHG1 and related molecular mechanism. Although there has been a preliminary report of the function of *SNHG1* in breast cancer [20], our study reveals a novel competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) axis to elucidate the role of *SNHG1* in breast cancer progression.



Figure 4. SNHG1 acts as a sponge of miR-193a-5p to activate HOXA1 expression. (A) Predicated binding sites of miR-193a-5p on SNHG1 gene. (B) Q-RT-PCR analysis of miR-193a-5p levels in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1-1 and si-SNHG1-2 siRNAs. **p<0.01 compared with NC control. (C) Q-RT-PCR analysis of SNHG1 levels in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with miR-NC and miR-193a-5p mimics. **p<0.01 compared with miR-NC control. (D) Q-RT-PCR analysis of miR-193a-5p levels in collected breast cancer tissues (Tumor) and adjacent normal tissues (Normal). n=86, p<0.0001. (E) Correlation between miR-193a-5p levels and SNHG1 levels in breast cancer tissues. r=0.7308, p<0.0001. (F) Wild-type (WT) and mutated (Mut) binding sites of miR-193a-5p on HOXA1 3'UTR region. (G) Effects of miR-193a-5p and si-SNHG1 on wild-type and mutant HOXA1 3'UTR reporter gene vectors in MDA-MB-231 cells. **p<0.01 compared with mock control. (H) Western blot assay of HOXA1 in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with miR-193a-5p mimcs or si-SNHG1. Actin was used as loading control.

The ceRNA hypothesis has been widely used to explain the molecular mechanism of lncRNAs in gene regulation. The hypothesis describes that mRNAs and lncRNAs can talk to each other through a miRNA link. LncRNAs can regulate the expression of mRNAs by competing for shared miRNAs. Several studies have identified the interaction between *SNHG1* and miRNAs. For example, *SNHG1* soaks up *miR-195-5p* to regulate PDCD4 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma [21]. *SNHG1* can also activate the expression of NUAK1 by downregulating *miR-145-5p* and thus induce epithelialmesenchymal transition in nasopharyngeal carcinoma



Figure 5. Ectopic expression of HOXA1 reversed the effect of SNHG1 silencing on MDA-MB-231 cells. (**A**, **B**) Migration (**A**) and invasion (**B**) of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1 and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 were examined by transwell assay. Representative images of the cells migrated or invaded to the lower chamber side (top panel). Cell migration and invasion capacities were shown as a percentage of NC control (bottom panel). **p<0.01 compared with si-SNHG1 group. (**C**, **D**) Proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1 and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 was examined by CCK-8 assay (**C**) and colony formation assay (**D**). (**E**) Apoptosis of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1 and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 was measured using flow cytometry. **p<0.01 compared with si-SNHG1 group.

cells [22]. Here, we found that *SNHG1* could directly interact with *miR-193a-5p* and regulate its expression in breast cancer cells. Moreover, *SNHG1* and *miR-193a-5p* expression levels in breast cancer tissues negatively correlated. These data indicate that *SNHG1* acts as a sponge and soaks up *miR-193a-5p* in breast cancer.

HOXA1, a transcription factor of the HOX protein family, is overexpressed in many tumor cells and is involved in tumorigenesis and the development of several cancers including breast cancer [23, 24]. Here, we found that *HOXA1* was a target of *miR-193a-5p* and its expression is suppressed after transfection of *miR-193a-5p* mimics or *SNHG1* siRNA. Furthermore, *HOXA1* overexpression can eliminate the effect of *SNHG1* silencing in breast cancer cells. These data indicate that the effect of *SNHG1* in breast cancer cells is, at least partially, dependent on HOXA1.

Collectively, our study demonstrates that *SNHG1* promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and metastasis by acting as a sponge of *miR-193a-5p* to activate *HOXA1* expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical data

All 86 pairs of BRCA tumor samples and adjacent normal breast tissue samples were collected from The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University and instantly frozen in liquid nitrogen for the following RNA preparation. Related patients provided their signatures to the informed consents of our research. All the procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University.

Cell culture

Human normal breast epithelial cell line MCF10A and breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, MCF7, T47D were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All the cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA). Cells were cultured in the incubator at 37 °C provided with 5% CO2 and a humid atmosphere.

Small RNAs, vectors and transfections

The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) against SNHG1 or negative control siRNA as well as miRNA mimics were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The siRNA sequences were: si-SNHG1-1: 5'-GAUG UACCUUAAAGUGUUA-3', si-SNHG1-2: 5'-GAG AGGUACUACUAACCAA-3'. The miRNA mimics used were: miR-193a-5p: 5'- UGGGUCUUUGC GGGCGAGAUGA-3'.

The coding sequence of HOXA1 gene were cloned into the BamHI/XhoI sites of pcDNA3 vector. The wild type (WT) and mutant (Mut) sequences of HOXA1 3'UTR region were cloned into the luciferase reporter vector psiCHECK-2 (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA).

All small RNAs and vectors were transfected into breast cancer cell lines using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (Q-**RT-PCR**)

RNA was isolated from human tissue samples and cell lines using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) following its described methods. Reverse transcriptase PCR was performed using PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara Inc., Dalian, China) to synthesize cDNA from total RNA samples. Subsequently, Q-RT-PCR was performed with FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and analyzed by a 7500 Fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) under the following program: 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s in a total of 20 µl reaction. GAPDH was used to normalize the RNA level as an internal control. All the primers used for Q-RT-PCR were: SNHG1 Forward: 5'-CAGGCATTC AAAGGTTCTGTTAG-3', SNHG1 Reverse: 5'-GG TACGGCTCCTTTGTTCTCA-3'. GAPDH Forward: 5'-AAGGGCTCATGACCACAGTC-3', GAPDH Reverse: 5'-ATCACGCCACAGCTTTCCA-3'. For miRNA analysis, cDNA was synthesized with specific stem-loop primers and analyzed using a 7500 Fast realtime PCR system. U6 was used as internal control to normalize the miRNA levels. The primers for miR-193a-5p and U6 were designed and synthesized from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). MiR-193a-5p Forward: 5'- ACACTCCAGCTGGGTGGGTCTTTGCGGGCGA GATGA-3', miR-193a-5p Reverse: 5'- TGGTGTCGT GGAGTCG-3'. U6 Forward: 5'-CTCGCTTCGGCAG 5'-AACGCTTCACGA CACA-3', U6 Reverse: ATTTGCGT-3'. Relative gene expressions were determined using $\Delta\Delta$ CT method.

Cell migration, cell invasion, cell proliferation and cell apoptosis analysis

Cell migration was assessed by transwell migration assay and in vitro wound-healing scratch assay. For

transwell assay, 1×10^5 transfected cells resuspended in 100 µl serum-free media were seeded onto the upper chamber of 8 µm transwell (Corning, NY, USA). DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber to drive the cells to migrate through the membrane. To estimate cell invasion, chambers coated with matrigel were used under the same conditions as in transwell migration assay. Cells left on the lower chamber side of the membrane were counted under a microscope (Olympus) with three random fields (100×magnification).

For the scratch assay, cells were prior plated onto 6-well plates and incubated overnight to form a monocellular layer. 200 μ l pipette tips were used to create scratches on the layer surface and cells were grown to confluent after another 24-h culturing. Representative images were taken under a microscope at 40× magnification at indicated times. Wound repair rate was quantified by dividing the changes in wound width between 24h and 0h by the wound width at 0h.

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8, OBiO, Shanghai, China) was used to measure cell proliferation. 3×10^3 cells were seeded onto each well of 96-well plates in 6 replicates. Cells were cultured for in total 5 days and measured every 24 h using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 450nm following the manufacturer's protocols. Cell colony formation assay was also used to indicate cell growth. Each cell line was plated onto 3 wells of 6-well plates at a density of 500 cells per well and incubated for 2 weeks in the incubator. Cell colonies were then fixed with methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet.

Cell apoptosis assay was performed by Annexin-V FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) in accordance to manufacturer's instructions and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Protein preparation and western blot

Protein lysates were prepared from transfected cells after 48 h in RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and quantified by Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Western blot assay was performed following a standard method. Briefly, even quantities of protein samples were loaded into 10% **SDS-PAGEs** and transferred onto 0.2 μm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Sigma-Aldrich) after electrophoresis. The membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk solved in PBS plus 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated with corresponding primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. In the next day, the membranes were washed with PBS-T buffer for 4 times followed by

incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. After washing off the secondary antibodies, protein bands were detected using the High-sig ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Tanon, Shanghai, China). β -Actin was served as the internal control and antibodies used in our study were as follows: cleaved Caspase-3 (Abcam, ab2302), HOXA1 (Abclonal, A6924), β -Actin (Abclonal, AC026).

Animal model

Female SCID and BALB/c nude mice were obtained from the Model Animal Research Center of Naniing University (Nanjing, China). For tumor metastasis assay, 2×106 MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC siRNA or SNHG1 siRNA were suspended in 100 µl DMEM and intravenously injected in SCID mice. Tumor growth were monitored using an in vivo imaging instruments (IVIS Lumina XR, PerkinElmer) at indicated time points. All mice were euthanized at the fifth week and lungs were excised for HE staining. For tumor growth assay, 5×10^6 MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC siRNA or SNHG1 siRNA were suspended in 100 µl DMEM/matrigel (9:1) and subcutaneously injected into nude mice. All animals were euthanized 1 month after injection and tumors were collected for following analysis. All animal experiments were performed following the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Experimental procedures were approved by Institutional Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Naniing Medical University.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

MiRNA mimics and psiCHECK-2 vectors containing WT or Mut 3'UTR of HOXA1 were co-transfected into cells as described before. After 48 h incubation, luciferase activities were measured by Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. The intracellular Renilla luminescence represented the expression levels of luciferase gene affected by miRNA mimics and measurements of firefly luciferase were used to normalize Renilla luciferase expression.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicates and data were displayed as mean \pm S.D. The results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.02 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The significance of differences between two groups or among multiple groups were assessed using Student's t-test or one-way analysis. P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

FUNDING

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81702614), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (ZDZX2017ZL-01), High-level Innovation Team of Nanjing Medical University (JX102GSP201727), Wu Jieping Foundation (320.6750.17006), Key Medical Talents (ZDRCA2016023), 333 Project of Jiangsu Province (BRA2017534 and BRA2015470), The Collaborative Innovation Center for Tumor Individualization Focuses on Open Topics (JX21817902/008) and Project of China Key Research and Development Program Precision Medicine Research (2016YFC0905901).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad A. Breast cancer statistics: recent trends. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019; 1152:1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20301-6_1</u> PMID:<u>31456176</u>
- Allison KH. Molecular pathology of breast cancer: what a pathologist needs to know. Am J Clin Pathol. 2012; 138:770–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCPIV9IQ1MRQMOO</u> PMID:23161709
- Curigliano G, Goldhirsch A. The triple-negative subtype: new ideas for the poorest prognosis breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2011; 2011:108–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jncimonographs/lgr038</u> PMID:<u>22043054</u>
- Penault-Llorca F, Viale G. Pathological and molecular diagnosis of triple-negative breast cancer: a clinical perspective. Ann Oncol. 2012 (Suppl 6); 23:vi19–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mds190</u> PMID:<u>23012297</u>
- Jamdade VS, Sethi N, Mundhe NA, Kumar P, Lahkar M, Sinha N. Therapeutic targets of triple-negative breast cancer: a review. Br J Pharmacol. 2015; 172:4228–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13211</u> PMID:<u>26040571</u>
- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet. 2009; 10:155–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2521</u> PMID:<u>19188922</u>
- 7. Li Z, Lu Q, Zhu D, Han Y, Zhou X, Ren T. Inc-SNHG1 may promote the progression of non-small cell lung cancer

by acting as a sponge of miR-497. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2018; 506:632–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.10.086 PMID:30454699

- Zhang HY, Yang W, Zheng FS, Wang YB, Lu JB. Long non-coding RNA SNHG1 regulates zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1 expression by interacting with TAp63 and promotes cell metastasis and invasion in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2017; 90:650–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.104 PMID:28415044
- Tian T, Qiu R, Qiu X. SNHG1 promotes cell proliferation by acting as a sponge of miR-145 in colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. 2017; 9:2128–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.23255</u> PMID:<u>29416759</u>
- Xu M, Chen X, Lin K, Zeng K, Liu X, Pan B, Xu X, Xu T, Hu X, Sun L, He B, Pan Y, Sun H, Wang S. The long noncoding RNA SNHG1 regulates colorectal cancer cell growth through interactions with EZH2 and miR-154-5p. Mol Cancer. 2018; 17:141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-018-0894-x</u> PMID:30266084
- Hu Y, Ma Z, He Y, Liu W, Su Y, Tang Z. LncRNA-SNHG1 contributes to gastric cancer cell proliferation by regulating DNMT1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2017; 491:926–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.07.137</u> PMID:28754593
- Zhang M, Wang W, Li T, Yu X, Zhu Y, Ding F, Li D, Yang T. Long noncoding RNA SNHG1 predicts a poor prognosis and promotes hepatocellular carcinoma tumorigenesis. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016; 80:73–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.02.036</u> PMID:27133041
- Karreth FA, Pandolfi PP. ceRNA cross-talk in cancer: when ce-bling rivalries go awry. Cancer Discov. 2013; 3:1113–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0202</u> PMID:<u>24072616</u>
- 14. Zhang X, Zhu T, Chen Y, Mertani HC, Lee KO, Lobie PE. Human growth hormone-regulated HOXA1 is a human mammary epithelial oncogene. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:7580–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M212050200</u> PMID:12482855
- Prensner JR, Chinnaiyan AM. The emergence of IncRNAs in cancer biology. Cancer Discov. 2011; 1:391–407.

https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-11-0209 PMID:22096659

- 16. Yang G, Lu X, Yuan L. LncRNA: a link between RNA and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014; 1839:1097–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2014.08.012</u> PMID:<u>25159663</u>
- Chi Y, Wang D, Wang J, Yu W, Yang J. Long non-coding RNA in the pathogenesis of cancers. Cells. 2019; 8:1015. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8091015</u> PMID:<u>31480503</u>
- Cui Y, Zhang F, Zhu C, Geng L, Tian T, Liu H. Upregulated IncRNA SNHG1 contributes to progression of non-small cell lung cancer through inhibition of miR-101-3p and activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:17785–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.14854</u> PMID:<u>28147312</u>
- 19. Zhang Y, Zhang R, Luo G, Ai K. Long noncoding RNA SNHG1 promotes cell proliferation through PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. J Cancer. 2018; 9:2713–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.26207</u> PMID:<u>30087712</u>
- 20. Zheng S, Li M, Miao K, Xu H. SNHG1 contributes to proliferation and invasion by regulating miR-382 in breast cancer. Cancer Manag Res. 2019; 11:5589–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S198624</u> PMID:<u>31354360</u>

- 21. Huang D, Wei Y, Zhu J, Wang F. Long non-coding RNA SNHG1 functions as a competitive endogenous RNA to regulate PDCD4 expression by sponging miR-195-5p in hepatocellular carcinoma. Gene. 2019. 714. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2019.143994</u> PMID:<u>31330233</u>
- Lan X, Liu X. LncRNA SNHG1 functions as a ceRNA to antagonize the effect of miR-145a-5p on the downregulation of NUAK1 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell. J Cell Mol Med. 2019; 23:2351–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.13497</u> PMID:<u>29575772</u>
- Taminiau A, Draime A, Tys J, Lambert B, Vandeputte J, Nguyen N, Renard P, Geerts D, Rezsöhazy R. HOXA1 binds RBCK1/HOIL-1 and TRAF2 and modulates the TNF/NF-κB pathway in a transcription-independent manner. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44:7331–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw606</u> PMID:27382069
- 24. Wang X, Li Y, Qi W, Zhang N, Sun M, Huo Q, Cai C, Lv S, Yang Q. MicroRNA-99a inhibits tumor aggressive phenotypes through regulating HOXA1 in breast cancer cells. Oncotarget. 2015; 6:32737–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5355</u> PMID:26417931

www.aging-us.com

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. SNHG1 and HOXA1 expression in NC, si-SNHG1 and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 cells. (A, B) The expression of SNHG1 (A) and HOXA1 (B) in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, si-SNHG1 and si-SNHG1+HOXA1 were examined using Q-RT-PCR. ***p*<0.01 compared with indicated control.



Supplementary Figure 2. Ectopic expression of HOXA1 reversed migration and invasion capacities of miR-193a-5p transfected MDA-MB-231 cells. (A, B) Migration (A) and invasion (B) of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with NC, miR-193a-5p and miR-193a-5p+HOXA1 were examined by transwell assay. Representative images of the cells migrated or invaded to the lower chamber side (top panel). Cell migration and invasion capacities were shown as a percentage of miR-NC control (bottom panel). **p<0.01 compared with miR-193a-5p transfection group.