## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1. P-value of cross comparisons between the number of cell bodies generated after the $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{6 h} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { h }} \mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{1 6} \mathrm{h}$ regime.

| Strain/condition | Microcolonies of $\geq \mathbf{2}$ cell bodies <br> at $\mathbf{3 7}{ }^{\mathbf{\circ}} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{N})$ | Microcolonies that rebudded after <br> $\mathbf{2 5}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ downshift $(\mathbf{N})$ | P-value against top2-5 <br> (first row) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top2-5 | 160 | 26 | - |
| top2-5 (Sorb) | 89 | 21 | 0.1064 |
| top2-5 yca1 $\Delta$ | 152 | 35 | 0.086 |
| top2-5/top2-5 | 90 | 42 | $<0.0001$ |

Comparison between microcolonies of $\geq 2$ cell bodies after the $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubation and re-budding (for at least one cell body) after the $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ downshift were performed in $2 \times 2$ contingency tables using a one-tailed Fisher's exact test.

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of chromosome V events in R HygR/W HygS sectored colonies of FM1873 and MD684 strains.

| Strain name ${ }^{1}$ and sector pattern ${ }^{2}$ | Genomic alterations ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| FM1873-1 (E2) | UPD on V in "correct" direction in red sector; partial UPD in "incorrect" direction on V in white |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R } / P-W ~ H y g ~}{ }^{\text {S }}$ | sector. |
| FM1873-2 (E2) | UPD on V in "correct" direction in red sector; T-LOH on V in "correct" direction in white sector. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ / $\mathrm{WHyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-4 (E2) ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | RCO on V. Breakpoint at 134 kb in red sector and 144 kb in white sector. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ / $\mathrm{WHyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-12 (E2) | RUPD on V. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }} / \mathrm{W} \mathrm{Hyg}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-14 (E2) | RCO on V. Breakpoint at 67 kb in red sector and 76 kb in white sector. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R } / W ~ H y g ~}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-26 (E2) | UPD in correct direction on V in red sector; T-LOH event in correct direction in white sector. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ / $\mathrm{WHyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-32 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ / $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-35 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P }} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {s }}$ |  |
| FM1873-37 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P Hyg }}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-41 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ / $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-45 (E2) | UPD on V in the correct direction in the red sector (two copies of W303-1A-derived chromosome; white sector has one copy of YJM789-derived homolog and none of W303-1A-derived homolog |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P }} \mathrm{Hyg}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-49 (E2) | No obvious changes on V in red sector; white sector has UPD on V in correct direction. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ P $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {s }}$ |  |
| FM1873-50 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ P $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-54 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ P Hyg ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-70 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {/ } / \mathrm{P} \mathrm{Hyg}}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-85 (E2) | RUPD on V |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P }}$ Hyg ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
| FM1873-101 (E2) | RCO on V. Breakpoints at 95 kb and 86 kb in red sector. The red sector has event indicative of a G1associated DSB, repaired in G2. Breakpoint at 93 kb in white sector. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {/ } / \mathrm{P} \mathrm{Hyg}}{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
| FM1873-105 (E2) | RUPD on V. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P }} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-106 (E2) | RUPD on V. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {R/P }} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-112 (E2) | RUPD on V. |
| R Hyg ${ }^{\text {/ } / \mathrm{PHyg}}{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |
| FM1873-1 (C2) | UPD on V in "correct" direction in red sector; partial UPD in "incorrect" direction on V in white sector. |
| FM1873-2 (C2) | UPD on V in "correct" direction in red sector; no clear event on V in white sector. |
| FM1873-3 (C2) | No detectable events on V. |
| FM1873-7 (C2) | No detectable events on V in red sector. In white sector, V has terminal LOH (about 110 kb ). |
| FM1873-14 (C2) | RUPD on V. |
| FM1873-19 (C2) | UPD on V in red sector, no obvious change on V in white sector. |

FM1873-20 (C2)
MD684.1.15 (E2)
MD684.1.17 (E2)
MD684.1.49 (E2)
MD684.1.61 (E2)

MD684.1.65 (E2)

MD684.1.73(E2)

MD684.1.75 (E2)
MD684.1.83 (E2)
MD684.1.88 (E2)

RUPD on $V$.
RUPD (V)
RUPD (V)
RUPD (V)
Red sector looked like haploid strain (all homologs derived from W303-1A with elevated signal, all derived from YJM789 with reduced signal. UPD on V in white sector
Red sector looked like haploid strain (all homologs derived from W303-1A with elevated signal, all derived from YJM789 with reduced signal). In white sector: UPD on V
Red sector looked like haploid strain (all homologs derived from W303-1A with elevated signal, all derived from YJM789 with reduced signal). In white sector: UPD on V
Red sector looked like haploid strain (all homologs derived from W303-1A with elevated signal, all derived from YJM789 with reduced signal). In white sector, UPD on V.

RUPD (V)
Red sector looked like haploid strain (all homologs derived from W303-1A with elevated signal, all derived from YJM789 with reduced signal). In white sector, T-LOH on V (breakpoint at 56 kb ).
${ }^{1}$ Parentheses after the strain name indicate whether the strain was experimental (E2, incubated for six hours at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in liquid) or control (C2, not incubated at the restrictive temperature).
${ }^{2}$ Strains either treated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for six hours ( E ) or untreated (C) at the restrictive temperature were plated on solid medium containing canavanine. After colonies were formed, we purified cells derived from red and white sectors, and determined whether cells from these sectors were $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{R}}$ or $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{S}}$ (as indicated in the table). The hph marker was located distal to can1-100 on the W303-1A-derived chromosome. Thus, a reciprocal crossover (RCO) or reciprocal UPD event would be expected to produce a hygromycin-resistant red sector, and a hygromycin-sensitive white sector. Code: T-LOH (terminal LOH event), I-LOH (interstitial LOH event), Tri (trisomy), UPD (uniparental disomy), RCO (reciprocal crossover), and RUPD (reciprocal uniparental disomy).
${ }^{3}$ The arrays for sectored colonies were done with arrays that had dense SNPs for chromosomes I, III, V and VIII, but few SNPs on other chromosomes. Thus, we tabulate only events involving chromosome V.

Supplementary Table 3. Strains used in this work.

| Strain name | Relevant genotype ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH326 | (S288C) MATa ura3-52 his4-539am lys2-801am SUC2+ top2-5 | D. Botstein ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| CH335 | (S288C) MATa ura3-52 his4-539am lys2-801am SUC2+ TOP2 | D. Botstein ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| FM1386 | CH326; H2A2(YBL003c):GFP:BleMX; $\triangle$ barl::URA3 | F. Machin ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| FM1419 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | CH335; H2A2(YBL003c):GFP:BleMX; $\triangle$ barl::URA3 | F. Machin ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| FM1856 | FM1386; $\Delta$ ycal $:$ kanMX4 | This work |
| FM1871 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | FM1419; $\Delta y$ cal $:$ kanMX4 | This work |
| FM1730 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | MATa/a top2-5/top2-5 homozygous diploid (from FM1386) | This work |
| FM1732 ${ }^{\text {e,f }}$ | MATa/ $\alpha$ TOP2/TOP2 homozygous diploid (from FM1419) | This work |
| PSL2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (W303a) MATa ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 ura3-1 trp1-1 V9229::HYG } \\ \text { V261553::LEU2 RAD5 } \end{gathered}$ | T. Petes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| PSL5 | (YJM789) MATa ade2-1 ura3 can1D::SUP4-o gal2 ho::hisG | T. Petes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| FM1830 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | PSL2; top2-5:9myc:natMX (1-2 x cXIV) | This work |
| FM1832 | PSL5; top2-5:9myc:natMX | This work |
| FM1873 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | (FM1830 x FM1832) MATa/ $\alpha$ top2-5/top2-5 hybrid diploid (3-4 x cXIV, cXIIr t- <br> LOH) | This work |
| FM2010 | (PSL2 x PSL5) MATa/ $\alpha$ TOP2/TOP2 hybrid diploid | This work |
| MD681 | PSL2 top2-5:9myc:natMX (FM1830 backcrossed with W303 to have $1 \times \mathrm{cXIV}$ ) | This work |
| MD684 | (MD681 x FM1832) MATa/ $\alpha$ top2-5/top2-5 hybrid diploid (3-4 x cXIV) | This work |
| BY4743 | MATa/ his $3 \Delta 1 /$ his $3 \Delta 1$ leu2 0 0/leu2 20 met15 $50 /$ MET15 LYS2/lys2 20 ura $3 \Delta 0 / u r a 3 \Delta 0$ | Euroscarf collection |
| FM1932 | (BY4741) MATa his 3 1 leu2d0 met1500 ura3D0; $\triangle$ barl::URA3 | This work |
| FM1982 | (BY4742) MATa his3DI leu2d0 lys200 ura3D0; $\Delta$ barl $:: U R A 3$ | This work |
| FM2032 | (FM1932 x 1982) MATa/ $\alpha$ barl $\Delta /$ barl $\Delta$ | This work |
| FM2056 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | (clone \#1 in Supplementary Figure9C) MATa/ $\alpha \operatorname{barl} 1 \Delta / \operatorname{bar} 1 \Delta$ (from FM1932) | This work |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Semicolons separate independent transformation events during strain construction. Intermediate strains are omitted.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Described in [8]
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Described in [5]
${ }^{d}$ Described in [3]
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ These strains were used as TOP2 controls during clonogenic assays ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments). In all cases, 100\% viability was maintained after $0,3,6,9,12,24$ and 48 h incubations at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ These homozygous diploids were made through the one-step marker-free transformation-based protocol described in Supplementary Figure 9.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ These strains were shown by SNP and copy number arrays to carry the genome alteration shown between brackets. For instance, the hybrid heterozygous top2-5/top2-5 diploid FM1873 carried two genome rearrangements when compared to its isogenic TOP2/TOP2 counterpart: 3-4 copies of cXIV and a t-LOH at cXII right arm.

Supplementary Table 4. Primers used in this study.

| Primer name | Purpose | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yca1-F (-359) | To amplify $\Delta y c a l:: k a n M X$ from gDNA | CAATGCATTGGATCTTATTGGC |
| Ycal-R (+1709) | To amplify $\Delta y c a l:: k a n M X$ from gDNA | GTCGAAACAAGAAGAGCAAAC |
| Bar1-F (-196) | To amplify $\triangle$ barl::URA3 from gDNA | GCCAGCTATTCTGAAACACACCAC |
| Bar1-R (+2316) | To amplify $\triangle$ barl::URA3 from gDNA | AACAGTCTTAGGGAAGTAACGAG |
| Top2-S3 | To tag TOP2 at 3' with 9xmyc:natMX | GGAAAACCAAGGATCAGATGTTTCGTTCAAT GAAGAGGATCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC |
| Top2-S2 | To tag TOP2 at 3' with 9xmyc:natMX | TATAAAAAGAATGGCGCTTTCTCGGATAAAT ATTATTCAATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG |
| Top2-F (-175) | To amplify top2-5:9xmyc:natMX from gDNA | AAGACGCGCCAGTAGGACGC |
| Top2-R (+4511) | To amplify top2-5:9xmyc:natMX from gDNA | CGCACGATGTTTTTCGCCCAGG |
| Xreg-F | To amplify $\mathrm{Y} \alpha$ region in the MAT locus ( $\mathrm{Y} \alpha$ transformation product) | TTGTTGGCCCTAGATAAGAA |
| MAT-R (+2894) | To amplify the MAT locus (Y $\alpha$ transformation product) | CAAGGGAGAGAAGACTTGTG |

Supplementary Table 5. Landscape of possible outcomes during microcolony experiments.

| After $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Top2 inactivation) | After $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reincubation (Top2 re-activation) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 (lysis) | --- |
|  | 0 (lysis) |
|  | 1 (remained unbudded) |
| 1 (did not bud) | 2 (able to bud once Top2 is back) |
|  | $3,4,5$, etc. (short-term budding capability) |
|  | >20-50 (will raise a viable population) |
|  | 0 (double lysis) |
|  | 1 (one body lysed; the other did not divide again) |
| 2 (did bud once without Top2) | 2 (no more budding even after Top2 reactivation) |
|  | 3 (one body able to bud once Top2 is back) |
|  | 4, 5, etc. (both bodies able to bud*) |
|  | >20-50 (at least one body/cell is viable) |
|  | $0,1,2$ (no more budding and some bodies lysed) |
|  | 3 (no more budding even after Top2 reactivation) |
| 3 (did bud twice without Top2) | 4 (1 of 3 bodies rebudded once) |
|  | 5 (2 of 3 bodies rebudded once*) |
|  | 6 , etc. ( 3 of 3 bodies rebudded once *) |
|  | >20-50 (at least one body/cell is viable) |
|  | $0,1,2,3$ (no more budding and some bodies lysed) |
| 4 (mother and daughter rebudded again*) | 4 (no more budding even after Top2 reactivation) |
|  | 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 (1-4 of 4 bodies rebudded once*) |
|  | >20-50 (at least one body/cell is viable) |
| Etc. | Etc. |

[^0]
[^0]:    * Other interpretations on the origin of these microcolonies are possible.

